

## Ectoparasitic community of the gills of Pacific sierra *Scomberomorus sierra* Jordan & Starks, 1895 (Actinopteri: Scombridae) from northern Peru

Katherin FERRÉ-ALCÁNTARA<sup>1</sup>, David MINAYA<sup>1</sup>, Lorena ALVARIÑO<sup>1</sup> & Jose IANNACONE<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratorio de Ecología y Biodiversidad Animal, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal, Av. Río de Chepén El Agustino, Lima 15007, Perú. <sup>2</sup>Laboratorio de Ingeniería Ambiental, Facultad de Ciencias Ambientales, COEPERU - Coastal. Ecosystems of Peru. Research Group, Universidad Científica del Sur, Villa, Lima 150142, Perú. <sup>3</sup>Laboratorio de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Grupo de Investigación "One Health", Universidad Ricardo Palma, Santiago de Surco, Lima 15039, Perú. Corresponding author; joseiannacone@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Scombrids have mainly been studied for systematic, zoogeographic and parasitological purposes; however, there are few studies focused on the interaction between ecological parameters and the parasite community of a given host. Therefore, the objective of this research was to analyze the ectoparasite community of *Scomberomorus* from Northern Peru. A total of 58 specimens of *S. sierra* were obtained and were necropsied to search for parasites, which were collected and preserved. The main parasitological indices, degree of aggregation, parasite association with size, weight and sex, and finally, the alpha diversity indices of these parasitic fauna were calculated. 98% of the fish were parasitized by at least one parasitic species. The parasites with the highest prevalence were *Didymocylindrus* sp. (Trematoda) and *Thoracocotyle crocea* (Monogenea), while the parasite with the highest average intensity was *Caligus pelamydis* (Copepoda). The mean intensity and abundance of infection of *T. crocea* and unidentified Didymozoidae. were found to be positively related to the size of *S. sierra*. Sex showed significant differences only with the mean abundance of *T. crocea*, and with the mean intensity of *Didymocylindrus* sp. The five new records of parasites in *S. sierra* from Peru were *Didymocylindrus* sp., *Glomeritrema* sp., unidentified Didymozoidae, *Scomberocotyle scomberomori*, and *C. pelamydis*. This study represents the first ecological-parasitic record for *S. sierra* in northern Peru.

**Keywords:** Copepoda, ecology, ichthyoparasitology, monogenea, Peru, trematoda

**Resumen:** Comunidad ectoparasitaria de las branquias del sierra del Pacífico *Scomberomorus sierra* Jordan & Starks, 1895 (Actinopteri: Scombridae), procedente del norte del Perú. Los escómbridos han sido revisados con fines principalmente sistemáticos, zoogeográficos y parasitológicos; sin embargo, son escasos los estudios enfocados en la interacción entre los parámetros ecológicos y la comunidad parasitaria de un determinado hospedero. Es por ello que el objetivo de esta investigación fue analizar la comunidad de ectoparasitos de *Scomberomorus sierra*, procedente del Norte del Perú. Se obtuvieron en total 58 especímenes de *S. sierra* que fueron necropsiados para la búsqueda de parásitos, los cuales fueron recolectados y conservados. Fueron calculados los principales índices parasitológicos, grado de agregación, asociación parasitaria con tamaño, peso y sexo, y finalmente índices de diversidad alfa de la fauna parasitaria. El 98% de los peces estuvieron parasitados por al menos una especie parásita. Los parásitos con mayor prevalencia fueron *Didymocylindrus* sp. (Trematoda) y *Thoracocotyle crocea* (Monogenea); mientras que el parásito con mayor intensidad media fue *Caligus pelamydis* (Copepoda). La intensidad media y la abundancia media de infección de *T. crocea* y Didymozoidae no identificado se encontraron relacionados positivamente con el tamaño de *S. sierra*. El sexo mostró diferencias significativas solo con la abundancia media de *T. crocea*, y con la intensidad media de *Didymocylindrus* sp. Los cinco nuevos registros de parásitos en *S. sierra* para el Perú fueron *Didymocylindrus* sp., *Glomeritrema* sp., Didymozoidae no indentificado, *Scomberocotyle scomberomori*, y *C. pelamydis*. Este estudio, representa el primer registro ecológico-parasitario para *S. sierra* en el norte del Perú.

**Palabras claves:** copepoda, ecología, ictioparasitología, monogenea, Perú, trematoda

## INTRODUCTION

Throughout history parasites have been considered as harmful agents and pathogens; however, it is currently known that they can regulate abundance and alter the structure of the host community and the food chains (Luque & Poulin, 2007; Bautista *et al.*, 2013; Iannacone & Alvaríño 2013; Chero *et al.*, 2016; Cardoso *et al.*, 2017). Parasites are also excellent biological indicators to investigate the ecology, migration and population structure of marine fishes (Silva *et al.*, 2017).

Scombrids are one of the most popular and edible fish families in the world, and are constituted by mackerel, tuna, and bonito (Paxton, 1998; Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021; Yemmen & Gargouri, 2022). Trophic biology studies show that epipelagic scombrids are widely known as opportunistic and generalist predators, which means that their diet is made up of many organisms from different levels of the food chain (Paxton, 1998; Olson *et al.*, 2016). Internationally, the species of the Scombridae family have mainly been reviewed for systematic, zoogeographical purposes and some parasitological investigations; however, few studies have focused on the interaction between ecological factors and the parasite community of a specific host (Chero *et al.*, 2016; Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019; Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020, 2021).

*Scomberomorus sierra* Jordan and Starks, 1895 (Scombridae) is a pelagic fish that is distributed from southern California (United State of America), including the Galapagos Islands (Aguirre-Villaseñor *et al.*, 2006) to Paita, Peru (Collette, 1995; Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). This species presents a migratory behavior, which is due to its diet based on sardines and anchoveta (Collette & Nauen, 1983, Moreno *et al.*, 2011), making it a species that sustains temporary fishery based on migration (Lucano *et al.*, 2011; Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021).

Some studies on the parasite community of *S. sierra* have been developed from a systematic, taxonomic and ecological perspective in Mexico (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020; Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021; Morales-Serna *et al.*, 2021). In this sense, the annual variation of the helminth community of 151 individuals of *S. sierra* in Mazatlán, Mexico has been analyzed, recording 13 helminth taxa, with the best represented group being digeneans (Barcenás *et al.*, 2021). Similarly, interannual and spatial oscillations in metazoan parasite communities has been evaluated over a 10-year

period in 674 *S. sierra* specimens in four locations on the Pacific coast of Mexico, identifying 24 parasitic metazoan taxa. In both studies, the parasite communities were characterized by a high richness of ectoparasite species, with monogeneans and some didymozoid species being numerically dominant (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). In South America and Peru few studies have focused on the metazoan parasitic fauna of *S. sierra*. Therefore, from the first evaluations to date, only 11 species of parasites have been recorded over the last 43 years, nine of them from Peru, three copepods *Acantholochus nudiusculus* (Cressey and Cressey, 1980), *Caligus omissus* Cressey and Cressey, 1980, and *Cybicola buccatus* (Wilson, 1922), one tapeworms *Adenocephalus pacificus* Nybelin, 1931, three monogeneans *Pseudaxine* sp., *Mexicotyle mexicana* (Meserve, 1938) Lebedev, 1984, and *Thoracocotyle crocea* MacCallum, 1913, and finally, two trematodes *Anaporrhutum* sp. and *Didymozoon* sp. (Cressey & Cressey, 1980; Luque *et al.*, 2016).

Taking the above into account, the present study aimed to analyze the ecological parameters of the parasitic community of *S. sierra* from Northern Peru.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Hosts

A total of 58 specimens of *S. sierra* were obtained from artisanal fishery between October 2019 and August 2022 from Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru (13° 30' 07" S; 80° 23' 33" W). The fish were preserved and transferred through a cold chain with ice in coolers to the laboratory for further evaluation. The morphometric data of total length (TL) (cm), weight (g) and sex of each fish were recorded. The dissection of each individual consisted of an intensive search for ectoparasites in the gills, eyes, skin, operculum, fins, and oral cavity.

### Metazoan parasites

Metazoan parasites were removed, transferred and separated in petri dishes and finally washed with 0.9% saline solution (Suthar *et al.*, 2022). All parasites followed standard mounting and staining procedures for each taxon. The helminths were fixed in a mixture of absolute ethyl alcohol (85%), formaldehyde (10%), and acetic acid (5%) for one hour and then transferred to a 14.8 mL glass container with ethanol at 70%. For the preparation of permanent mounting sheets, the helminths were placed for

one hour in acetocarmine, and then removed from the dye and washed in 70% ethyl alcohol to eliminate excess dye, followed by dehydration in a graded ethanol series, cleared in clove oil, and finally mounted in Canada balsam. Copepod crustaceans were rinsed in pure glycerin for a period of two weeks (Hayward & Rohde, 1999; Eiras et al., 2006; Almeida & Almeida, 2014; Thaenkham et al., 2022; Wood et al., 2023). The identification of monogeneans, trematodes, and copepods parasites was based on specialized publications (Gibson et al., 2002; Kohn et al., 2006, 2007; Gibbons, 2010; Cohen et al., 2013; Luque et al., 2016; Eiras et al., 2017; Mendoza-Garfi et al., 2017; Smit et al., 2019). Each of the species found was deposited in the collection of Parasitic Helminths and Related Invertebrates (HPIA) of the Natural History Museum of the Federico Villarreal National University (MHN-UNFV) under the codes: MUFV: ZOO-HPIA: 206-214.

#### Data processing and statistical analysis

The ecological parasite prevalence indices (P%), mean abundance (MA) and mean intensity (MI) of infection were calculated for all hosts (Bush et al., 1997; Bautista et al., 2015). The type of strategy used was determined according to the P% obtained from each parasitic species found in *S. sierra* as follows: 1) core species, strategy for species with a P% greater than 60%; 2) secondary species, strategy for species with P% within the range of 40% to 60%; 3) satellite species for species with P% between 5 and 40%, and finally, 4) rare species with P% less than 5% (Suthar et al., 2022). The specific importance index (SII) calculated as the importance of each parasite species in the ecological assemblage was used in order to obtain an integrated infection index of both ecological descriptors:  $SII = P\% + (MA \times 100)$ , where: SII= Specific importance index, P% = Prevalence, MA= Mean abundance of infection (Minaya et al., 2021a).

The dominance frequency of each parasitic species was determined as the number of times that a parasitic species is dominant in all the fish hosts examined and the relative dominance frequency of each parasitic species was calculated as the number of individuals of a parasitic species divided by the total number of individuals of all taxa in the parasitic infracommunity. Single and multiple parasitic infection (two to three parasitic metazoan species) were determined (Rodhe et al., 1995).

For the parasite species presenting prevalences greater than 10% (Esch et al., 1990),

dispersion indices were used (DI: Variance ( $S^2$ ) / MA, Poulin discrepancy index (PDI) and K of the negative binomial equation with its respective Chi square value ( $X^2$ ) to determine the type of distribution and degree of aggregation, which was classified as aggregate, uniform or random (Bego & Von Zuben, 2010). The calculations were made using the Quantitative statistical package. Parasitology 3.0 (Rózsa et al., 2000) The inter-annual variation of the parasitic fauna was not evaluated due to the low number of specimens evaluated per year.

The Pearson's correlation coefficient was applied to evaluate the association between TL versus P% and Weight versus P%, where the P% values were previously transformed to the square root of arcsine. The Spearman's correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) was used to determine the relationship between host TL and MA and MI and weight vs. host MA and MI of each parasite species, after calculating four ranges of TL (cm) (I: 13–49; II: 49.1–58; III: 58.1–67; IV: 67.1–78) and seven ranges of weight (g) (I: 301–398; II: 398.1–486; III: 486.1–574; IV: 574.1–662; V: 662.1–750; VI: 750.1–838; VII: 838.1–926). In all cases, the normality of the data was verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with the Lilliefors modification and the homocentricity of variances based on the Levene test (Stockemer, 2019; Minaya et al., 2020).

The Student's t test was used to compare the MA and MI of each parasite and the sex of the host. 2x2 contingency tables were used to calculate the degree of association between the sex of the host and P% of each parasite using the  $X^2$  and the Likelihood Ratio test. The analysis of the parasites in relation to the TL and the sex of the host was carried out only for species with a prevalence greater than 10% (Esch et al., 1990).

The following alpha diversity indices were determined: Richness (S), Individuals, Menhinick ( $D_{Mn}$ ), Margalef ( $D_{Mg}$ ), Shannon-Weaver ( $H'$ ), Pielou Equitability ( $J'$ ), Simpson (D), Berger-Parker (d) and finally Chao-1 for the parasitic community component, for males and females (Salmerón-López et al., 2017; Minaya et al., 2021a; Negrelli et al., 2021). Similarly, to compare the values of H and D between sexes, the Student's t test was used. The level of significance was evaluated at a level of  $p \leq 0.05$ . To determine the diversity indices, we used the statistical package PAST-Palaeontological STatistics, ver. 4.03, and for the descriptive and inferential statistics, the statistical package IBM SPSS Statistics 27 was used.

## RESULTS

The population structure of *S. sierra* was composed of 50% males (n=29) and 50% females (n=29). The TL of the 58 hosts ranged between 13–78 cm (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation [SD] =  $54.1 \pm 9.2$  cm). Males ranged from 40–78 cm ( $53.8 \pm 8.2$  cm) in length while females ranged between 13–76 cm ( $54.3 \pm 10.3$  cm).

A total of 58 individuals of *S. sierra* were examined, of which 1085 specimens of ectoparasites distributed in nine morpho-species were collected and identified. The greatest richness was for the group of ectoparasitic trematodes which presented four species (*Didymocylindrus* sp., *Glomeritrema* sp., unidentified Didymozoidae, and *Didymozoon* sp.), followed by monogeneans, with three species [*T. crocea*, *Mexicotyle mexicana*, *Scomberocotyle scomberomori* (Cuvier, 1829)], and finally, the copepods with two species (*C. buccatus* and *Caligus pelamydis* Krøyer, 1863) (Table 1). At least one species of metazoan parasite was found in 98% of the fish (n=57), while the remaining 2% (n=1) did not present any parasite. No endoparasites were recorded in *S. sierra*.

Site of infection, P%, MA, MI of infection, SII and type of strategy of the nine ectoparasitic metazoan species were shown for *S. sierra* (Table 1). The species with the highest P%, and MA was *Didymocylindrus* sp. followed by *T. crocea* with the highest MI (Table 1). Regarding the type of ecological strategy, one species was defined as a core species (11.11%), one as secondary (11.11%) and seven were cataloged as satellite species (77.77%) (Table 1).

The highest frequency of absolute dominance for one species, for two or more species and the relative frequency was for the trematode *Didymocylindrus* sp., followed by the monogenean *T. crocea*. All other species showed a dominance frequency of two or more species, except for *M. mexicana*, *C. buccatus* and *C. pelamydis* (Table 2).

The dispersion of seven ectoparasites of *S. sierra* based on three aggregation indices, DI, PDI and K, the latter with its interpretation by  $X^2$ , for species with P% above 10% is shown. The three indices suggest high levels of aggregation for the parasites (Table 3).

A negative correlation between the MA and MI of *T. crocea*, and the TL of the host was found (Table 4). The same situation is observed for the parasite unidentified Didymozoidae. On the other hand, the digenean *Glomeritrema* sp. is

the only species showing a degree of association between the weight of the host and the MA of the parasite. Regarding the host sex factor, this was closely associated with the P% and MA of *T. crocea*, and for *Didymocylindrus* sp., sex was associated with the MI (Table 4).

There were slight differences according to sex of *S. sierra* population for the values of the alpha diversity indices ( $D_{Mn}$  and  $D_{Mg}$ ) of the community component of ectoparasitic metazoans with higher values in females than in males. On the other hand, there were similar values for H, J, D, d and Chao-1 for females compared to males (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

The parasitic community of the ectoparasitic metazoan fauna in *S. sierra* was dominated by seven flatworms and two crustaceans. All were host-specialist ectoparasites (monogeneans, didymozoids and copepods). In the case of ectoparasites in *S. sierra*, transmission is associated with factors such as habitat, behavior, diet and host density, as well as environmental characteristics (for example, depth and temperature) (Poulin, 1995; Barber *et al.*, 2000; Oliva *et al.*, 2004; Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019; Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). In our research of ectoparasites in *S. sierra*, the highest P% and the highest frequency of absolute dominance for one species, for two or more species and the relative frequency for ectoparasite species was obtained by the digenean *Didymocylindrus* sp. followed by the monogenean *T. crocea*. In the Bay of Mazatlán, Mexico, 11 parasitic species were recorded in *S. sierra*, of which the highest P% were *Didymocylindrus* sp. (92%) and *Didymocystis scomberomori* (MacCallum and MacCallum, 1916) (88%), while the monogenean *T. crocea* achieved the highest MI value (75.2) (Bárceñas *et al.*, 2021). Didymozoids are also considered to be highly host-specific with the highest frequency of absolute dominance and the relative frequency; this parasite group mainly parasitises tropical and subtropical scombrids. Five didymozoid species have been reported in *Scomber japonicus* Houttuyn, 1782 from Peru (Cruces *et al.*, 2014). *T. crocea* has been recorded in all 18 species of *Scomberomorus* Lacepède (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). Monogeneans are often transmitted directly between individual hosts through contact, exhibit high host-specificity, have a direct life cycle and can reproduce in a wide range of temperatures (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020).

Table 1. Ecological descriptors of the gill ectoparasites found in the Pacific sierra *Scomberomorus sierra* from Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru. Abbreviations: P%, prevalence percentage; MA, mean infection abundance; MI, mean infection intensity; SD, standard error; SII, specific importance index.

| Parasitic species                  | P % | MA ± SD      | MI ± SD      | SII  | Strategy type | Deposit code |
|------------------------------------|-----|--------------|--------------|------|---------------|--------------|
| <b>TREMATODA</b>                   |     |              |              |      |               |              |
| <i>Didymocylindrus</i> sp.         | 83  | 8.62 ± 1.50  | 10.41 ± 1.71 | 945  | core          | HPIA-206     |
| <i>Glomeritrema</i> sp.            | 22  | 0.93 ± 0.40  | 4.15 ± 1.53  | 116  | satellite     | HPIA-207     |
| <b>Unidentified</b>                |     |              |              |      |               |              |
| <b>Didymozoidae</b>                | 21  | 0.97 ± 0.37  | 4.66 ± 1.66  | 117  | satellite     | HPIA-208     |
| <i>Didymozoon</i> sp.              | 5   | 0.10 ± 0.06  | 2.00 ± 0.00  | 16   | satellite     | HPIA-209     |
| <b>MONOGENEA</b>                   |     |              |              |      |               |              |
| <i>Thoracocotyle crocea</i>        | 53  | 6.33 ± 1.82  | 11.83 ± 3.09 | 686  | secondary     | HPIA-210     |
| <i>Mexicotyle mexicana</i>         | 12  | 0.26 ± 0.11  | 2.14 ± 0.55  | 38   | satellite     | HPIA-211     |
| <i>Scomberocotyle scomberomori</i> | 7   | 0.31 ± 0.19  | 4.50 ± 1.84  | 38   | satellite     | HPIA-212     |
| <b>COPEPODA</b>                    |     |              |              |      |               |              |
| <i>Cybicola buccatus</i>           | 34  | 0.83 ± 0.22  | 2.40 ± 0.46  | 117  | satellite     | HPIA-213     |
| <i>Caligus pelamydis</i>           | 29  | 0.36 ± 0.08  | 1.23 ± 0.10  | 66   | satellite     | HPIA-214     |
| <b>Total</b>                       | 98  | 18.71 ± 3.08 | 18.71 ± 3.08 | 1969 |               |              |

Table 2. Frequency of absolute dominance and relative dominance for one and two ectoparasitic species in *Scomberomorus sierra* acquired in Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru.

| Parasitic species                  | Frequency of dominance |               |           |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                                    | absolute               | 2 or more sp. | relative  |
| <i>Didymocylindrus</i> sp.         | 14                     | 17            | 0.51±0.40 |
| <i>Glomeritrema</i> sp.            | 0                      | 3             | 0.05±0.13 |
| <b>Unidentified Didymozoidae</b>   | 0                      | 1             | 0.04±0.11 |
| <i>Didymozoon</i> sp.              | 0                      | 2             | 0.01±0.04 |
| <i>Thoracocotyle crocea</i>        | 1                      | 13            | 0.22±0.28 |
| <i>Mexicotyle mexicana</i>         | 0                      | 0             | 0.01±0.04 |
| <i>Scomberocotyle scomberomori</i> | 0                      | 1             | 0.02±0.08 |
| <i>Cybicola buccatus</i>           | 0                      | 0             | 0.05±0.10 |
| <i>Caligus pelamydis</i>           | 3                      | 0             | 0.07±0.22 |

The differences observed between the present research and with Santos-Bustos *et al.* (2020) could be attributed to: (1) host sample size: 59 in our work and 674 in Santos-Bustos *et al.* (2020); (2) host evaluation period: four years of sampling in this work and in Santos-Bustos *et al.* (2020) throughout 10 years of monitoring; (3) number

of locations evaluated: one in Peru, in the current work, *versus* four in Mexico (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020); (4) number of biological groups of parasites collected: only three (Trematoda, Monogenea, and Copepoda) in this research, and in contrast in Santos-Bustos *et al.* (2020) were up to seven, also including Cestoda, Acanthocephala,

Table 3. Aggregation indices to evaluate the dispersion of the most prevalent ectoparasites in *Scomberomorus sierra* acquired in Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru. Abbreviations: *p*, significance value;  $X^2$ , Chi-square test value; A, Aggregate. \*\* Sample too small to verify the fit of the negative binomial distribution.

| Aggregation indices                             | <i>Didymocylindrus</i> sp. | <i>Glomeritrema</i> sp. | Unidentified Didymozoidae | <i>Thoracocotyle crocea</i> | <i>Mexicotyle mexicana</i> | <i>Cybicola buccatus</i> | <i>Caligus pelamydis</i> |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Dispersion Index (DI)</b>                    | 15.23                      | 10.17                   | 8.25                      | 30.21                       | 2.79                       | 3.36                     | 1.04                     |
| <b>interpretation</b>                           | A                          | A                       | A                         | A                           | A                          | A                        | A                        |
| <b>Poulin Discrepancy Index (PDI)</b>           | 0.61                       | 0.89                    | 0.88                      | 0.79                        | 0.90                       | 0.77                     | 0.74                     |
| <b>interpretation</b>                           | A                          | A                       | A                         | A                           | A                          | A                        | A                        |
| <b>Negative binomial exponent (K)</b>           | 0.63                       | 0.11                    | 0.10                      | 0.22                        | 0.10                       | 0.35                     | 14.31                    |
| <b><i>p</i>/interpretation <math>X^2</math></b> | 0.12/A                     | 0.48/A                  | 1.00/A                    | 0.36/A                      | **                         | 0.99/A                   | **                       |

Nematoda and Isopoda, and finally (5) types of parasites: only ectoparasites in this research *versus* ectoparasites, and endoparasites in Santos-Bustos *et al.* (2020).

Aggregation is a typical parasite dispersion pattern in marine fishes (Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019). In all the ectoparasitic species observed in this study, the aggregation indices (DI, PDI, and K) showed a contagious distribution, which is influenced by intrinsic and extrinsic factors such as: (a) spatial heterogeneity of the fish habitat that produces differences in susceptibility; (b) influence of the evolutionary history of the parasite for food, space and reproductive competition; (c) improvement in the opportunity of infecting fish; and (d) prevention of host population collapse due to the effects of parasitism (Iannacone *et al.*, 2012).

Regarding the degree of association between parameters, the TL of the host was negatively related to the MA and MI of *T. crocea* and unidentified Didymozoidae. No correlation was found between the abundance and P% of *Didymocylindrus simplex* (Ishii, 1935) and the TL of scombrid *Katsuwonus pelamis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Justo *et al.*, 2013). Other studies have described a positive correlation for the abundance of monogenean *T. crocea* in Acapulco, Mexico with the size of the fish (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). A possible explanation for the fact that the TL of the host was negatively related to the MA and MI of *T. crocea* and unidentified Didymozoidae. may be that the smaller specimens of *S. sierra* correspond to the immature or juvenile forms of the

fish, which infers that both species of parasites present a greater burden in juvenile populations. Therefore, it is suggested that the small and juvenile specimens of *S. sierra* are gregarious and form schools, while the adults of the large species are solitary or less gregarious (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). For example, it is known that ectoparasitic monogenous populations may be more abundant in schooling fish than in solitary species because the probability of a transmission stage (*e.g.*, eggs, larvae) coming into contact with a host increases with a higher host density (Santos-Bustos *et al.*, 2020). In *Sphyrna ensis* it is known that ectoparasite populations may be more abundant in fish with a schooling behavior than in solitary species because the probability of a transmission stage (*e.g.*, eggs, larvae) contacting a host increases with greater host density (Minaya *et al.*, 2021b). This pattern is opposite to that observed in other fish species, in which larger fish can facilitate parasite colonization by ecto- and endoparasites because larger fish offer a greater surface area for gill-attaching parasites, tend to ingest larger amounts of food, and are often older, meaning they have had more time to accumulate parasites than smaller, younger individuals (Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019). Differences in the diet of *S. sierra* have been reported among the different size classes of these fish (juveniles, pre-adults and adults) (Torres-Rojas *et al.*, 2020).

A close relationship was observed between the sex of the host and the ecoparasitological parameters of *T. crocea* and *Didymocylindrus*

Table 4. Association between total length (TL), weight and sex of *Scomberomorus sierra* versus prevalence (P%), mean intensity (MI), mean abundance (MA) ectoparasitic acquired in Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru. Abbreviations: *p*, significance level; *r*, Pearson’s correlation; *rho*, Spearman;  $X^2$ , Chi-square test; *F*, Levene test. Values in bold of *p* indicate statistically significant differences.

| Parasitic species |                      | <i>Thoracocotyle crocea</i> | <i>Didymocylindrus</i> sp. | <i>Caligus pelamydis</i> | <i>Cybicola buccatus</i> | <i>Glomeritrema</i> sp. | Unidentified Didymozoidae | <i>Mexicotyle mexicana</i> |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| TL vs. P%         | <b>r</b>             | -0.17                       | -0.23                      | -0.73                    | -0.79                    | -0.72                   | -0.91                     | -0.55                      |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.84                        | 0.77                       | 0.27                     | 0.21                     | 0.28                    | 0.09                      | 0.45                       |
| TL vs. MA         | <b>rho</b>           | -1.00**                     | -0.40                      | -0.40                    | -0.80                    | -0.80                   | -0.95                     | -0.40                      |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | <b>0.00</b>                 | 0.60                       | 0.60                     | 0.20                     | 0.20                    | <b>0.05</b>               | 0.60                       |
| TL vs. MI         | <b>rho</b>           | -1.00**                     | -0.40                      | -0.32                    | -0.80                    | -0.80                   | -0.95                     | -0.80                      |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | <b>0.00</b>                 | 0.60                       | 0.68                     | 0.20                     | 0.20                    | <b>0.05</b>               | 0.20                       |
| Weight vs. P%     | <b>r</b>             | 0.54                        | -0.49                      | -0.15                    | 0.32                     | 0.55                    | -0.53                     | 0.73                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.35                        | 0.40                       | 0.81                     | 0.61                     | 0.34                    | 0.36                      | 0.16                       |
| Weight vs. MA     | <b>rho</b>           | -0.30                       | -0.70                      | -0.10                    | 0.30                     | 0.90                    | -0.70                     | 0.62                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.62                        | 0.19                       | 0.87                     | 0.62                     | <b>0.04</b>             | 0.19                      | 0.27                       |
| Weight vs. MI     | <b>rho</b>           | -0.40                       | -0.40                      | 0.21                     | 0.70                     | 0.20                    | -0.70                     | 0.26                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.51                        | 0.51                       | 0.74                     | 0.19                     | 0.75                    | 0.19                      | 0.67                       |
| Sex vs. P%        | <b>X<sup>2</sup></b> | 5.61                        | 0.00                       | 0.08                     | 0.31                     | 0.10                    | 1.68                      | 0.16                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | <b>0.02</b>                 | 1.00                       | 0.77                     | 0.58                     | 0.75                    | 0.19                      | 0.69                       |
| Sex vs. MA        | <b>Student’s-t</b>   | 1.49                        | 1.97                       | 0.64                     | 0.63                     | 0.17                    | 1.70                      | 0.46                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.14                        | 0.06                       | 0.53                     | 0.53                     | 0.87                    | 0.10                      | 0.65                       |
|                   | <b>F (Levene)</b>    | 4.41                        | 8.04                       | 2.01                     | 0.56                     | 0.04                    | 10.04                     | 0.76                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | <b>0.04</b>                 | 0.01                       | 0.16                     | 0.46                     | 0.84                    | 0.00                      | 0.39                       |
| Sex vs. MI        | <b>Student’s-t</b>   | 0.52                        | 2.12                       | 1.00                     | 0.34                     | 0.01                    | 1.58                      | 0.21                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.61                        | <b>0.04</b>                | 0.33                     | 0.74                     | 0.99                    | 0.15                      | 0.85                       |
|                   | <b>F (Levene)</b>    | 1.01                        | 7.68                       | 4.53                     | 1.70                     | 0.001                   | 21.24                     | 1.12                       |
|                   | <i>p</i>             | 0.32                        | 0.01                       | 0.05                     | 0.21                     | 0.98                    | 0.00                      | 0.34                       |

sp. (Table 4). In other studies, no significant differences were found for the P% and MI of *Didymocylindrus filiformis* Ishii, 1935 between the sexes of scombrid *K. pelamis* (Silva et al., 2017). Our results are surprising, because biological differences between males and females of *S. sierra* have not previously been reported in the literature (Aguirre-Villaseñor et al., 2006; Lucano et al., 2011; Espino-Barr et al., 2017; Justo & Kohn, 2014; Torres-Rojas et al., 2020). Thus, there is a clear indication of the prefer-

ence of the parasites for one of the sexes of the host fish. However, all that has been published to date in the scientific literature does not show differences in the ecological relationships (habitat, behavior, and feeding) of males and females of *S. sierra* and does not help us to adequately explain the differences found between the sex of the host and the ecoparasitological parameters of *T. crocea* and *Didymocylindrus* sp. (Sandoval-Ramírez et al., 2020; Torres-Rojas et al., 2020). This interpretation would also apply the differences observed for the parasitological indices of

Table 5. Alpha diversity indices for the community component of metazoan ectoparasites according to the sex of *Scomberomorus sierra* collected in Puerto Pizarro, Tumbes, Peru. Abbreviations: *p*, significance level; *t*, Student's-t; *S*, Richness;  $D_{Mn}$ , Menhinick index;  $D_{MG}$ , Margalef index; *H*, Shannon-Wiener index; *J*, Equitability of Pielou index; *D*, Dominance of Simpson index; *d*, Berger-Parker index.

| Alpha diversity indices                 | Total | Males | Females | t(p)        |
|---|-------|-------|---------|-------------|
| <b>Richness (S)</b>                     | 9     | 9     | 9       |             |
| <b>Individuals</b>                      | 1085  | 720   | 365     |             |
| <b>Menhinick (<math>D_{Mn}</math>)</b>  | 0.27  | 0.34  | 0.47    |             |
| <b>Margalef (<math>D_{MG}</math>)</b>   | 1.15  | 1.22  | 1.36    |             |
| <b>Shannon-Wiener (<math>H'</math>)</b> | 1.40  | 1.31  | 1.51    | 0.66 (0.50) |
| <b>Equitability of Pielou (J)</b>       | 0.64  | 0.60  | 0.69    |             |
| <b>Dominance of Simpson (D)</b>         | 0.33  | 0.35  | 0.30    | 1.74 (0.08) |
| <b>Berger-Parker (d)</b>                | 0.46  | 0.46  | 0.45    |             |
| <b>Chao-1</b>                           | 9     | 9     | 9       |             |

*T. crocea* and *Didymocylindrus* sp. and for  $D_{Mn}$  and  $D_{MG}$  (Iannacone *et al.*, 2013; Rey *et al.*, 2022). It should be remembered that for ectoparasites of *S. sierra*, *S*, *H*, *J*, *D*, *d* and Chao-1 did not show differences between sexes of hosts. The Chao-1 index shows that the size of the host sample was adequate.

In Peru, the monogeneans *T. crocea* and *M. mexicana* are species that have been reported in *S. sierra*, *T. crocea* from Trujillo in northern Peru (Tantaleán *et al.*, 1985; Luque *et al.*, 2016) and *M. mexicana* from Chimbote in northern Peru (Tantaleán *et al.*, 1988; Luque *et al.*, 2016). Likewise, the presence of these two monogeneans has also been recorded in Mexico Lamothe *et al.*, 1997; Pérez *et al.*, 1999; Mendoza-Garfi *et al.*, 2017; Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021). The finding of both species of monogeneans in both Peru and Mexico confirms their specificity towards *S. sierra* (Costello, 2016). In Mexico, *T. crocea* reached the highest MI levels probably related to the anomalous warm conditions since *S. sierra* were collected during the “El Niño” event and monogeneans may be more abundant during times of prolonged heat (Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021).

The digeneans of the Didymozoidae family, are considered scombrid specialists and are transmitted by ingestion (Pozdnyakov & Gibson, 2008). They are a group with high P% that is part of the helminthofauna of *S. sierra* (Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021). Digeneans of the family Didymozoidae are parasites of marine fish with high host specificity. They mainly parasitize tropical and subtropical scombrids (Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019). The high vagility and endothermy of scombrids require the need for high metabolic

energy which is met by foraging large quantities of food items (*e.g.*, crustaceans, fish of the Engraulidae and Clupeidae families, molluscs, and finally, polychaetes) and can function as intermediate and paratenic hosts for didymozoids. This indicates that this species act as tertiary consumers, or mesopredators, in the food network (Miranda-Delgado *et al.*, 2019; Sandoval-Ramírez *et al.*, 2020). The high prevalence and medium abundance levels of *Didymocylindrus* sp., could be explained by the preference of *S. sierra* to prey on fish (Moreno *et al.*, 2011), since these actinopterygians are intermediate or paratenic hosts for didymozoids of this group of trematodes (Bárcenas *et al.*, 2021). In northern Peru, *S. sierra* has a record for a representative of the Didymozoidae family, which is the species *Didymozoon* sp. (Tantaleán *et al.*, 1992; Luque *et al.*, 2016) that were also recorded in the present study.

In the Mexican Pacific, two species of flukes of the Bucephalidae family have been recorded as parasitizing *S. sierra*: *Bucephalus heterotentaculatus* Bravo and Sogandares, 1956 in the state of Guerrero and *Proisorhynchoides cybii* (Park, 1939) in Colima (Bravo & Sogandares, 1956), while in northern Peru, *Anaporrhutum* sp. a digenean of the Gorgoderidae family (Tantaleán *et al.*, 1992; Luque *et al.*, 2016) has been described. However, in the present study no members of either the Bucephalidae family or the Gorgoderidae family were found. This could be explained by the variations in abiotic factors such as local fluctuations in surface temperature are known to affect many ecological processes, including the productivity of food webs, and the transmission rates of



many trophically transmitted endoparasites as Bucephalidae family and Gorgoderidae family due to population decreases in their potential intermediate hosts (Santos-Bustos et al., 2020).

On the Pacific coast of Mexico, 24 parasitic metazoan taxa which were collected from four sampling sites were determined in *S. sierra* (3 monogeneans, 8 trematodes, one cestode, one acanthocephala, 4 nematodes, 5 copepods, and 2 isopods). Trematodes presented the highest richness, representing 34% of the total species recovered, followed by copepods (29%). According to the infection site, 13 species of parasites were classified as ectoparasite and 11 as endoparasites (Santos-Bustos et al., 2020). The present study also presented a greater richness in trematodes, which represented 57% of the total species found. However, according to the infection site, all the specimens recovered in this study were ectoparasites, since they were all collected from the gills of their hosts. These results could suggest that there are variations in local environmental factors of Peruvian marine waters (i.e., temperature, salinity and other abiotic factors) that have a substantial effect on community structure of important intermediate potential hosts for intestinal helminths endoparasites (Santos-Bustos et al., 2020).

Copepods are the second largest parasitic group in marine fish. Members of the Caligidae family being the most frequent ectoparasites, due to their ability to swim and move (Morales et al., 2016). Apparently, crustaceans are neither abundant nor frequent in scombrid fish (Miranda-Delgado et al., 2019). Only two species have been recorded in the parasite communities of *S. sierra*. A study carried out in Veracruz, Mexico recorded the copepods *C. pelamydis* and *C. buccatus* in the fish *Scomberomorus cavalla* (Cuvier, 1829) (Villar-Beltrán et al., 2019). In our study, *S. sierra* presented both copepods, which suggesting a possible affinity for the Scombridae family. Likewise, *C. pelamydis* has not previously been recorded in *S. sierra*, and this is the first study to report this association. In Peru, the copepods *Acantholochus nudiusculus* (Cressey & Cressey, 1980), *Caligus omissus* Cressey & Cressey, 1980 and *C. buccatus* (Luque et al., 2016) have been previously recorded.

As suggested by Bárcenas et al. (2021), we agree that two main factors seem to determine the structuring of the parasitofauna of *S. sierra*: the food chain network and the phylogenetic affinities of certain groups of helminths (i.e., Didymozoidae at a family level and

Thoracocotylidae at a host genus level). The nine species recorded in *S. sierra* in the present study are considered specialist species as they are only present in the Scombridae family (Santos-Bustos et al., 2020).

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the ectoparasitic metazoan community of *S. sierra* was made up of monogeneous specialist ectoparasites, didymozoid flukes, and copepods, which are taxa of parasites common to various species of scombrids, and of these only *T. crocea*, *Didymocylindrus* sp. and unidentified Didymozoidae. showed dependence (positive and negative) on the morphological parameters of their host. *Didymocylindrus* sp., *Glomeritrema* sp., unidentified Didymozoidae, *S. scomberomori*, and *C. pelamydis* are five new records of parasites in *S. sierra* for Peru.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank to two anonymous reviewers for providing relevant scientific information. This study was part of the first author's Biology thesis.

## REFERENCES

- Aguirre-Villaseñor, A., E. Morales-Bojórquez, R.E. Morán-Angulo, J. Madrid-Vera & M.C. Valdez-Pineda. 2006. Biological indicators for the Pacific sierra (*Scomberomorus sierra*) fishery in the southern Gulf of California, Mexico. *Ciencias Marinas* 32(3): 471–484.
- Almeida, A & K. Almeida. 2014. Sobre variações na técnica de tricrômico de gomori para estudo de helmintos da classe monogeneoidea e família dactylogyridae. *Biológicas y Saúde* 4(12): 1–7.
- Barber, I., D. Hoare & J. Krause. 2000. Effects of parasites on fish behaviour: a review and evolutionary perspective. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 10(2): 131–165.
- Bárcenas, N., F. Morales, R. Medina, V. Hernández, A. Ocegüera & L. García. 2021. Helminth fauna of *Scomberomorus sierra* (Actinopterygii: Scombridae) in southeastern Gulf of California, México. *Helminthologia* 58(4): 403–407.
- Bautista, C., S. Monks & G. Pulido. 2013. Los parásitos y el estudio de su biodiversidad: un enfoque sobre los estimadores de la riqueza de especies. *Estudios científicos en el estado de Hidalgo y zonas aledañas* 2: 13–17.
- Bautista, C., S. Monks, G. Pulido & A. Rodríguez. 2015. Revisión bibliográfica de algunos términos ecológicos usados en parasitología, y su aplicación en estú-

- dios de caso. *Estudios en Biodiversidad* 1: 11–19.
- Bego, N & C. Von Zuben. 2010. *Métodos cuantitativos em parasitologia*. FUNEP, Jaboticabal, 72 pp.
- Bravo, M. & F. Sogandares. 1956. Trematodes of marine fishes of Mexican waters. IX. Four gasterostomes from the Pacific coast. *Journal of Parasitology* 42(5): 536–539.
- Bush, A., K. Lafferty, J. Lotz & A. Shostak. 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al.* revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* 83(4): 575–583.
- Cardoso, A., M. Oliveira, L. Neves & M. Tavares. 2017. Metazoan fauna parasitizing *Peckoltia braueri* and *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* (Loricariidae) catfishes from the northeastern Brazilian Amazon. *Acta Amazonica* 47(2): 147–154.
- Chero, J., G. Sáez, J. Iannacone, C. Cruces, L. Alvaríño & J. Luque. 2016. Ecología Comunitaria de Metazoos Parásitos del Bonito *Sarda chiliensis* Cuvier, 1832 (Perciformes: Scombridae) de la Costa Peruana. *Revista de Investigaciones Veterinarias del Perú* 27(3): 539–555.
- Cohen, S.C., M.C.N. Justo & A. Kohn. 2013. *South American Monogenea parasites of fishes, amphibians and reptiles*. Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, 659 pp.
- Collette, B. 1995. Scombridae. In: W. Fischer, F. Krupp, W. Sceneider, C. Sommer, K. Carpenter & V. Niem (eds), *Guía FAO para la identificación de especies para los fines de la pesca. Pacífico central-oriental. Programa de identificación y datos de especies. Vertebrados. Parte II. Volumen III*, pp. 1521–1543, Departamento de pesca de la FAO, Roma.
- Collette, B. & C. Nauen. 1983. FAO Species Catalogue. Vol. 2. Scombrids of the World. In: United Nations Development Programme Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (eds.), *An Annotated and Illustrated Catalogue of Tunas, Mackerels, Bonitos and Related Species Known to Date*, pp. 1–137, FAO Fishery Synopsis, Rome.
- Costello, M.J. 2016. Parasite Rates of Discovery, Global Species Richness and Host Specificity. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 56(4): 588–599.
- Cressey, R. & H. Cressey. 1980. Parasitic copepods of mackerel and tuna-like fishes (Scombridae) of the world. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 311: 1–186.
- Cruces, C., J. Chero, J. Iannacone, A. Diestro, G. Sáez & L. Alvaríño. 2014. Metazoan parasites of ‘chub mackerel’ *Scomber japonicus* Houttuyn, 1782 (Perciformes: Scombridae) at the port of Chicama, La Libertad, Perú. *Neotropical Helminthology* 8(2): 357–381.
- Eiras J., R. Takemoto & G. Pavanelli. 2006. *Métodos de estudo e técnicas laboratoriais em parasitologia de peixes*. 2° ed. Eduen, Maringa, 199 pp.
- Eiras, J.C., A.L. Velloso & J. Pereira. 2017. *Parasitos de peixes marinhos da América do Sul*. Editora da FURG, Rio Grande, 441 pp.
- Esch, W., A. Shostak, D. Marcogliese & T. Goater. 1990. Patterns and process in helminth parasite communities: an overview. In: G. Esch, A.C. Bush & J. Aho (eds.), *Parasite Communities: Patterns and processes*, pp. 1–19, Springer, Dordrecht, New York.
- Espino-Barr, E., M. Gallardo-Cabello, R.A. Nava-Ortega, M. Puente-Gómez & A. Garcia-Boa. 2017. Reproduction of *Scomberomorus sierra* (Percoidei: Scombridae) in the Central Mexican Pacific coast. *Avances en Investigación Agropecuaria* 27(1): 47–64.
- Gibbons, L.M. 2010. *Key to the nematode parasites of vertebrates, supplementary volume*. CAB International, Wallingford, 416 pp.
- Gibson, D.I., A. Jones & R. Bray. 2002. *Keys to the Trematoda volume 1*. CAB International, London, 521 pp.
- Hayward, C.J. & K. Rohde. 1999. Revision of the monogenean subfamily Neothoracocotyline Lebedev, 1969 (Polyopisthocotylea: Thoracocotylidae). *Systematic Parasitology* 44(3): 183–191.
- Houttuyn, M. 1782. Beschryving van eenige Japanese visschen, en andere zee-schepzelen. *Verhandelingen der Hollandsche Maatschappij der Wetenschappen Haarlem* 20: 311–350.
- Iannacone, J. & L. Alvaríño. 2013. Parasitological indices of Pacific pomfret *Brama japonica* Hilgendorf, 1878 (Osteichthyes, Bramidae) acquired at fishing terminal of Chorrillos Lima, Peru. *Neotropical Helminthology* 7(1): 117–132.
- Iannacone, J., V. Sánchez, N. Olazábal, C. Salvador, L. Alvaríño & J. Molano. 2012. Ecological indices of parasites of *Scartichthys gigas* (Steindachner, 1876) (Perciformes: Blenniidae) of the coasts of Lima, Peru. *Neotropical Helminthology* 6(2): 191–203.
- Ishii, N. 1935. Studies on the family Didymozoidae (Monticelli, 1888). *Japanese Journal of Zoology* 6(2): 279–335.
- Jordan, D.S. & E.C. Starks. 1895. The fishes of Sinaloa. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* (Series 2) 5: 377–514.
- Justo, M.C.N. & A. Kohn. 2014. Monogenea and Digenea parasites of *Thunnus atlanticus* (Perciformes, Scombridae) from Rio de Janeiro coast, Brazil. *Neotropical Helminthology* 8(2): 339–348.
- Justo, M.C.N., A. Kohn, C.S. Pereira & F. Flores-Lopes. 2013. Histopathology and autoecology of *Didymocylindruss simplex* (Digenea: Didymozoidae), parasite of *Katsuwonus pelamis* (Scombridae) in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean, off South America. *Zoologia (Curitiba)* 30(3): 312–316.
- Kohn, A., S.C. Cohen & G. Salgado-Maldonado. 2006. Checklist of Monogenea parasites of freshwater and marine fishes, amphibians and reptiles from Mexico, Central America and Caribbean. *Zootaxa* 1289: 1–114.
- Kohn, A., B.M.M. Fernandes & S.C. Cohen. 2007. *South American Trematodes parasites of fishes*. Imprinta Express, Rio de Janeiro, 318 pp.
- Lamothe, R., L. García, D. Osorio & G. Pérez. 1997. *Catálogo de la Colección Nacional de Helmintos*. Publicaciones Especiales. Instituto de Biología, UNAM-CONABIO, México D.F., 211 pp.

- Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Ed. décima, reformata [10<sup>th</sup> revised edition] 1:1–824.
- Lucano, G., S. Ruiz, F. Palomera & G. González. 2011. Reproductive biology of the Pacific sierra *Scomberomorus sierra* (Pisces, Scombridae) in the Central Mexican Pacific. *Ciencias Marinas* 37(3): 249–260.
- Luque, J. & R. Poulin. 2007. Metazoan parasite species richness in Neotropical fishes: hotspots and the geography of biodiversity. *Parasitologia* 134(6): 865–878.
- Luque, J., C. Cruces, J. Chero, F. Paschoal, P. Alves, A. Da Silva, L. Sanchez & J. Iannacone. 2016. Checklist of metazoan parasites of fishes from Peru. *Neotropical Helminthology* 10(2): 301–375.
- MacCallum, G.A. 1913. *Thoracocotyle croceus* nov. gen., nov. sp. *Centralblatt für Bakteriologie und Parasitenkunde* 68: 335–337.
- MacCallum, G.A., W.G. MacCallum. (1916). The family Koellikeriadae (Didymozoidae Mont.). *Zoologische Jahrbuecher Abteilungen für Systematik* 39: 141–168.
- Mendoza-Garfí, B., L. Garcia & G. Pérez. 2017. Checklist of the Monogenea (Platyhelminthes) parasitic in Mexican aquatic vertebrates. *Zoosystema* 39(4): 501–598.
- Meserve, F.G. 1938. Some monogenetic trematodes from the Galapagos Islands and the neighboring Pacific. *Allan Hancock Pacific Expedition* 2: 31–89.
- Minaya, D., D.M. Leon-Luna, N.P. Miranda-Melo, L. Alvaríño-Flores & J. Iannacone. 2020. Comunidades parasitarias del mero manchado *Hyporthodus niphobles* (Gilbert & Starks, 1897) (Perciformes: Serranidae) de la costa marina del Perú. *Hidrobiológica* 30(1): 61–71.
- Minaya, D., L. Alvaríño-Flores, R.M. Urbano-Cueva & J. Iannacone. 2021a. Estructura comunitaria de metazoos parásitos en la doncella *Hemanthias peruanus* (Serranidae) del norte de Perú. *Revista MVZ Córdoba* 26(3): e2125.
- Minaya, D., D. Ferre, M. Garcia, L. Alvaríño & J. Iannacone. 2021b. Community of macroparasites of the Pacific barracuda *Sphyræna ensis* Jordan and Gilbert, 1882 (Perciformes, Sphyrænidae) from the north coast of Peru. *Arxius de Miscel·lània Zoològica* 19: 273–287.
- Miranda-Delgado, J.E., J. Violante-González, S. Monks, A.A. Rojas-Herrera, S. García-Ibáñez, P. Flores-Rodríguez, Y. Romero-Ramírez & N.G. Santos-Bustos. 2019. Factors linked to interannual variation in the metazoan parasite communities of black skipjack, *Euthynnus lineatus* (Pisces: Scombridae). *Invertebrate Biology* 138(3): e12259.
- Morales, F., R. Medina & E. Fajer. E. 2016. Piojo de mar (Copepoda: Caligidae) parásito de peces informado de la región Neotropical. *Biodiversidad Neotropical* 2: 141–150.
- Morales-Serna, F.N., L. Olivas-Padilla, E. Marín-Enriquez, J.M. Osuna-Cabanillas, H. Aguirre-Villaseñor & V. Hernández-Covarrubias. 2021. Copepod and monogenean infection of fish under sea surface temperature anomalies. *Journal of Sea Research* 175(5): 102098.
- Moreno, X., C. Quiñonez, L. Abitia & J. Rodríguez. 2011. Diet of the Pacific sierra *Scomberomorus sierra* (Perciformes: Scombridae) in two areas of north-west Mexico coast. *Aqua: International Journal of Ichthyology* 17(4): 185–192.
- Negrelli, D.C., J. Iannacone, V.D. Abdallah & R.K. De Azevedo. 2021. Qualitative and quantitative study of parasites of *Pimelodus maculatus* and *Rhamdia quelen* from the Jacaré-Pepira River, state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 93(2): e20190571.
- Nybelin, O. 1931. Undersökningar över kräftpestens orsak'. *Ny Svensk Fiskeritidskrift* 15: 144–149.
- Oliva, M., M.T. González & E. Acuña. 2004. Metazoan parasite fauna as biological tag for the habitat of the flounder, *Hippoglossina macrops* from Northern Chile, in a depth gradient. *Journal of Parasitology* 90(6): 1374–1377.
- Olson, R., J. Young, F. Ménard, M. Potier, V. Allain, N. Goñi, J. Logan & F. Galván. 2016. Bioenergetics, trophic ecology, and niche separation of tunas. *Advances in Marine Biology* 74: 199–344.
- Park, J.T. 1939. Frematodes [sic] of fishes from Työsen. IV. A new digenetic trematode parasite, *Bucephalopsis cybii* sp. nov. (Bucephalidae Poche, 1907). *Keizyo Journal of Medicine* 10: 63–65.
- Paxton, J.R., W.N. Eschmeyer & D. Kirshner. 1998. *Encyclopedia of Fishes*. Academic Press Inc, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 240 pp.
- Pérez, G., L. García, B. Mendoza, V. León, G. Pulido, C. Aranda & F. García. 1999. *Listados Faunísticos de México IX. Biodiversidad de Helmintos parásitos de peces marinos y estuarios de la Bahía de Chamela, Jalisco*. Instituto de Biología, UNAM, Ciudad de México DF, 51 pp.
- Poulin, R. 1995. Phylogeny, ecology, and the richness of parasite communities invertebrates. *Ecological Monograph* 65(3): 283–302.
- Pozdnyakov, S. & D. Gibson. 2008. Family Didymozooidea Monticelli, 1888. In: R.A. Bray, D.I. Gibson & A. Jones. (eds.), *Keys to the Trematoda, Vol. 3.*, pp. 630–734, CAB International and Natural Museum, London.
- Rey, C., D. Minaya & J. Iannacone. 2022. Community of metazoan parasites of the cockfish *Callorhynchus callorhynchus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Chimaeriformes: Callorhynchidae) from artisanal fishing in Pisco, Ica, Peru. *Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales nueva serie* 24(1): 77–87.
- Rodhe, K., C. Hayward & M. Heap. 1995. Aspects of the ecology of metazoan ectoparasites of marine fishes. *International Journal for Parasitology* 25(8): 945–970.
- Rózsa, L., J. Reiczigel & G. Majoros. 2000. Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. *Journal of Parasitology* 86(2): 228–232.
- Salmerón-López, A., G. Geada-López & M.C. Fagilde-Espinoza. 2017. Propuesta de un índice de diver-

- sidad funcional. Aplicación a un bosque semideciduo micrófilo de Cuba Oriental. *Bosque* 38(3): 457–466.
- Sandoval-Ramírez, A., G. Cerdaneres-Ladrón de Guevara, A.A. Rojas-Herrera, J. Violante-González, S. García-Ibáñez & J.C. Hernández-Gómez. 2020. Feeding habits of the fishes *Euthynnus lineatus* and *Scomberomorus sierra* (Perciformes: Scombridae) in the Eastern Tropical Pacific. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 68(4): 1073–1083.
- Santos-Bustos, N. G., J. Violante-González, S. Monks, P.J. Villalba-Vásquez, S.S. Villalobos, M.S. Acosta-Hernández & A.D. Gallegos. 2020. Interannual and spatial variation in the parasite communities of Pacific sierra *Scomberomorus sierra* (Jordan etStarks) on Mexico's Pacific coast. *Folia Parasitologica* 67: 1–13.
- Santos-Bustos, N.G., J. Violante-González, S. Monks, A.A. Rojas-Herrera, P. Flores-Rodríguez, J.L. Rosas-Acevedo & P.J. Villalba-Vásquez. 2021. Parasite communities of striped bonito *Sarda orientalis* (Pisces: Scombridae) on the Pacific coast of Mexico. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 48(2): 97–112.
- Silva, C.G., E.L. Gomes, N.C. de Figueiredo & J.T.A.X. de Lima 2017. First record in South America of *Didymocylindrus filiformis* (Digenea, Didymozoidae) infecting the gills of Skipjack tuna *Katsuwonus pelamis*. *Acta of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources* 5(3): 17–20.
- Smit, N.J., N.L. Bruce & K.A. Hadfield. 2019. *Parasitic Crustacea. State of Knowledge and Future Trend*. Zoological Monographs. Volume 3, Springer Nature, Cham, 481 pp.
- Stockemer, D. 2019. *Quantitative Methods for the Social Sciences. A Practical Introduction with Examples in SPSS and Stata*. Springer, Cham, 181 pp.
- Suthar, J., P. Unger & H.W. Palm. 2022. Fish parasite community of three lakes with different trophic status in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Germany. *Acta Parasitologica* 67(1): 340–350.
- Tantaleán, M., R. Martínez & H. Escalante. 1985. Monogeneos de las costas del Perú. II. Cambio de nombre por homonimia y nuevos registros. *Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias* 32: 91–95.
- Tantaleán, M., H. Escalante & R. Martínez. 1988. Una nueva especie y nuevos registros de plathyelminthos parásitos de peces marinos peruanos. *Boletín de Lima* 10: 91–96.
- Tantaleán, M., L. Sarmiento & A. Huiza. 1992. Digeneos (Trematoda) Del Perú. *Boletín de Lima* 80: 47–84.
- Thaenkham, U., K. Chaisiri & A.H.E. Chan. 2022. Parasitic helminth sample preparation for taxonomic Study. In: Thaenkham, U., K. Chaisiri & A. H. E. Chan (eds.), *Molecular Systematics of Parasitic Helminths*, p. 225–242. Springer, Singapore.
- Torres-Rojas, Y.E., F. Felipe Amezcua & M.F. Soto-Jimenez. 2020. Trophic niche of the Pacific Sierra (*Scomberomorus sierra*) in the southeastern Gulf of California: Assessing its importance as a predator and prey (Mesopredator) in the food web. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 36(5): 624–642.
- Villar-Beltrán, R.D., E. Valero-Pacheco & O. Méndez. 2020. Metazoan gill parasites of king mackerel *Scomberomorus cavalla* (Cuvier, 1829) in Chachalacas beach, Veracruz, Mexico. *Neotropical Helminthology* 14(1): 49–58.
- Wilson, C.B. 1922. North American parasitic copepods belonging to the family Dichelestiidae. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 60(5): 1–100.
- Wood, C.L., K.L. Leslie, D. Claar, N. Mastick, W. Preisser, M.P.M. Vanhove & R. Welicky. 2023. How to use natural history collections to resurrect information on historical parasite abundances. *Journal of Helminthology* 97: e6.
- Yemmen, C. & M. Gargouri, M. 2022. Potential hazards associated with the consumption of Scombridae fish: infection and toxicity from raw material and processing. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 132(6): 4077–4096.

Doi: 10.22179/REVMACN.25.818

Recibido: 28-II-2023  
Aceptado: 27-XII-2023