Rev. Mus. Argentino Cienc. Nat., n.s. 26(2): 217-259, 2024 ISSN 1514-5158 (impresa) ISSN 1853-0400 (en línea)

# New fossiliferous locality from the Anacleto Formation (Late Cretaceous, Campanian) from northern Patagonia, with the description of a new titanosaur

Federico L. AGNOLÍN<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Matías J. MOTTA<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Garcia MARSÀ<sup>1</sup>, Mauro A. ARANCIAGA-ROLANDO<sup>1</sup>, Gerardo ÁLVAREZ-HERRERA<sup>1</sup>, Nicolás R. CHIMENTO<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian ROZADILLA<sup>1</sup>, Federico BRISSÓN-EGLI<sup>1</sup>, Mauricio A. CERRONI<sup>1</sup>, Karen M. PANZERI<sup>3</sup>, Sergio BOGAN<sup>3</sup>, Silvio CASADIO<sup>4</sup>, Juliana STERLI<sup>5</sup>, Sergio E. MIQUEL<sup>6</sup>, Sergio MARTÍNEZ<sup>7</sup>, Leandro M. PÉREZ<sup>8</sup>, Diego POL<sup>9</sup> & Fernando E. NOVAS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratorio de Anatomía Comparada y Evolución de los Vertebrados, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (MACNBR-CONICET). Avenida Ángel Gallardo 470, C1405DJR Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>2</sup>Fundación de Historia Natural "Félix de Azara", Departamento de Ciencias Naturales y Antropología, Universidad Maimónides. Hidalgo 775, C1405DJR, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>3</sup>División Ictiología, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (MACNBR-CONICET). Avenida Ángel Gallardo 470, C1405DJR Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>4</sup>Universidad Andres Bello, Facultad de Ingeniería, Autop. Concepción - Talcahuano 7100, 4260000, Bío Bío, Chile. <sup>5</sup>Museo Paleontológico "Egidio Feruglio" (MEF-CONICET). Avenida Fontana 140, 9100 Trelew, Argentina. <sup>6</sup>Investigador Independiente, J.J. Paso 128, C1031ABC Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>7</sup>Universidad de la República, Facultad de Ciencias. Iguá 4225, 11400 Montevideo, Uruguay. <sup>8</sup>División Paleozoología Invertebrados, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (MACNBR-CONICET). Avenida Ángel Gallardo 470, C1405DJR Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>6</sup>Investigador Independiente, J.J. Paso 128, C1031ABC Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>6</sup>Investigador Independiente, Guencias. Iguá 4225, 11400 Montevideo, Uruguay. <sup>8</sup>División Paleozoología Invertebrados, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (MACNBR-CONICET). Avenida Ángel Gallardo 470, C1405DJR Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. <sup>8</sup>Corresponding author: fedeagnolin@yahoo.com.ar

**Abstract:** Here we describe a new fossil invertebrate and vertebrate assemblage from a previously unknown locality of the Anacleto Formation (Campanian, Upper Cretaceous), near General Roca city, Río Negro Province, Argentina. The specimens were found in a single fossiliferous layer, which yielded bivalves and gastropods, including the first fossil record of the terrestrial clade Megalomastomatidae and the first undoubted record for the terrestrial subulinid *Leptinaria*. Vertebrates are represented by fishes (lepisosteids, percomorphs, and the dipno-an *Metaceratodus kaopen*), chelid turtles, a single crocodyliform scute, an indeterminate pterosaur, an incomplete meridiolestidan mammalian jaw, and abelisaurid and rinconsaurian titanosaur dinosaurs. The latter is represented by several individuals of a small and gracile-limbed form. The phylogenetic analysis recovers a monophyletic Rinconsauri including the new taxon, plus *Rinconsaurus*, *Pitekunsaurus*, *Overosaurus*, and *Muyelensaurus*. The new titanosaur indicates that rinconsaurians were characterized by a body shape that was different from other titanosaurs, with brachiosaur-like posture, gracile limbs, and protonic tail. The faunistic assemblage is characterized by the abundance, but low diversity, of chelid turtles and a very low number of crocodyliforms. This taxonomic composition is reminiscent of other faunal assemblages of the latest Cretaceous of northern Patagonia, but differs markedly from Campanian assemblages known from North America and Europe.

Key words: Campanian, Argentina, Titanosauria, Rinconsauria, Chelidae, Gastropoda

Resumen: Nueva localidad fosilífera de la Formación Anacleto (Cretácico Tardío, Campaniano) en Patagonia norte, con la descripción de un nuevo titanosaurio. En la presente contribución se describe una nueva asociación de invertebrados y vertebrados fósiles provenientes de una localidad previamente desconocida de la Formación Anacleto (Campaniano, Cretácico Superior), cerca de la ciudad de General Roca, provincia de Río Negro, Argentina. Los especímenes fueron recolectados en un mismo nivel estratigráfico incluyendo bivalvos y gasterópodos terrestres, entre estos últimos el primer registro fósil de la familia Megalomastomatidae y el primer registro indudable del subulínido *Leptinaria*. Los vertebrados están representados por peces (lepisostéidos y el dipnoo *Metaceratodus kaopen*), tortugas quélidas, un pterosaurio indeterminado, una mandíbula de mamífero meridioléstido, una placa de un crocodyliformes y dinosaurios, incluyendo abelisáuridos indeterminados y un nuevo titanosaurio rinconsaúrido. Este último está representado por varios individuos pertenecientes a una forma de tamaño pequeño y miembros relativamente gráciles. El análisis filogenético recupera un Rinconsauria monofilético que incluye al nuevo taxón, así como *Rinconsaurus*, *Pitekunsaurus*, *Overosaurus* y *Muyelensaurus*. El nuevo titanosaurio indica que los rinconsáuridos formaban un clado caracterizado por una morfología corporal diferente a la de otros titanosaurios, con una postura del tipo braquiosaurio, miembros gráciles y cola con curvatura protónica. El conjunto faunístico se caracteriza por la abundancia, pero baja diversidad, de tortugas quélidas y un número muy reducido de crocodyliformes. Esta composición taxonómica recuerda a otras asociaciones faunísticas del Cretácico tardío del norte de la Patagonia, pero difiere notablemente de los conjuntos conocidos para el Campaniano de América del Norte y Europa.

Palabras clave: Campaniano, Argentina, Titanosauria, Rinconsauria, Chelidae, Gastropoda

### INTRODUCTION

The Neuquén Basin, situated along the southwestern margin of Gondwana (30–40° S), developed as a significant depocenter from the Late Triassic to the Paleogene. The foreland basin sedimentation of the Neuquén Group, is dated between 100-107 Ma (Gómez et al., 2022), a process that resulted in the orientation of drainage systems, predominantly sourcing from the west (see Cobbold & Rosello, 2003; Howell et al., 2005; Tunik et al., 2010). Over a span of approximately 30 million years, sedimentation included materials eroded from the fold-and-thrust belt and contributions from a contemporaneous volcanic arc (Tunik et al., 2010; Di Giulio et al., 2012; Balgord & Carrapa, 2016; Fennell et al., 2017; Borghi et al., 2019; Gómez et al., 2019, 2022), all of which are included in the Neuquén Group. Notably, the upper part of the Neuquén Group is well exposed in the northern part of Río Negro Province, with the Bajo de la Carpa and Anacleto formations being identified as the primary units within this interval (Hugo & Leanza, 2001). Paleomagnetic analyses by Dingus et al. (2000) suggested an early to middle Campanian (78.3 Ma) maximum depositional age for the Anacleto Formation. Recently, Gómez et al. (2022) determined the first U-Pb ages in detrital zircons from this formation at Paso Córdoba (Río Negro Province), situated 25 km north of the study area, indicating a maximum depositional age of  $78.6 \pm 1.7$  Ma (middle Campanian) for the Anacleto Formation, based on a sample of younger Cretaceous zircons.

Fossil vertebrates from the Anacleto Formation are less well-known than those from other stratigraphic units (*e.g.*, Allen, Los Alamitos formations). Among non-dinosaurian vertebrates, dipnoans, lepidosaurs, chelid turtles, peirosaurid crocodyliforms, and dryolestoid mammals were reported (Goin *et al.*, 1986; Turner & Calvo, 2005; Scanferla & Canale, 2007; Apesteguía *et al.*, 2007; Brizuela & Albino, 2011; Martinelli *et al.*, 2012; Forasiepi *et al.*, 2012; Maniel *et al.*, 2023). Among dinosaurs, the record includes ornithopods (Coria & Salgado, 1996), abelisaurid and megaraptorid theropods (Coria et al., 2002a; Sereno et al., 2008; Gianechini et al., 2015; Baiano et al., 2021), and titanosaurs (Chiappe et al., 1998, 2001, 2004; Chiappe & Coria, 2004). The latter encompasses the gracile-limbed lithostrotians Laplatasaurus araukanikus (von Huene, 1929) and Narambuenatitan palomoi Filippi, García & Coria, 2011, the saltasaurine Neuquensaurus australis (Lydekker, 1893; Powell, 1986; Salgado et al., 2005), and the gigantic Barrosasaurus casamiquelai (Salgado & Coria, 2009). Several derived titanosaurs coming from Anacleto beds, also include Pitekunsaurus macayai (Filippi & Garrido, 2008; see below), Rinconsaurus caudamirus (Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2022, 2023), and Overosaurus paradasorum (Coria et al., 2013; see below), but their stratigraphic position was questioned (Filippi, 2015). The titanosaurs Pellegrinosaurus powelli Salgado, 1996 and Antarctosaurus wichmannianus von Huene, 1929 were assigned to the Anacleto Formation, but their exact stratigraphic position is uncertain (Leanza et al., 2004; Cerda et al., 2021). Vertebrate tracks have also been reported (Coria et al., 2002b; Díaz-Martínez et al., 2018, 2022; De Valais et al., 2020; Tomaselli et al., 2022).

The new fossiliferous locality here reported is located within the Marín family Farm (39° 22' 43.8" S; 67° 41' 24.1" W), which is located close to Paso Córdoba locality, at 10 km at the southwest of General Roca city (Fig. 1). The Marín locality consists of few scattered badlands and low hills that delimit the eastern margin of the "Salitral Moreno" lowlands. In spite of the relatively small size of the outcrops, they yielded a large number of fossil specimens.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sedimentological settings

A detailed metric-scale survey was conducted using Jacob's staff and Brunton-type structural compass for measurements. Field observations facilitated the characterization of sedimentary facies based on lithology, sedimentary structures, geometry, and lateral variability. The facies nomenclature was based on the system proposed by Miall (1996, 2014). Sedimentary facies were grouped into facies associations (AF) to delineate the depositional environment.

#### **Paleontological settings**

Fossil collecting methodology (for both vertebrates and invertebrates) includes mostly surface-collected elements, applying in some places typical sieving techniques. It was shown by several authors that surface-collected and sieving collecting methods are comparable, suggesting very minor biases related to collection method (Cullen *et al.*, 2016).

There is no standard practice for reporting relative abundance of taxa from a fossiliferous locality. Some authors provide data including number of specimens belonging to each taxon, minimum number of individuals, and different percentages. However, as remarked by Dodson (1987) and Lehman (1997), experience suggests that whatever method is employed, the relative abundance of taxa is relatively similar in different calculations. In any case, in this contribution we opt to determine the taxonomic diversity and composition calculating the Number of Individual Specimens (NISP) (skeletal elements identified by bone type and taxon), which has proven to be an effective means for determining faunal abundance at a site (Badgley, 1986; Dodson, 1987; Fiorillo, 1989). Among the elements recovered in the assemblage, turtles are particularly problematic for determining relative abundance at a fossil site because they can add a lot of fragmented specimens that can inflate their number and importance. We follow Hutchinson & Archibald (1986) and Fiorillo (1989) in a conservative method, which includes the final number of repeated elements, obvious size differences, complete or easily identified plates, and a large amount of material.

Processing sedimentary samples for microfossils and palynomorphs was unsuccessful as all analyzed samples were sterile (P. Santamarina and E. Vera, pers. comm.).

The photographs of gastropods were taken with a camera Nikon, with the exception of Figure 3C–D, which were taken by scanning electron microscope (SEM).

In the case of sauropods, we follow the definition of Rinconsauria published by Calvo *et al.* (2007). Appendicular anatomical nomenclature of titanosaurs follows that employed by González Riga *et al.* (2019) and vertebral anatomy follows Wilson (1999).

#### **Phylogenetic analysis**

The new titanosaur was scored in a modified version of Pérez-Moreno et al. (2024), which is based on Carballido et al. (2017)'s dataset (Supplementary Data 1). This matrix consists of 103 taxa and 432 characters, to which we add here the new titanosaur and 3 new characters based on published data sources (Calvo & González Riga, 2003; González Riga et al., 2009; Coria et al., 2013). Further, we modified character 108, with the addition a the new character state 4 [ch 108: tooth crowns, cross-sectional shape at mid-crown: 0, elliptical; 1, D-shaped; 2, sub-cylindrical; 3, cylindrical; 4, cylindrical, with labial and lingual surfaces separated by longitudinal crests or carinae (see Calvo et al., 2007)] and character 431 with the addition of a new character state 2 [ch 431: posterior caudal vertebrae, central, height difference between the anterior and posterior articular face: null (0); present but little developed (1); strongly developed (2)]. The first round of analyses resulted in a poorly resolved tree topology (see below; Supplementary Data 1). In this way, we exclude the wildcard taxa Daxiatitan binglingi You, Li, Zhou & Ji, 2008, Ninjatitan zapatai Gallina, Canale & Carballido, 2021, Titanomachya gimenezi Pérez-Moreno, Salgado, Carballido, Otero & Pol, 2024 and Narambuenatitan palomoi. This resulted in a data matrix of 100 taxa and 435 characters (Supplementary Data 1).

The data matrix was analyzed with TNT v.1.5 (Goloboff & Catalano 2016). We conducted the analysis using equally implied weights, under the "New Technology Search" in which "Sectorial Search", "Ratchet", "Drift", and "Tree Fusing" are applied together with the traditional search procedures, such as Wagner Trees, Tree Branch Reconnection (TBR), and Subtree-Pruning- Regrafting (SPR) algorithms, to find the Minimum Length Trees (MLTs). From the 100 hits replicates, a total of 250,000 most parsimonious trees (MPTs) of 1539 steps length were obtained, which are summarized using a strict consensus.

As a branch support measure, Bremer support was calculated, and as a measure of branch stability, a bootstrap resampling analysis (Felsenstein, 1985) was conducted, performing 10,000 pseudoreplicates. Bremer support was calculated after searching for suboptimal trees and not with the script that accompanies the program (Ezcurra, 2016). Both absolute and GC (Goloboff *et al.*, 2003) bootstrap frequencies are also reported (Supplementary Data 1).

MACN-In, Institutional abbreviations. Invertebrate Collection, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia", Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina; MPCN-Pi, Colección Paleontología de Invertebrados, Museo Patagónico de Ciencias Naturales "Juan Carlos Salgado", General Roca, Río Negro, Argentina; MPCN-Pv, Colección Paleontología de Vertebrados, Museo Patagónico de Ciencias Naturales "Juan Carlos Salgado", General Roca, Río Negro, Argentina.

#### RESULTS

#### **Facies Analysis**

**Pelitic Facies.** The finest-grained facies are represented by massive (Fm) and laminated (Fl) pelites, ranging in color from grayish to reddish. They are weakly consolidated, laterally extensive tabular beds, reaching lengths of several tens of meters. Vertebrate remains and mollusks were identified in these facies (Fig. 1).

**Heterolithic Facies.** These facies alternate between sandy and muddy compositions, tabular geometry, and thicknesses of 15–20 cm. The muddy heteroliths exhibit mottling, indicative of pedogenic processes (Fig. 1).

**Sandy Facies.** These facies are composed of medium to fine-grained sandstones; massive (Sm), with ondulitic lamination (Sr), parallel stratification (Sp), and tangential cross-stratification of low and high angles (St). They are arranged in tabular to lenticular beds, extending laterally for tens of meters. The lenticular beds show erosive bases, while the tabular ones have sharp bases. The massive sandstone facies, towards the top of the section, are affected by load deformation structures. At the top of the section and in the sandstone facies with tangential cross-stratification and ondulitic lamination, traces assigned to *Skolithos* isp. were identified (Fig. 1).

#### Sedimentary Paleoenvironments

The facies analysis allows for the recognition and definition of three facies associations: (I) lacustrine facies association, (II) wet interdune facies association, and (III) dry interdune and dune facies association. The lacustrine facies association (I) is represented by massive (Fm) and laminated (Fl) pelites. The origin of these facies is associated with decantation processes in low-energy zones occurring during the final stage of flow velocity decay (Bridge, 2003). Additionally, the presence of mollusks indicates relatively stable water bodies associated with a low-energy environment (Paz et al., 2014). The wet interdune facies association (II), located in the middle and upper part of the section, is represented by sandy and muddy heterolithic facies, as well as sandy facies. The heterolithic facies generally present pedogenetic features such as mottling and undifferentiated bioturbation. Regarding the sandy facies, they exhibit load deformation structures. This facies association consists of sandstones with tangential cross-stratification of low angles and ondulitic lamination. The intercalation of pelitic levels between the cross-stratified sets is common. Each traction event allows for the identification, by the presence of Skolithos isp., of colonization windows in the massive sandstone facies. In layers equivalent with those studied here and outcropping 25 km to the north, Paz et al. (2014) interpreted that the sets with tangential cross-stratification of low angles represent the migration stages of the frontal faces of the dunes within the wet interdunes, while the thin levels of pelites alternating between the dune sets mark the re-establishment of normal sedimentation processes (dominant decantation) within the water bodies. Load deformation structures (e.g., convolute stratification) were also identified. These structures were also documented by Ponce et al. (2018) in localities to the north of the study area, and their genesis is related to concurrent sediment sliding processes associated with partial material liquefaction. Most fossil vertebrates and invertebrates here reported come from these facies.

Finally, medium to fine-grained sandstones with high-angle tangential cross-stratification (St) were identified at the top of the surveyed section. These facies correspond to the dry interdune and dune facies association (III). The facies analysis done in this work corroborates the proposal previously made by Paz *et al.* (2014), Díaz-Martínez *et al.* (2018), and Gómez *et al.* (2022), which indicated an increase in the aridity of the depositional system towards the top of the studied succession.

## SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

MOLLUSCA Linnaeus, 1758 BIVALVIA Linnaeus, 1758 UNIONOIDA Stoliczka, 1871 HYRIIDAE Swainson & Berry, 1840



Fig. 1. Geographic map showing the location of Marín family Farm and associated stratigraphic profile, showing main sedimentary facies and levels that yielded fossil specimens here described.

Diplodon Spix, 1827 Diplodon sp.

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pi 1240, six internal shell molds (Fig. 2A–D).

**Description.** All specimens are represented by internal molds, with occasional and mostly poorly preserved shell remains. Fragmentation and abrasion are low, and encrustation and bioerosion are absent. Anyway, some nacared areas can be observed in the inner layer of some specimens. All the molds indicate that the bivalves were buried with the valves articulated, but some specimens retain a small aperture between the valves, and others show a vertical displacement between the valves, probably a post-burial event.

**Measurements.** Specimen 1: maximum height, 20.4 mm; maximum length, 37.5 mm; maximum width (including the two valves), 15.3 mm. Specimen 2: maximum height, 22.1 mm; maximum length, 44.2 mm; maximum width (including the two valves), 21.1 mm. Specimen 3: max-

imum height, 24.5 mm; maximum length, 45.9 mm; maximum width (including the two valves), 18.8 mm.

**Remarks.** According to the shape of the molds, and especially to the presence of striation in the umbonal zone of one specimen (Fig. 2A), the specimens are identified as Diplodon sp. When compared with other Mesozoic Southern species of the genus, specimens here described resemble in the radial striation of the umbonal area and the overall shape the species Diplodon bodenbenderi Doello-Jurado 1927, from the Late Cretaceous of the Neuquina Basin (Allen and Loncoche formations; see Manceñido & Damborenea, 1984; Parras & Griffin, 2013, and references therein), and Antediplodon esperanzaensis Camacho, 1957 from the Jurassic Mount Flora Formation from Antarctic Peninsula (see Martínez et al., 2020). General shape also resembles D. batoviensis Martínez & Figueiras, 1991 from the Late Jurassic Tacuarembó Formation of Uruguay. The poor preservation of the specimens



Fig. 2. (A–D) *Diplodon* sp., MPCN-Pi 1240, two internal shell molds, (A–B) dorsal and (C–D) lateral views. (E–J) *Cyanocyclas dinosauriorum* MPCN-Pi 1241, six shells, in lateral view. Scale bars = 5 mm (E–J), 1 cm (A–D).

here reported precludes a clear referral beyond the generic level.

Gigli *et al.* (2019) reported the presence of *Diplodon* from transitional units between Anacleto and Allen formations, but without figures or description of the specimens. Thus, present report constitutes the first unambiguous record of the genus from the Anacleto Formation.

VENEROIDA Adams & Adams, 1856 CORBICULIDAE Gray, 1847 *Cyanocyclas* Blainville, 1818 *Cyanocyclas dinosauriorum* (Doello-Jurado, 1927)

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pi 1241, 57 articulated shells (Fig. 2E–J).

**Remarks.** The specimens are referred to *C. di*nosauriorum and differ from the closely related *C. pehuenchensis* by having a subrectangular oval contour, with the length exceeding the height, a posterior position of the umbones, and a tendency to develop more lamellous comarginal ornamentation (Manceñido & Damborenea, 1984). The species was previously reported from Anacleto Formation by several authors (see Manceñido & Damborenea, 1984).

GASTROPODA Cuvier, 1797 CAENOGASTROPODA Cox, 1960 ARCHITAENIOGLOSSA Haller, 1890 CYCLOPHOROIDEA Gray, 1847 MEGALOMASTOMATIDAE Blanford, 1864 *Aperostoma* Troschel, 1847 *Aperostoma* sp.

**Referred specimen.** MPCN-Pi 1242, four incomplete shells (Fig. 3A).

**Description.** Known by flattened and incomplete helicoid molds, having 1.5 whorls; umbil-



Fig. 3. (A) *Aperostoma* sp., MPCN-Pi 1242, incomplete shell, in dorsal view. (B) *Aperostoma inca*, MACN-In 40.844, shell of extant specimen found at the margins of Lipeo river, just opposite to Baritú National Park, Santa Victoria department, North Salta, NW Argentina, in dorsal view. (C) *Allopeas* sp., MPCN-Pi 1243, shell, in dorsal view. (D) *Leptinaria* sp., MPCN-Pi 1244, shell, in dorsal view. (E) *Bulimulus* sp., MPCN-Pi 1245, shell, in dorsal view. Scale bar = 5 mm.

icate; subcircular aperture, descendent; matrix constituted by cemented coarse granules.

**Measurements.** Main diameter, 16.0 mm; height, 8.8 mm.

Remarks. Numerous species of the family inhabit tropical South America, including Brazil (Simone, 2006) and Perú (Ramírez et al., 2003). The only species of the genus present in northern Argentina is Aperostoma inca (d'Orbigny, 1835). This is characterized by a depressed shell, last whorl descendent; large umbilicus, sutures marked, low spire, 6 to 7 whorls, aperture circular and corneous operculum, spiral striae on the last whorls (Fig. 3B; Fernández & Morris, 1984). Aperostoma inca currently lives in Maldonado hill at El Rey and Baritú National Parks, in Salta Province, northern Argentina. These areas belong to the Sierras Subandinas region, characterized by a warm climate and abundant rainfalls, especially in summer (Fernández & Morris, 1984).

STYLOMMATOPHORA (Schmidt, 1855) SUBULINIDAE Fischer & Crosse, 1877

## Allopeas Baker, 1935 Allopeas sp.

**Referred specimen.** MPCN-Pi 1243, single shell (Fig. 3C).

**Description.** Medium-sized fusiform shell, having at least 8 whorls with regular growth; axial ribs curved, retractives, 30 in the last whorls, no intercostular axial striae; aperture small, slightly oblique; protoconch smooth.

**Measurements.** Main diameter, 8.05 mm; height, 2.9 mm.

**Remarks.** A fossil belonging to the extinct species *Allopeas agnolini* was described from lower levels of the Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) Chorrillo Formation at La Anita farm, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina (Miquel, 2024). This species differs in that it has 6 slightly convex whorls, the last whorl very expanded, with axial ribs oblique and irregular, and sutures marked rather inclined (Miquel, 2024; Fig. 2G, Tab. 5).

Leptinaria Beck, 1837 Leptinaria sp. **Referred specimen.** MPCN-Pi 1244, single shell (Fig. 3D).

**Description.** Shell small, almost pyramidal; with, approximately, 8 adult whorls, the first two plano-convex, the last two whorls very broad; spire low with rapid growth; teleoconch with retractile axial ribs, approximately 40 in the last whorls, no intercostal axial striae; aperture almost circular; protoconch with 2 whorls, smooth. **Measurements.** Main diameter, 8.2 mm; height, 4.3 mm.

**Remarks.** *?Leptinaria* sp. was described from La Anita farm, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina, in lower levels of the late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian), Chorrillo Formation. This species differs in having high spire, 6 whorls slightly convex with regular growth, last whorl large, suture marked, rather inclined, and aperture wide and rounded (Miquel, 2024; Fig. 2I, Tab. 6). Present record confirms the presence of the genus *Leptinaria* in Cretaceous sediments.

# ORTHALICOIDEA Albers & Martens, 1860 BULIMULIDAE Tryon, 1867 Bulimulus Leach, 1814 Bulimulus sp.

**Referred specimen.** MPCN-Pi 1245, single shell (Fig. 3E).

**Description.** Medium-sized shell, short spire and last whorl large and wide, first whorls convex and with regular growth, sutures marked, spire broken; aperture long, not wide, narrowing towards its extreme, umbilical region obscured by matrix.

**Measurements.** Total length, 18.8 mm; main diameter, 12.3 mm; whorls, 4 (broken).

**Remarks.** The cast here described is somewhat similar to that of the extant species *Bulimulus rushii* Pilsbry, 1897, from Uruguay and Argentina (Scarabino, 2003). Recently, a Cretaceous *Bulimulus* sp. was described from La Anita farm, Santa Cruz Province, Argentina, in lower levels of the late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian), Chorrillo Formation. These specimens differ in having plano-convex whorls, except the last whorl rather convex with sutures inclined, somewhat deep, and a larger aperture (Miquel, 2024; Fig. 2L, Tab. 8).

> VERTEBRATA Cuvier, 1812 ACTINOPTERYGII Cope, 1887 HOLOSTEI Müller, 1844 GINGLYMODI Cope, 1872 LEPISOSTEIFORMES Hay, 1929

# LEPISOSTEIDAE Cuvier, 1825 Lepisosteidae indet.

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1011, a basioccipital and 5 isolated vertebrae (Fig. 4A–K).

**Description.** The basioccipital (Fig. 4A–C) exhibits a bilobed concave articular surface for articulation with the first vertebra (Fig. 4A). Dorsally to the concave surface, the bases of the neural arches can be observed. Ventral to the concavity, it is a bone with numerous anteroposterior striations, indicating an extensive articular surface for the parasphenoid (Fig. 4B). Laterally, there is a foramen for the passage of the intermetameric artery (Fig. 4C).

Available vertebrae are opisthocoelous and identified as belonging to the abdominal region (Fig. 4D–K). One of them has a more anteroposteriorly compressed body (3.7 mm), suggesting its more anterior position within the series (Fig. 4D–G). All these vertebrae exhibit parapophyses for articulation with the ribs, which, although fractured, are clearly defined (Fig. 4F). The neural arches are fused to the respective vertebral body, but are also fragmentary (Fig. 4E, J). In ventral view, folds and grooves are observed, as well as a longitudinal keel. The longest vertebra measures 3.7 mm in total length.

**Remarks.** The specimens here described exhibit a combination of traits that is unique to Lepisosteidae: opisthocoelous vertebrae with deep lateral fossae and deep ventral keel with a deep longitudinal excavation (Cione, 1987; Grande, 2010; Szabó *et al.*, 2016). Some authors argued that vertebral morphology may be taxonomically useful (*e.g.*, Gayet & Meunier, 1986, 2001; Gayet *et al.*, 2002). However, the isolated and poorly informative nature of the specimens here described, allow us to consider them as Lepisosteidae indet.

Lepisosteids are frequent in the Late Cretaceous of South America and Argentina (e.g., Cione, 1987; Gayet & Brito, 1989; González Riga, 1999; Gayet et al., 2001; Martinelli & Forasiepi, 2004; Martinelli & Teixeira, 2015; Agnolín et al., 2024). However, these remains are mostly fragmentary, encompassing elements such as scales, vertebrae, and teeth that were regarded as indeterminate Lepisosteidae (Gonzalez Riga, 1999; Martinelli & Forasiepi, 2004; Agnolín et al., 2024) or cf. Atractosteus (Cione, 1987).

ACANTHOPTERYGII Rosen & Patterson, 1969 PERCOMORPHA Cope, 1871 Percomorpha indet.



Fig. 4. (A–K) Lepisosteiformes indet., MPCN-Pv 1011, cranial and postcranial elements, basioccipital in (A) posterior, (B) ventral, and (C) lateral views; anterior abdominal vertebra in (D) anterior, (E) dorsal, (F) lateral, and (G) ventral views; posterior abdominal vertebra in (H) anterior, (I) lateral, (J) dorsal, and (K) ventral views. (L) Percomorpha indet. MPCN-Pv 1035, pharyngeal plate in occlusal view. Abbreviations: bo, basioccipital; fsal, lateral foramen for intermetameric artery; na, neural arch; pp, parapophysis; vsu, ventral sulcus. Scale bar = 0.5 mm.

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1035, incomplete pharyngeal plate (Fig. 4L).

**Description.** The fragment corresponds to a small section of a pharyngeal plate referred to Percomorpha. It measures just over half a centimeter. The occlusal surface contains multiple

semicircular alveoli, which likely served as anchors for teeth. The medial surface of the bone has a straight edge, along which the alveoli are arranged in an orderly pattern. The teeth are not preserved.

**Discussion.** Many bony fishes exhibit modifications of their branchial arches to enhance food processing (Liem, 1973). In some cases, the pharyngeal arches have evolved into a functional set of jaws, referred to as the pharyngeal jaw apparatus. Among many Percomorpha, this apparatus consists of two independent upper and two lower bones, which are sutured, forming a single structure (Casciotta & Arratia, 1993; Burress, 2016). The incomplete nature of the specimen does not allow referring to it beyond the Percomorpha level.

Similar structures have been described at other paleontological sites in Patagonia Argentina, such as Los Alamitos and Loncoche (Cione, 1987; González Riga, 1999).

SARCOPTERYGII Romer, 1955 DIPNOI Müller, 1845 CERATODONTIDAE Gill, 1872 Metaceratodus Chapman, 1914 Metaceratodus cf. M. kaopen (Apesteguía, Agnolín & Claesson, 2007)

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1012, 5 prearticular tooth plates and 3 pterygopalatine tooth plates (Fig. 5A–N).

**Description.** The pterygopalatine tooth plates are fused to the pterygopalatine bone (Fig. 5A). On the dorsal surface of this bone, the circular base of the ascending process is located at the level of the second denticulation (Fig. 5B). Medially, the anterior portion of the symphysis with dorsoventrally grooves is visible (Fig. 5C– D). The pterygopalatine tooth plates have five denticulations, with the first being the longest and narrowest (Fig. 5E). The inner angle is positioned at the level of the second cleft.

Wear on the pterygopalatine tooth plates is evident on the posterior side of the denticulations and on the occlusal surface. In the first case, wear facets form on the posterior side of the denticulations, indicated by enamel loss (Fig. 5E). Additionally, a pronounced wear facet is present in the anterior portion of the first denticulation, near the inner angle. On the occlusal surface, wear is noticeable due to wear patterns caused by jaw movement. Furthermore, wear causes the last two denticulations to merge and form a step.

The prearticular tooth plates are fused to the prearticular bone (Fig. 5H). In all recovered specimens, the symphysis area of this bone is not preserved (Fig. 5I). In ventral and labial view, there is the Ruge's canal divided by a ridge (Fig. 5J).

The prearticular tooth plates have four denticulations. The first denticulation is the longest and has a slightly widened base (Fig. 5K–L). The medial edge of the prearticular tooth plates tends to be curved (Fig. 5H, K), while the lingual edge is straighter (Fig. 5H). The inner angle is positioned slightly ahead of the second denticulation (Fig. 5H, L)

Wear on the prearticular tooth plates is mainly evidenced by wear facets on the anterior side of the denticulations (Fig. 5M). The wear of the last denticulation forms the spur that is elevated in labial view (Fig. 5N).

**Remarks.** The tooth plates are referred as to Metaceratodus cf. M. kaopen due to the presence of distinctive features in the pterygopalatine tooth plates, such as an obtuse inner angle (R1 and RN) located at the level of the second cleft, a step formed by the wear of the last two denticulations, and a wear facet anterior to the first denticulation (Panzeri, 2023). Additionally, the prearticular tooth plates exhibit an inner angle at the level of the first cleft. However, some specimens do not preserve all the diagnostic features of the species due to taphonomic damage (transported and fractured specimens; Fig. 5K-M). On the other hand, some exceptionally well-preserved materials might represent early ontogenetic stages (Fig. 5H-J), which may distort the morphology of certain characters, such as the widening of the first denticulation in prearticular tooth plates (Fig. 5Q-R).

Excepting some anomalous specimens (Panzeri & Muñoz, 2022), up to now, all Mesozoic dipnoans from Argentina have presented five denticulations in the pterygopalatine tooth plates and four in the prearticular tooth plates (Panzeri *et al.*, 2020, 2022). *Metaceratodus kaopen*, is a species previously restricted to the Anacleto Formation (Fig. 5O–R; Apesteguía *et al.*, 2007; Cione & Gouiric Cavalli, 2012).

TESTUDINATA Klein, 1760 [sensu Joyce et al., 2020a] PLEURODIRA Cope, 1865 [sensu Joyce et al., 2020b] CHELIDAE Lindholm, 1929 [sensu Joyce et al., 2021]

Indeterminate genus and species

**Referred materials.** MPCN-Pv 1014, odd neural; MPCN-Pv 1015, free peripheral; MPCN-Pv 1016, two neurals, left costal 1, 3 free peripherals, 1 bridge peripheral, 10 costals, and indeterminate fragments; MPCN-Pv 1017, even neural; MPCN-Pv 1018, costal 1, 1 free peripheral, and undetermined fragments; MPCN-Pv 1019, free peripheral and left costal 1; MPCN-Pv 1020,



Fig. 5. Tooth plates of *Metaceratodus kaopen*, (A–N) MPCN-Pv 1012, pterygopalatine tooth plates, (A) tooth plate illustrating the wear pattern (arrows) and position of the inner angle (dashed line), (B) dorsal view with the base of the ascending process, (C) medial wear facet, (D) surface of the pterygopalatine bone symphysis, (E) wear facets posterior to the denticulations, (F–G) step formation due to wear of the last denticulations (arrow); prearticular tooth plates, (H) prearticular tooth plate illustrating the position of the inner angle and (I–J) the bone, (K) base of the widened first denticulation, (L–M) tooth plate with anterior wear of the denticulations, (N) spur formation (arrow); pterygopalatine tooth plates, (O) MPCN-PV-SN 7, pterygopalatine tooth plate, (P) MPCN-PV-SN 5, pterygopalatine tooth plate, (Q) MPCN-PV-1-004 prearticular tooth plate, and (R) MPCN-PV-SN 4 prearticular tooth plate referred to *Metaceratodus kaopen* from the Anacleto Formation (Panzeri, 2023). Abbreviations: af, anterior wear facet; pf, posterior wear facet; sy, symphysis. Scale bar = 1 cm.

neural ?1, left costal 1, and 2 free peripherals articulated; MPCN-Pv 1021, two peripherals articulated; MPCN-Pv 1022, neural, costal, pygal, peripheral, ?right hypoplastron, and indeterminate fragments; MPCN-Pv 1023, peripheral (Fig. 6).

Description. Specimens assigned to Chelidae gen. et sp. indet. from Marín farm share a subtle ornamentation formed by shallow anastomosed sulci belonging to a small to mid-sized turtle (20-25 cm of carapace length). Neural 1 is rectangular in contour, being longer than wide (Fig. 6A). It is crossed by the sulcus separating vertebrals 1 and 2. This sulcus has an anterior inflexion in the midline (Fig. 6A). In the preserved specimens, marginal scutes are restricted to peripheral plates. Besides, the body wall seems to had been large, because marginal scutes covered a narrow area in visceral view of peripheral plates (Fig. 6B, F). Costal 1 is a large element whose potential contacts were the nuchal antero-medially, peripherals 1-3 antero-laterally, neural 1 medially and costal 2 posteriorly. In visceral view, costal 1 bears thoracic ribs 1 and 2 and the scar for the axillar buttress (Fig. 6C-D). There is a costal plate, probably number 5 or 6, that bears an unusual pattern of scutes. This costal bears the sulcus separating two vertebral scutes (probably vertebrals 3 and 4) and the sulcus separating two pleural scutes (probably pleurals 3 and 4) (Fig. 6A). This scute pattern could have been caused by a malformation or it could represent an autapomorphy of this taxon. As there is no other costal showing this feature, we prefer to leave it as an anomaly for the moment. A putative right hypoplastron is identified; however due to the nature of the specimen only the base of the inguinal buttress is identified (Fig. 6G-H). Remarks. These small and poorly decorated chelids from Marín could be similar to the Chelidae 4 recognized by de Broin (1987) for Los Alamitos Formation and to the Chelidae gen. et sp. indet 3 recognized by Martinelli & Forasiepi (2004) for Allen Formation.

Specimens MPCN-Pv 1014, MPCN-Pv 1017, and probably MPCN-Pv 1023 belong to bigger specimens than the mid-sized species recognized above. These bigger specimens could represent more mature specimens or they could belong to another, bigger, taxon. Due to their fragmentary nature, we prefer to identify all these specimens as belonging to a single taxon.

HYDROMEDUSINAE Georges, Birrell, Staint, McCord & Donnellan 1998 [sensu Joyce et al., 2021]

#### cf. Yaminuechelys sp.

**Referred materials.** MPCN-Pv 1024, neural and left peripheral 3, 1 bridge peripheral, 3 free peripherals, costal fragment, fragment of right xiphiplastron; MPCN-Pv 1025, ?right xiphiplastral tip, and fragment of ?costal; MPCN-Pv 1026, fragments of peripherals and a cervical neural arch with both postzygaphophyses; MPCN-Pv 1013, proximal end of humerus (Fig. 7).

**Description.** The specimens assigned here as to cf. Yaminuechelys sp. comprise large plates with evident ornamentation formed by polygons and dichotomized furrows (Fig. 7A-D). These plates correspond to turtles with carapace lengths more than 60 cm. Among the specimens, there is a portion of a neural arch of a cervical vertebra (MPCN-Pv 1026) which bears the paired postzygapophyses contacting each other in the midline posteriorly. This is a feature present in Yaminuechelys and in other long-necked chelids (e.g., Hydromedusa, Chelodina). The proximal end of the humerus MPCN-Pv 1013 is expanded as is common in turtles. The humeral head is ovoid, being elongated along the proximo-distal axis. The medial process is well-developed being larger than the lateral process as is common in turtles. The medial process is rounded as in other chelids (e.g., The lateral process is curved toward the intertubercular side. In the intertubercular view, a small secondary intertubercular fossa is present (Hermanson et al., 2024).

Remarks. Yaminuechelys is a clade of turtles well-represented in the fossil record of the Cretaceous-Paleocene of Patagonia. They have been recorded in the Loncoche (Previtera & González Riga, 2008), Anacleto (de la Fuente et al., 2010, 2015), Allen (Chelidae gen. et sp. indet. 1 of Martinelli & Forasiepi, 2004), Los Alamitos (Chelidae 1 of de Broin, 1987), La Colonia (Oriozabala et al., 2020), Salamanca (Bona & de la Fuente, 2005), Roca (Bona et al., 2009), and probably Dorotea (Alarcón-Muñoz et al., 2020; Moyano-Paz et al., 2022) formations. There are three named species in the genus (Y. gasparinii, Y. sulcipeculiaris, and Y. maior), however, the specimens from Marín Farm are very fragmentary and do not allow a more precise taxonomic assignment.

#### Indeterminate genus and species

**Referred materials.** MPCN-Pv 1027, 1 free peripheral; MPCN-Pv 1028, 3 free peripherals, fragment of costal, left xiphiplastron, fragment



Fig. 6. Chelidae genus and species indeterminate, (A–B) MPCN-Pv 1016, carapacial remains in (A) dorsal and (B) visceral views; (C–D) MPCN-Pv 1020, left costal 1 in (C) dorsal and (D) visceral views; (E–F) MPCN-Pv 1021, left peripherals 10 and 11 in (E) dorsal and (F) visceral views. (G–H) MPCN-Pv 1022, ?right hypoplastron, in (G) dorsal and (H) visceral views. Abbreviations: abs, axillar buttress; co, costal plate; ib, inguinal buttress; MA, marginal scute; ne, neural plate; pe, peripheral plate; PL, pleural scute; snu, suture with nuchal plate; spe, suture with peripheral plate; spy, suprapygal; tr, thoracic rib; VE, vertebral scute. Scale bar = 5 cm.



Fig. 7. cf. Yaminuechelys sp., MPCN-Pv 1024 and MPCN-Pv 1025, (A) peripheral plate; (B) peripheral plate; right xiphiplastron in (C) ventral and (D) visceral views; neural arch of a cervical vertebra, MPCN-Pv 1026, in (E) left lateral, (F) ventral, and (G) posterior views; proximal end of left humerus, MPCN-Pv 1013, in (H) capitular, (I) ulnar, (J) intertubercular, (K) radial, and (L) proximal views. Abbreviations: hh, humeral head; is, ischiadic scar; lp, lateral process; MA, marginal scute; mp, medial process; na, neural arch; PL, pleural scute; poz, postzygapophysis; sif, secondary intertubercular fossa. Scale bar = 5 cm.

of plastron, and indet. fragment; MPCN-Pv 1029, 3 free peripherals; MPCN-Pv 1030, free peripheral; MPCN-Pv 1031, pygal, 5 free peripherals, 2 costals, and indeterminate fragments (Fig. 8). **Description.** Small to mid-sized turtles (20–25 cm of carapace length). The plates assigned to this taxon are highly ornamented with polygons and dichotomized sulci, similar to *Yaminuechelys* 



Fig. 8. Hydromedusinae gen. et sp. indet., (A) MPCN-Pv 1027, left peripheral 3; (B) MPCN-Pv 1031, costal plate; (C–D) MPCN-Pv 1029, peripheral plates; (E) MPCN-Pv 1031, peripheral plate. Abbreviations: MA, marginal scute; PL, pleural scute; VE, vertebral scute. Scale bar = 5 cm.

but with denser and smaller polygons, showing a more granuloid pattern. It is interesting to note that one preserved peripheral 3 (Fig. 8A) has an upturned border.

**Remarks.** This Hydromedusinae might be similar to the taxon identified as number 3 by de Broin (1987) for Los Alamitos Formation and Chelidae gen. et sp. indet. 5 identified by Gasparini & de la Fuente (2000) for La Colonia Formation.

MAMMALIA Linnaeus, 1758 MERIDIOLESTIDA Rougier, Apesteguía & Gaetano, 2011 ?MESUNGULATOIDEA Rougier, Apesteguía & Gaetano, 2011 Indeterminate genus and species

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1036, fragment of right dentary (Fig. 9).

**Description and comparisons.** MPCN-Pv 1036 is assigned to Meridiolestida by the following combination of features: 1) labial alveolar margin lower than the lingual at the level of molars (Forasiepi *et al.*, 2012; Martinelli *et al.*, 2021; Rougier *et al.*, 2021b); and 2) mesiodistally compressed root in the last molars (Rougier *et al.*, 2011). MPCN-Pv 1036 is reminiscent to mesungulatoids and differs from most non-mesungulatoid meridiolestidans due to the presence of subcircular posterior molar roots and labial/ buccal dentary margins that are strongly unequal in height (Harper *et al.*, 2018; Rougier *et al.*, 2021b). Thus, MPCN-Pv 1036 is tentatively assigned to Mesungulatoidea.

MPCN-Pv 1036 is a relatively large-sized

meridiolestidan, comparable in size to an indeterminate meridiolestidan (Forasiepi et al., 2012; Rougier*etal.*,2021a), smaller than *Mesungulatum* Bonaparte & Soria, 1985, Orretherium Martinelli et al., 2021, and Coloniatherium Rougier et al., 2009, but larger than Groebertherium Bonaparte, 1986b, Cronopio Rougier, Apesteguía & Gaetano, 2011, Necrolestes Ameghino, 1891, Leonardus 1990, Reigitherium Bonaparte, Bonaparte, 1990, and Solanutherium Connelly, Cardozo, Montgomery & Rougier, 2024 (Chornogubsky, 2011; Rougier et al., 2011, 2012, 2021b; Chimento et al., 2012; Harper et al., 2018). The preserved fragment measures 7.1 mm anteroposteriorly, and the mandibular height at the labial border, at the level of anterior edge of the last alveolus, is 4.9 mm.

The preserved fragment is a posterior portion of the right dentary, with part of one alveolus and a complete posteriormost alveolus, including remnants of a molar root. The anterior alveolus is slightly wider than the posterior one. These posterior alveoli, including the root, are subcircular (or slightly kidney-shaped) in occlusal view, being wider than they are long. In the preserved root, a radicular canal of subcircular contour is present. There is no marked interalveolar process, and the separation between the alveoli is very narrow, indicating that the roots of each molar were close together, similar to Peligrotherium, Coloniatherium, and unlike Reigitherium and Orretherium (Forasiepi et al., 2012; Harper et al., 2018; Martinelli et al., 2021; Rougier *et al.*, 2021b). Posterior to the preserved alveoli, there is a small hole, located near the lingual edge. The base of coronoid process is pre-



Fig. 9. Right lower jaw of a meridiolestidan mammal, MPCN-Pv 1036, in (A) labial, (B) lingual, (C) occlusal, (D) mesial, and (E) distal views. Abbreviations: alv, alveolus; cr, coronoid process; iap, interalveolar process; lae, labial edge; lie, lingual edge; mc, mandibular canal; mf, masseteric fossa; mr, molar root. Scale bar = 5 mm.

served, which is subcircular in cross-section (as in Coloniatherium, and unlike Necrolestes, the Coniacian specimen, Reigitherium, Orretherium, and *Peligrotherium*; Páez Arango, 2008; Rougier et al., 2009, 2021a, 2021b; Chimento et al., 2012; Forasiepi et al., 2012; Harper et al., 2018; Martinelli et al., 2021) and is positioned well behind the last alveolus, showing a pronounced retromolar space. The base and alveolar level form an obtuse angle (as in Reigitherium, Harper et al., 2018; Rougier et al., 2021b), unlike most meridiolestidans, in which this angle is almost straight (e.g., Peligrotherium, Coloniatherium, the Coniacian specimen, Necrolestes, Cronopio, Orretherium; Páez Arango, 2008; Rougier et al., 2009, 2011, 2012; Chimento et al., 2012; Forasiepi et al., 2012; Wible & Rougier, 2017; Martinelli et al., 2021). The labial and lingual margins are sharp, forming pronounced ridges. Both margins are highly unequal, with the lingual margin much higher than the labial margin. Posterior to the coronoid process, there is a slight concavity corresponding to part of the masseteric fossa. In mesial and distal views, a large mandibular canal filled with sediments can be seen.

**Remarks.** This specimen together with a partial jaw of an indeterminate meridiolestidan (Goin *et al.*, 1986; Forasiepi *et al.*, 2012) represent the only findings of mammals in the Anacleto Formation beds (see Rougier *et al.*, 2021a).

CROCODYLIFORMES Hay, 1929 Indeterminate genus and species

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1032, incomplete osteoderm (Fig. 10A)

**Remarks.** The specimen shows an ornamentation composed of subcircular pits separated by ridges (Ortega *et al.*, 2000; De Andrade *et al.*, 2011; Lio *et al.*, 2019). This pattern of ornamentation is present in osteoderms of all crocodyliforms except for some specific clades that either lack ornamentation (metriorhynchids) or have a vermiculate ornamentation composed by shallow grooves and sinuous crests (Pol *et al.*, 2013), such as sphagesaurians and sebe-



Fig. 10. Crocodyliformes, Pterosauria, and Theropoda specimens from Marín farm. (A) Crocodyliformes cf. Neosuchia, genus and species indeterminate, MPCN-Pv 1032, partial scute in dorsal view. (B–M) Abelisauridae, indeterminate genus and species, MPCN-Pv 1033, two incomplete caudal vertebrae in (B) lateral and (C) dorsal views; distal metatarsal II from the right side in (D) dorsal, (E) ventral, (F) lateral, (G) medial, and (H) distal views; left ungual pedal phalanx IV-5 in (I) lateral, (J) medial, (K) dorsal, (L) ventral, and (M) proximal views. (N–Q) Pterosauria indet., MPCN-Pv 1037, wing metacarpal in (N) ventral, (O) dorsal, (P) side, and (Q) cross-section. Scale bar = 3 cm.

cosuchians (Gasparini, 1981, 1984; Pol *et al.*, 2014). Among the crocodyliforms recorded in the Late Cretaceous of Gondwana, the two groups that bear the plesiomorphic pitted ornamentation pattern in osteoderms are uruguaysuchids (including the diverse genus *Araripesuchus*) and peirosaurids (Ortega *et al.*, 2000; da Silva Marínho *et al.*, 2006; Pol & Gasparini, 2007).

PTEROSAUROMORPHA Kuhn-Schnyder & Rieber, 1986 PTEROSAURIA Kaup, 1834 Indeterminate genus and species **Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1037, possible wing metacarpal (Fig. 10N–Q).

**Description.** The specimen consists of a shaft fragment of about 3.5 cm in length and 2.4 in maximum width. It is elliptical D-shaped in the cross-section and is flattened dorsoventrally. The dorsal surface shows a subtle longitudinal groove, whereas the ventral surface is gently convex. The external bone surface is smooth, and shows a very thin cortex ( $\sim$ 1.2 mm), tending to be thicker at the edges.

**Remarks.** Despite the lack of articulations, identification as a pterosaur is based on its

very thin bone cortex, which is an uncontroversial pterosaurian feature (Kellner *et al.*, 2019). Its large size indicates that it is referable to non-Archaeopterodactyloidea Pterodactyloidea (Kellner, 2003). Wing metacarpals of pterosaurs are elongated and usually D-shaped in cross-section (Wellnhofer, 1985; Kellner *et al.*, 2019), quite similar to MPCN-Pv 1037. In contrast, phalanges from the wing finger tend to show subtriangular or t-shaped cross-section (Kellner *et al.*, 2019).

Present record constitutes the first finding of a pterosaur from Anacleto Formation beds in Patagonia.

DINOSAURIA Owen, 1842 THEROPODA Marsh, 1881 ABELISAUROIDEA Bonaparte, 1991 ABELISAURIDAE Bonaparte & Novas, 1985 Indeterminate genus and species

**Referred material.** MPCN-Pv 1033, disarticulated two middle caudal vertebra, right metatarsal II, left ungual pedal phalanx IV-5 possibly from the same individual (Fig. 10D–M).

**Description.** The two middle caudal centra are incomplete and were found in articulation. The preserved base of the transverse process is anteroposteriorly short and originates at the posterolateral area of the neurocentral suture (Fig. 10B–C). Both caudal centra are sub-elliptical in cross-section and lack pleurocoels resembling the middle caudal vertebrae of other abelisaurids (O'Connor, 2007; Méndez, 2012). The anteriormost vertebra has a wide articular surface for the haemal arch.

The right metatarsal II is represented only by its distal end. The preserved part of the shaft is laterally compressed, and the bone is transversely expanded towards the distal end. In distal view, the medial condyle is globose and robust whereas the lateral one is narrow and smaller, both are separated by a deep intercondylar groove. This groove is obliquely oriented when viewed posteriorly. The medial collateral pit is smaller and deeper than the lateral one, which in turn is large and shallow.

Ungual phalanx of digit IV is almost complete, only lacking its distal end. The lateral and medial surfaces have Y-shaped vascular grooves, surrounding a sub-triangular bump close to the proximal articular surface, a feature widespread in Abelisauroidea (Novas *et al.*, 2004a). In proximal view, the claw is asymmetrical in contour and bears a low median ridge separating the articular surface into two facets. The overall morphology resembles the pedal ungual of digit IV of *Aucasaurus* (Coria *et al.*, 2002a; Baiano, 2021). In lateral view, the ungual is dorsoventrally depressed through its body, is poorly recurved and bears a well-developed proximodorsal lip which projects farther posteriorly than the proximoventral one. The ventral surface is nearly flat and has a deep median longitudinal groove. Numerous minute pits are scattered over the lateral, medial and ventral surfaces of the phalanx, close to the proximal articular area.

**Remarks.** The metatarsal II of MPCN-Pv 1033 is notably similar in size and morphology to the metatarsal II of *Aucasaurus*. In distal view, both show a similar contour in which the lateral condyle is much wider than the medial one. Further, both MPCN-Pv 1033 and *Aucasaurus* have wide and deep dorsal extensor fossa. The ungual phalanx IV is notably similar to the phalanx IV of *Aucasaurus* in the development of the dorsoproximal lip, and the presence of grooves on the ventral flat surface (Coria *et al.*, 2002a; Baiano *et al.*, 2021).

The incomplete nature of MPCN-Pv 1033 precludes a referral beyond Abelisauridae level. Abelisaurid remains from Anacleto Formation include *Aucasaurus garridoi* (Coria *et al.*, 2002a) and an indeterminate species MPCN-PV 69 (Gianechini *et al.*, 2015; Baiano *et al.*, 2021). *Abelisaurus comahuensis*, once thought to belong to this unit, has been recently regarded as coming from Allen Formation (Maastrichtian) (Bonaparte & Novas, 1985; Delaloye & Garrido 2017). The abelisaurid remains presented here are similar in size when compared to MPCN-PV 69 and *Aucasaurus*.

SAUROPODA Marsh, 1878 TITANOSAURIA Bonaparte & Coria, 1993 RINCONSAURIA Calvo, González Riga & Porfiri, 2007 *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp.

**Derivation of the name.** Chadi, from the Mapundungum, meaning salt; the specific epithet honors the late Jorge O. Calvo (1962–2023) a great colleague that recently passed away, who described several titanosaurs and coined the name Rinconsauria.

**Holotype.** MPCN-Pv 1034, 5 proximal caudal vertebrae, 4 distal caudal vertebrae, proximal end of left and right humeri, proximal end of right and left ulnae, distal end of left ulna, distal end of right radius, proximal end of left pubis, proximal and distal end of right femur, proximal



Fig. 11. (A) simplified cladogram showing the phylogenetic position of *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp. among titanosaurs (see Supplementary Data 2); (B) silhouette of *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., showing the available bones belonging to different individuals.

end of left tibia, proximal and distal end of right tibia, proximal and distal end of right fibula, indeterminate metapodials (Fig. 11).

**Paratypes.** MPCN-Pv 1035, 1 incomplete caudal vertebra, proximal end of right humerus, incomplete right coracoid, proximal end of right femur, proximal end distal end of right tibia; MPCN-Pv 1036, distal end of right tibia; MPCN-Pv 1037, proximal end of right humerus, proximal end of right ulna, incomplete left tibia preserving proximal and distal ends, incomplete proximal end of right tibia, indeterminate metapodials; MPCN-Pv 1038, nearly complete right femur; MPCN-Pv 1039, very small specimen, probably representing a juvenile individual, composed by 1 dorsal vertebral centrum, 3 incomplete caudal verte-

bral centra, proximal end of left ulna, proximal end of right tibia, incomplete and indeterminate metacarpals and metatarsals; MPCN-Pv 1040, 2 incomplete caudal vertebrae, fragmentary metatarsals, left distal end of metatarsal IV and articulated phalanx IV-1, right metatarsal IV with articulated phalanx IV-1, right phalanges III-1 and III-2 (ungual); MPCN-Pv 1041, 3 incomplete caudal vertebrae.

All available specimens of *Chadisaurus calvoi* are represented by poorly preserved and incomplete skeletons belonging to small individuals, coming from different sites, but from the same stratigraphic level. The well-ossified distal end of long bones, together with the entirely fused neural arch and centrum of caudal vertebrae are



Fig. 12. *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., anterior caudal vertebra of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (A) left lateral, (B) posterior, (C) dorsal, and (D) anterior views. Abbreviations: nc, neural canal; ns, neural spine; prz, prezygapophyses; tp, transverse process. Scale bar = 5 cm.

congruent with the somatic maturity of the individuals.

Diagnosis. Very small and gracile rinconsaur titanosaur (preserved femoral length of 73.5 cm) diagnosed on the basis of the following combination of characters: straight-shafted femur lacking a lateral protuberance (shared with Muyelensaurus; poorly developed in Rinconsaurus and present and forming a shelflike prominence in Pitekunsaurus; Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Filippi & Garrido, 2008), femoral head dorsomedially projected, far from the level of the greater trochanter (shared with *Rinconsaurus* and *Muyelensaurus*; not dorsally oriented in *Pitekunsaurus*; Filippi & Garrido, 2008), gracile humerus (robust, with expanded proximal end in Rinconsaurus and Narambuenatitan; Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Filippi et al., 2011) with very deep anterior excavation having well-defined dorsal and lateral margins, low olecranon on ulna (as in Narambuenatitan and Muyelensaurus; prominent and bump-like in *Pitekunsaurus*; Filippi & Garrido, 2008), procoelous caudals (amphicoelous-biconvex or amphicoelous-opisthocoelous-biconvex caudal centra in Rinconsaurus and Pitekunsaurus; Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Filippi & Garrido, 2008), and anterior caudals with neural arch continuous with the anterior margin of the vertebral centrum (shared with Muyelensaurus; separated by a notch and posterior to the anterior margin of the vertebral centrum in Pitekunsaurus, Rinconsaurus,

and *Overosaurus*; Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Calvo *et al.*, 2007; Filippi & Garrido, 2008). In spite that *Chadititan calvoi* shows few overlapping materials with *Overosaurus*, the anterior and posterior caudals of *Overosaurus* are notably box-like, shorter and transversely as wide or wider than long, whereas in *Chadititan* vertebrae are elongate and transversely compressed (width/length index is between 0.5 to 0.4 in anterior caudals of *Chadititan*; 0.7 to 0.78 in anterior caudals of *Overosaurus*; see Coria *et al.*, 2013).

**Description.** All known specimen are congruent in that *Chadititan calvoi* was a very small and gracile-built titanosaur. When compared with the femoral length of *Bonatitan*, the estimated body length of *Chadititan* may be estimated at about 7 meters long. It is worthy to mention that no single osteoderm was found in the area. Since no osteoderms were found in association with other rinconsaurians, it is possible to speculate that they were absent in these titanosaurs (the mention of a possible rinconsaur having osteoderms was made by Filippi *et al.* (2023), but this remains unpublished).

**Caudal vertebrae.** All caudal vertebrae are strongly procoelous and relatively long and narrow (Figs. 12–14). Anterior caudal vertebrae of *Chadititan* are somewhat distorted, being transversely compressed and with the neural arch incompletely preserved. All vertebrae lack neural spines, which are represented by their bases. These indicate that the neural spine was relatively thick and anteroposteriorly narrow. The



Fig. 13. *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., two anterior caudal vertebrae of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (A–B) left lateral, (C, H) dorsal, (D) anterior, (E) ventral, (F) right lateral, and (G) posterior views. Abbreviations: aaf, anterior articular surface of centrum; hf, haemal facet; lam, spinoprezygapophyseal lamina; nc, neural canal; ns, neural spine; prz, prezygapophyses; tp, transverse process. Scale bar = 5 cm.

neural spine is located close to the anterior margin of the vertebral centrum.

The vertebral centra are notably elongate, much narrower than long (the width/length index is between 0.5 to 0.4). The lateral walls of the centra are tall and gently concave, and delimit a relatively narrow ventral surface. This gives to the centrum a smooth hourglass outline in ventral view. On its ventral surface there is a longitudinal groove delimited by two longitudinal ridges.

The neural arch of anterior caudals is continuous with the anterior margin of the centrum (Figs. 12–13). The base of the arch indicates that it was strongly anteriorly slanted, and that partially overlapped the preceding vertebra. The prezygapophyses are elongate and narrow, with reduced prezygapophyseal facets. The spinoprezygapophyseal laminae are thick and well defined.

The neural canal is very small and subtriangular in contour. The interprezygapophyseal and diapoprezygapophyseal laminae are thick and well-defined.

Posterior caudals are notably elongated, much narrower than long (the width/length in-



Fig. 14. Vertebrae of *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., posterior caudal vertebrae of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (A–B) right lateral, (C–D, I) ventral, (E, H) anterior, (F) posterior, and (G) left lateral views; dorsal or sacral vertebra of MPCN-Pv 1039 in (J) left lateral, (K) ventral, and (L) anterior views. Abbreviations: hf, haemal facets; pl, pleurocoel; prz, prezygapophyses; tb, tabicate pleurocoel; vg, ventral groove. Scale bar = 5 cm.

dex is about 0.40 to 0.45) (Fig. 14G–I). The posterior half of the centrum is much dorsoventrally lower than the anterior one. The lateral walls of the centra are tall and nearly straight, and delimit a relatively narrow ventral surface. On its ventral surface there is a longitudinal groove delimited by two longitudinal ridges that end on prominent haemal facets.

In these vertebrae the neural spine is not located at the level of the anterior margin of the centrum. The prezygapophyses are strongly anterodorsally oriented and the postzygapophyses are nearly horizontally oriented.

Possible sacral or dorsal vertebra. A single

and incompletely preserved centrum is available. The centrum has an eye-shaped pleurocoel, as occurs in most titanosaurs. It shows well-defined margins and shows an obliquely oriented crest at its inner surface that partially divides it (Fig. 14J–L). The expanded margins of the articular surface are strongly expanded, forming a prominent rim, a condition exhibited by posterior dorsals and posterior or anterior sacrals.

**Forelimb.** The proximal half of the humerus is very gracile and straight-shafted, with a poorly transversely expanded proximal end (Fig. 15). The medial margin of the bone is obliquely oriented and nearly straight, a condition reminis-



Fig. 15. *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., proximal end of left humerus of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (A) anterior, (B) posterior, (C) proximal, and (D) lateral views; proximal end of right humerus of MPCN-Pv 1037 in (E) posterior, (F) anterior, (G) proximal, (H) lateral, and (I) medial views. Abbreviations: ex, anterior excavation; hh, humeral head; lt, lateral tubercle; mt, medial tubercle. Scale bar = 10 cm.

cent of that of *Rinconsaurus* (Pérez-Moreno *et al.*, 2023). The humeral head is well-developed, prominent and bulbose, strongly medially placed. The medial tubercle is prominent. The proximal end of the humerus is strongly excavated anteriorly. The proximal and medial margins of this excavation are strongly delimited by thickened bone margins. In proximal view the humerus is kidney-shaped, with a strongly concave anterior margin and a deeply convex posterior one.

The ulna shows a relatively stout and triradiate proximal end (Fig. 16). The proximal end is rugose, it shows a rounded, convex and strongly proximally projected olecranon process. The deep and well-excavated radial fossa separates prominent anteromedial and anterolateral processes. The anteromedial process is subtriangular in contour and relatively narrow and is slightly laterally curved towards its anterior end. The anterolateral process is relatively thick and poorly anteriorly extended, and is posteriorly delimited by a proximodistally extended longitudinal concavity. The proximal end of the ulna in the holotype specimen (MPCN-Pv 1034) is taphonomically compressed, resulting in a strongly transversely compressed subtriangular contour.

The distal end of a right radius shows a poorly defined anterior fossa, which is not observed in distal view (Fig. 16G–H, O). The posteromedial and posterolateral condyles are well-defined and separated by a shallow concavity. The medial condyle is more distally projected than the lateral one.

Pelvic girdle. The only element representing

the pelvic girdle is the proximal and distal end of pubis. The proximal end is represented by a relatively thick and expanded and dorsally oriented iliac peduncle. Pubic acetabular rim and iliac articular surface meet at an angle of about 10° and are nearly continuous, being separated by a low crest. The iliac articular surface is very deep and wide, ovoidal in contour and is strongly laterally exposed, suggesting that the pubic blade was notably laterally tilted. The anteroproximal corner of the pubis is strongly anteriorly extended and is subtriangular in contour. In medial view the pubic surface is nearly flat. The area of the pubic foramen has not been preserved. The distal end is poorly preserved, but indicates that the pubis was anteroposteriorly and transversely expanded, forming a boot as in other titanosaurs.

**Hindlimb.** The femur is notably elongate and gracile; its shaft is strongly anteroposteriorly compressed (Fig. 17). It shows poorly transverse-ly expanded proximal and distal ends. The femoral head is globose and strongly proximomedially oriented, with a strong medial projection. It is much more proximally extended than the major trochanter.

The lateral margin of the shaft is nearly straight, it lacks a lateral bump and is gently medially curved. The medial margin of the shaft is slightly concave. The preserved part of the shaft indicates that the fourth trochanter was located distal to the proximal third of the bone, probably at shaft mid-length.

The distal end of the bone is much more expanded than the proximal one. Distal condyles



Fig. 16. Ulna and radius of *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., proximal end of right ulna of MPCN-Pv 1037 in (A) lateral, (B) medial, (C) proximal, and (D) anterior views; distal end of left ulna of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (E) posterior and (F) distal views; distal end of right radius of MPCN-Pv 1034 in (G) lateral, (H) medial, and (O) distal views; proximal end of right ulna of MPCN-Pv 1034 in (I) lateral, (J) medial, and (K) proximal views; proximal end of left ulna of MPCN-Pv 1034 in (L) lateral, (M) medial, and (N) proximal views. Abbreviations: al, anterolateral process; an, anteromedial process; ol, olecranon; rf, radial fossa. Scale bar = 10 cm.

are sub-equally extended distally and are separated by a deep intercondylar groove. The lateral condyle and tibiofibular crest are separated by a gently concave to nearly straight surface. The medial condyle is notably narrow and strongly posteriorly oriented, being much more prominent than the tibiofibular crest. The anterior intercondylar groove is well excavated and proximally extended.

The tibia is relatively gracile and with poorly expanded proximal and distal ends (Fig. 18). Because there is no single completely preserved tibia, its length, when compared with the femur, cannot be estimated. The proximal end of tibia is roughly subcircular in contour, with its anteroposterior axis being subequal with the transverse one. The cnemial crest is notably thin and poorly anteriorly extended; it is slightly laterally tilted. It is separated from the rest of the bone by a very deep and well defined, proximodistally extended excavation.

The distal end of the tibia is ovoidal in contour, being poorly transversely expanded (Fig. 18E–I). The distal condyles are subequal in distal extension, the posterior one being narrower than the anterior one and with its lateral margin being proximally extended. Both are separated by a shallow and poorly defined notch.

Metatarsal IV is gracile and transversely narrow (Fig. 19A–D). The articular surface for the matatarsal V is represented by a shallow and poorly defined groove. Medially, exists a deeply



Fig. 17. *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., nearly complete right femur of MPCN-Pv 1038 in (A) anterior, (B) posterior, (F) proximal, and (G) distal views; distal end of right femur of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (C) anterior, (D) posterior, (E) distal, (H) lateral, and (I) medial views; proximal end of right femur of MPCN-Pv 1035, in (J) proximal, (K), posterior, (L) anterior, and (M) lateral views. Abbreviations: eg, extensor groove; tfc, tibiofibular crest condyle; fg, femoral groove; fh, femoral head; lc, lateral condyle; mc, medial condyle; mt, major trochanter. Scale bar = A-I, 20 cm; J-L, 10 cm.



Fig. 18. Tibiae of *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., proximal end of left tibia of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (A) medial, (B) lateral, (C) proximal, and (D) anterior views; distal end of right tibia of holotype MPCN-Pv 1034 in (E) posterior, (F) anterior, (G) distal, (H) lateral, and (I) medial views; proximal end of right tibia in (J) medial, (K) lateral, and (L) proximal views; right tibia of MPCN-Pv 1037 in (M) medial, (N) lateral, (O) proximal, (P) posterior, (Q) anterior, (R) posterior, and (S) distal views. Abbreviations: ac, anterior condyle; cc, cnemial crest; ex, medial excavation; ig, intercondylar groove; lc, lateral condyle; lm, lateral margin; pc, posterior condyle. Scale bar = 15 cm.



Fig. 19. *Chadititan calvoi* nov. gen. et sp., selected foot elements of MPCN-Pv 1040, right metatarsal IV in (A) anterior, (B) lateral, (C) posterior, (D) medial, (E) distal, and (F) proximal views; right phalanx IV-1 in (H) anterior, (I) posterior, (J) proximal, and (K) distal views; (G) right phalanx III-1 in dorsal view; right ungueal phalanx III-2 in (M), medial and (N) lateral views. Scale bar = 4 cm.

excavated articular surface for metatarsal III, which is posteriorly delimited by a proximodistal ridge that separates it from a posteromedially oriented surface. In proximal view, this metatarsal is anteroposteriorly long and transversely narrow, with a roughly trapezoidal outline. The distal articular surface is nearly flat, and retains a small and poorly defined medial collateral pit. In distal view it is subrectangular in contour, with a poorly defined notch at its medial surface and with a gently convex lateral margin. As in other titanosaurs, phalanges IV-1 and III-1 are blockshaped, and with poorly defined proximal and distal articular surfaces (Fig. 19G-L). Ungual phalanx III-2 is transversely narrow and with a distally displaced flexor tubercle (Fig. 19M).

**Measurements of holotype.** Anteriormost preserved vertebra, maximum anteroposterior length of centrum, 18 cm; maximum dorsoven-

tral height of centrum, 7 cm; maximum dorsoventral height of centrum, including the preserved part of the neural arch, 11 cm. Humerus, proximal end, maximum transverse width 23.5 cm; proximal end, maximum transverse width of head, 13.5 cm. Ulna, proximal end, maximum anteroposterior length, 17 cm; proximal end, maximum transverse width at level of the anterolateral process, 12.5 cm. Tibia, proximal end, maximum anteroposterior length, 14 cm; maximum transverse width, 12 cm; distal end, maximum anteroposterior length, 8.5 cm; distal end, maximum transverse width, 14 cm. Femur, proximal head, maximum transverse (mediolateral) width, 16 cm; proximal head, maximum anteroposterior length, 11 cm; transverse width of the shaft, 17.5 cm; distal end, maximum transverse width, 23 cm; distal end maximum anteroposterior length at level of medial condyle, 14 cm.

Measurements of paratype MPCN-Pv 1035. Humerus, maximum width of proximal end, 6.85 cm. Femur, maximum width of proximal end, 14.74 cm; proximal head, maximum transverse (mediolateral) width, 6.98 cm. Tibia, maximum anteroposterior length of proximal end, 12.8 cm; maximum transverse width of proximal end, 6 cm; maximum anteroposterior length of distal end, 4.95 cm; maximum transverse width of distal end, 6.2 cm.

Measurements of paratype MPCN-Pv 1037. Humerus, proximal end maximum transverse width, 27.2 cm; maximum transverse width of humeral head, 8.5 cm. Ulna, proximal end maximum anteroposterior length, 20.7 cm; maximum transverse width at level of the anterolateral process, 8.5 cm. Tibia, maximum anteroposterior length of proximal end, 22.2 cm; maximum transverse width of proximal end, 12.48 cm; anterior projection of cnemial crest, 6.43 cm.

**Measurements of paratype MPCN-Pv 1038.** Femur, maximum anteroposterior width, 6.19 cm; maximum anteroposterior width of head, 8.22 cm; maximum anteroposterior length of medial condyle, 12.12 cm; maximum transverse width of distal end, 22.5 cm.

**Measurements of paratype MPCN-Pv 1039.** Tibia, proximal end, maximum anteroposterior length, 12.27 cm; maximum transverse width, 8.11 cm; anterior extension of cnemial crest, 3.17 cm. Ulna, proximal end, maximum anteroposterior length, 10.59; maximum transverse width at level of the anterolateral process, 8.69 cm.

**Remarks.** Chadititan calvoi was included within a phylogenetic analysis of titanosaurs, and it resulted well-nested within the clade Rinconsauria (Fig. 11; Supplementary Data 2). This clade also includes thegenera *Pitekunsaurus*, Muyelensaurus, Overosaurus, and Rinconsaurus, and supported by six unambiguous synapomorphies [ch. 175(1), neural spine of anterior dorsal vertebrae slightly higher than the centrum; ch. 262(1), posterior caudal centra dorsoventrally flattened; ch. 352(0), fourth trochanter of femur located almost at the half of the shaft; ch. 431(2), strong height difference between the anterior and posterior articular faces of posterior caudal vertebrae; ch. 434 (1), ilium with notably dorsoventrally expanded iliac blade above the pubic peduncle; ch. 435 (1), ilium, preacetabular blade, with a ventrolaterally tapered end] (see Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Calvo et al., 2007; Filippi & Garrido, 2008; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2022, 2023). In spite of being relatively incomplete known, Chadititan shares with other rinconsaurians two unambiguous synapomorphies: distally positioned fourth trochanter on femur [ch. 352(0)] and posterior caudal vertebrae centra with a depressed posterior face [ch. 431(2)]. Further, *Chadititan* shares with other rincosaurians several additional features, including caudal vertebrae with elongate and anteriorly projected prezygapophyses, and a straight-shafted and gracile femur lacking a strong medial curvature on its proximal end (Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Calvo *et al.*, 2007; Filippi & Garrido, 2008; Pérez-Moreno *et al.*, 2021, 2023; Fig. 11).

In addition to the characters and comparisons carried out on the Diagnosis, there are additional features that distinguish Chadititan from closely related taxa. The presence of homogeneously procoelous caudal vertebrae of *Chadititan* separates it from the clade including the genera Pitekunsaurus and Rinconsaurus, which are characterized by a caudal sequence including biconvex, procoelous, opisthocoelous, amphicoelous and amphiplatyan vertebrae [ch. 433(1)], and centra of anterior caudal vertebrae with strong height difference between the anterior and posterior articular faces [ch. 429(2)] (Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Filippi & Garrido, 2008; González Riga et al., 2009; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2021). On the other side, transversely narrow and elongate caudals distinguish Chadititan from Overosaurus and Muyelensaurus, in which the caudals are box-shaped, with flat and broad ventral surfaces (Coria et al., 2013; Pérez-Moreno, 2023). This combination of characters, together with the differences noted in the diagnosis, indicate that Chadititan is a valid rinconsaurian genus.

The clade Rinconsaria was first established by Calvo et al. (2007) with the aim to encompass the genera Rinconsaurus and Muyelensaurus. They defined the clade under the following words: "Muyelensaurus, Rinconsaurus, their most recent common ancestor and all of its descendants". Later, Hechenleitner et al. (2020) and Pérez-Moreno et al. (2023), following the phylogenetic definition made by Calvo et al. (2007), recognized Rinconsauria as a more comprehensive group that included also members of Aeolosaurini because Rinconsaurus was depicted in their analysis as more closely related to aeolosaurines than to Muyelensaurus. The present phylogenetic analysis resulted in a closer relationship between *Rinconsaurus* and *Muyelensaurus* than either of these with Aeolosaurinae, leading to the recognition of Rinconsauria as a small clade of titanosaurs that includes not only Rinconsaurus and *Muyelensaurus* (as originally proposed by Calvo *et al.*, 2007), but also *Chaditian*, *Pitekunsaurus*, and *Overosaurus*. Under this scenario the small clade Rinconsauria constitutes the sister group of Aeolosaurini (Fig. 11).

Rinconsauria includes slender, lightly built and small-sized titanosaurs (all being less than 11 m long) with straight limbs and a strongly modified pelvic girdle. Rinconsaurus, Overosaurus, and Muyelensaurus exhibit a proportionally short and extremely dorsoventrally tall ilium with its preacetabular process strongly laterally deflected and with a ventrolaterally tapering end (see Coria et al., 2013), which is somewhat reminiscent of the basal titanosauriform Brachiosaurus (see Coria et al., 2013), to which rinconsaurians are also similar in having a relatively straight femur and gracile and straight limbs. Tail anatomy of rinconsaurians is very similar to that of Aeolosaurus and Arrudatitan (Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Calvo et al., 2007; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2021), which were recently considered as having a protonic tail (Vidal et al., 2021). A relatively straight femur and very gracile limbs, with a modified anterior tail vertebrae are features that among rinconsaurians are present also in *Chadititan*, suggesting that it shared with other members of the group a similar body shape.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Fossil vertebrates and invertebrates here described do not yield conclusive evidence about the environmental and climatic conditions under which the Marín Farm fossiliferous horizon was deposited. Many of the aquatic vertebrates (e.g., dipnoans, lepisosteids, and percomorphs) are interpreted both as being restricted to freshwater environments, or to have some tolerance to brackish water. On the other hand, data provided by invertebrates appears to be more informative. Nowadays, unionoid bivalves live in a relatively broad spectrum of freshwater environments (Martinez & Rojas, 2004), but most frequently in lotic ones, around 1 m deep; they prefer moderate to somewhat fast currents and firm to moderately soft substrates, with ample range of grain sizes (Huehner, 1987; Dillon, 2004; Cummings & Graf, 2015). It is relevant to note that species with large and heavy shells can be found in water courses with relatively fast currents, a hard substrate, and coarse-grained sediment (Stanley, 1970; Bailey & Green, 1988; Cummings & Graf, 2015), which is not the case of the Diplodon sp.

recovered in present assemblage.

Knowledge of Cretaceous continental gastropods from Patagonia is very scarce (Salvador *et al.*, 2018), although it has increased in recent years (Novas *et al.*, 2019; Miquel, 2024). Gastropods from Marín Farm include strictly terrestrial Megalomastomatidae, Subulinidae, and Bulimulidae. This set of terrestrial gastropods point out environmental features that typically represent temperate-warm and humid conditions, such as occurring in northern Argentina forests.

In sum, the aquatic, semi-aquatic, and terrestrial organisms provide evidence for an inland freshwater community, of temperate-warm climate. This is congruent with sedimentological analysis that regards these beds as wet interdune facies association.

# Marín Farm locality and its implications on vertebrate and tetrapod assemblages from Patagonia

Lehman (1987) indicates that Campanian faunistic associations in North America are less explored when compared to Maastrichtian ones (but see Gates et al., 2010; Mitchell et al., 2012). The same may be applied to Patagonia, where late Campanian-Maastrichtian associations are studied in greater detail (e.g., Allen, La Colonia, and Chorrillo formations; Martinelli & Forasiepi, 2004; Gasparini et al., 2015; Novas et al., 2019), than those belonging to the early to mid-Campanian, such as those of the Anacleto Formation. The only roughly coeval assemblage that was studied as a whole belongs to the Cerro Fortaleza Formation (Agnolín et al., 2024), located in southern Santa Cruz Province (see below). In this way, the assemblage here described constitutes one of the first vertebrate and invertebrate terrestrial associations reported from Campanian age in northern Patagonia.

The Marín Farm assemblage described here may be included within Bonaparte (1991) "Neuquenian Vertebrate Age" or within the "Coloradoan Tetrapod Assemblage" of Leanza *et al.* (2004). Leanza *et al.* (2004) characterized the assemblage by a high diversity of abelisaurid and titanosaur sauropods. The latter include the co-existence of large and gracile limbed forms, together with the first occurrence of small and robust saltasaurines (Leanza *et al.*, 2004). The latter were regarded as a migratory wave completing the faunal mixture with northern Gondwanan forms (Leanza *et al.*, 2004). Leanza *et al.* (2004) also indicated the abundance of crocodyliforms, particularly not osuchians, that may represent 60% of the vertebrate record in some sites.

This characterization does not entirely fit with the assemblage here described (Fig. 20). Marín Farm association shows the occurrence of gracile limbed derived rinconsaurians together with a larger titanosaur (not collected) and abelisaurids. Otherwise, saltasaurines and colossosaurs are absent. Furthermore, crocodyliforms are very scarce, with the occurrence of a single osteoderm.

Otherwise, this assemblage shares with coeval beds the abundance of rinconsaur titanosaurs and the occurrence of the dipnoan *Metaceratodus kaopen* (Apesteguía *et al.*, 2007; Filippi & Garrido, 2008) (Fig. 20). One remarkable aspect that Marín Farm assemblage shares with late Campanian-Maastrichtian (Allenian *sensu* Leanza *et al.*, 2004) is the low diversity, but numerical abundance, of chelid turtles, and particularly the occurrence of the genus *Yaminuechelys* (Leanza *et al.*, 2004; de la Fuente *et al.*, 2015; Maniel & de la Fuente, 2016, 2017; Fig. 20).

The strong differences noted among different assemblages suggest that the concepts of "Neuquenian Vertebrate Age" (Bonaparte, 1991) or "Coloradoan Tetrapod Assemblage" (Leanza *et al.*, 2004) may need to be revised because at least some associations, such as that of the Anacleto Formation reported here, seem to contain a mixture of faunal elements belonging to the Coloradoan and the Allenian assemblages.

# Comparisons between Marín Farm and Cerro Fortaleza faunal assemblages

In Patagonia, aside from Marín Farm, the only locality that yielded a diverse faunal association of comparable chronostratigraphical context is that of Cerro Fortaleza, southwestern Santa Cruz Province (Novas *et al.*, 2002; Agnolín *et al.*, 2024). This makes comparisons between both assemblages particularly informative.

In spite that in Cerro Fortaleza a census of the relative number of specimens is still pending, published sources indicate that chelid turtles are by far the most common element in the association, being represented by three different species (Agnolín *et al.*, 2024), a diversity that is reminiscent of the Marín Farm assemblage. Further, in Cerro Fortaleza, crocodiles are very scarce and represented by a single form. Besides, in both assemblages lepisosteiform and dipnoan fishes are present, the latter represented by a single taxon. In the case of Marín Farm assemblage, dipnoans are represented by the species *Metaceratodus kaopen* which belongs to lineages typical of north and central Patagonia basins, whereas in the Austral basin, dipnoans belong to the *Atlantoceratodus* lineage (Cione *et al.*, 2007; Agnolín, 2010; Panzeri, 2024).

Dinosaurs are particularly abundant in Anacleto beds (Lydekker, 1893; von Huene, 1929; Powell, 1986; Coria & Salgado, 1996; Chiappe *et al.*, 1998, 2001, 2004; Dingus *et al.*, 2000; Coria *et al.*, 2002a; Calvo & González Riga, 2003; Chiappe & Coria, 2004; Salgado *et al.*, 2005; Filippi & Garrido, 2008; Sereno *et al.*, 2008; Salgado & Coria, 2009; Filippi *et al.*, 2011; Coria *et al.*, 2013; Gianechini *et al.*, 2015; Baiano *et al.*, 2021; Pérez-Moreno *et al.*, 2022, 2023), and constitute a valuable source for comparisons with coeval beds of Cerro Fortaleza Formation (Novas *et al.*, 2002, 2004b, 2005, 2008; Ezcurra *et al.*, 2010; Lacovara *et al.*, 2014; Paulina-Carabajal *et al.*, 2021; Agnolín *et al.*, 2024).

Top predatory niches during Campanian times in Northern Patagonia were mainly dominated by abelisaurids, with the single exception of the megaraptorid *Aerosteon*, found in the Anacleto Formation beds from southern Mendoza Province (Sereno *et al.*, 2008). Otherwise, in the Austral basin, including Cerro Fortaleza, the top predatory niche is dominated by megaraptorids, with much fewer abelisaurids (Novas *et al.*, 2013; Agnolín *et al.*, 2024). The Marín Farm assemblage shares with other northern Patagonia localities the presence of abelisaurid theropods, and so far megaraptorids are entirely absent at this site.

Regarding sauropods, some contributions indicate differences in composition between northern and southern Patagonia. For example, gigantic colossosaurians are absent from the record since the Campanian in the Neuquén Basin, whereas they appear to be abundant and diversified throughout the Campanian and Maastrichtian at Austral and Golfo San Jorge basins (see Novas et al., 2019; Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2024). In congruence, the gigantic colossosaurians are absent from the Marín Farm assemblage, but they are present and diverse in Cerro Fortaleza (Novas et al., 2019; Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022). On the other hand, rinconsaurians and related taxa are abundant and diverse in the Neuquén Basin (including Marín Farm), whereas they are entirely absent in the Austral Basin (including Cerro Fortaleza; see Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022).

The differences in faunistic composition be-



Fig. 20. Percentage of the total number of vertebrate specimens collected in Marín family Farm locality. Specimens MNI on each category: Percomorpha [1]; Lepisosteiformes [7]; Dipnoi [8]; Chelonia [403]; Mammalia [1]; Crocodyliformes [1]; Pterosauria [1]; Sauropoda [11]; Theropoda [4].

tween northern and southern Patagonia have been pointed out before by several authors (Novas et al., 2013; Moyano-Paz et al., 2022; Agnolín et al., 2024). Here, based on the differences noted above, we suggest that such differentiation, at least for the case of vertebrates, may be traced up to Campanian times. A similar latitudinal provincialism has been reported in North America for the Campanian-Maastrichtian interval (Lehman, 1987, 1997; Sankey, 2008). Sankey (2008) supports that this provincialism is the exclusive result of climatic differences, particularly the degree of environmental aridity, and the same may possibly be applied to Patagonia (southern localities were more template and humid than the northern Patagonian locations, warmer and eventually less humid).

Although the sample size remains small, the preliminary account of the vertebrate diversity demonstrates that the Marín Farm assemblage constitutes a valuable source of information to understand faunistic evolution during the Campanian–Maastrichtian time lapse in Patagonia.

# Comparisons with coeval faunistic assemblages of North America and Eurasia

One remarkable aspect that Marín Farm assemblage shares with other Campanian and Maastrichtian localities in Patagonia is the low diversity (here represented by three species), but numerical abundance, of chelid turtles, which here constitute more than the 90% of the entire vertebrate association (Fig. 20).

The abundance of turtles in Marín Farm assemblage, which outnumber other reptiles, contrasts with which is known in most Campanian localities from North America. In most of these sites, the turtles do not represent more than the 50% of the reptile assemblage (e.g., Hutchinson & Archibald, 1986; Fiorillo, 1989, 1991; Lehman, 1997; DeMar & Breithaup, 2006; Fanti & Miyashita, 2009; Cullen et al., 2016), and are usually surpassed by dinosaur occurrences. Further, in these assemblages the turtles are taxonomically diverse, usually represented by more than six taxa (frequently 13), belonging to different lineages (Rowe et al., 1992; Sullivan & Lucas, 2003, 2006; DeMar & Breithaup, 2006; Sankey, 2006; Cullen et al., 2016). In this regard, Hutchinson & Archibald (1986) reported for the Hell Creek Formation the occurrence of at least 19 turtle taxa collected from penecontemporaneous deposits. In a similar way, in roughly coetaneous fossiliferous localities from Asia (e.g., Bostobe Formation, Western Kazakhstan; Nessov, 1984, 1995, 1997; Averianov et al., 2016) turtles are diverse, resembling North American fossil sites. Nessov (1984) remarked that in some associations from eastern Asia, the turtles represented more than 50% of the entire vertebrate biomass. However, he does not indicate the number of specimens nor how the calculations were made, and thus, comparisons with the associations of Patagonia are difficult.

Otherwise, several European Campanian sites (e.g., eastern Austria, Romania, southern France, Spain, and Portugal) show a low taxonomic diversity, comparable to that of Patagonia, but in most cases turtles are not very abundant and do not reach the 50% of the reptile occurrences (e.g., Buffetaut et al., 1996, 1997; de Broin & Murelaga, 1996; de Broin et al., 1999; Pérez-García, 2009, 2012; Rabi et al., 2013; Pereda-Suberbiola et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2015; Csiki-Sava et al., 2015; Ortega et al., 2015).

In different faunas from Campanian assemblages of the "Judithan age" of Alberta, Montana, and Texas from North America, Lehman (1997; see also Rogers *et al.*, 2024) indicated that among medium-sized reptiles, the turtles dominate each assemblage, followed by crocodilians which represent about one quarter. In the same line, Sankey & Baszio (2008) reported in several coeval sites from Texas that crocodiles constitute about 25% of the fauna. In some North American formations, such as the Cedar Formation in Utah, taxonomic diversity is high, up to 7 different taxa (Cifelli *et al.*, 1999). The same seems to apply for the Western Kazakhstan and European reptiles associations of Campanian age (e.g., Rozhdestvensky & Khozatsky, 1967; Buffetaut, 1979; Nesov 1995, 1997; Buffetaut et al., 1996, 1997; Buscalioni et al., 1997, 1999, 2001; Rabi, 2008; Puértolas-Pascual et al., 2011, 2014; Averianov et al., 2016). This relative abundance strongly contrasts with the occurrence of a single crocodilian scute recovered in the Marín Farm, which represents less than 0.3% of the association. In this regard, the Marín Farm assemblage resembles Maastrichtian assemblages from Patagonia, which up to the date failed to yield relevant fossil crocodyliforms, which are notably scarce in highly prospected sites (e.g., Los Alamitos, Bajo de Santa Rosa, La Colonia; Bonaparte, 1987; Martinelli & Forasiepi, 2004; Gasparini et al., 2015).

Another peculiarity of the Marín Farm assemblage is that dinosaurs do not outnumber other vertebrates, and shed teeth are absent in the area. Shed teeth were produced that animals that were alive at the time of deposition of the sediments, and not by carcass destruction (Bakker & Bir, 2004; Carrano & Vélez-Juarbe, 2006). Occurrence of high number of worn and shed predator teeth and herbivorous teeth indicate that the recorded dinosaurs were actively feeding in the environment. The lack of theropod or sauropod teeth distinguish the Marín Farm assemblage from other fossiliferous sites. It is possible to infer that the entire absence of teeth in the assemblage, together with the occurrence of several partially articulated and associated individuals of both titanosaur and abelisaurids, indicates that the burial site did not necessarily correspond to the place where they had their life activities. This is congruent with sedimentological analysis that regards these beds as wet interdune facies association, which was unlikely the habitat of these dinosaurs.

Titanosaurs are very common animals in most vertebrate associations from Gondwana Bonaparte & (Bonaparte, 1986a; Kielan Jaworowska, 1987). In the present case, the new rinconsaur Chadititan calvoi, are represented by several individuals, being the most common dinosaur of the assemblage. In the phylogenetic analysis carried out above, rinconsaurians are represented by five genera occurring in the Santonian-Campanian time lapse. As indicated by other authors, the limit between the Cenomanian and Turonian included an important extinction event and a faunistic turnover in Patagonia (Apesteguía, 2002; Coria & Salgado,

2005; Novas, 2009; Santamarina et al., 2022). Posterior to this extinction, titanosaurian sauropods underwent an extensive radiation (Coria & Salgado, 2005; Salgado et al., 2005; Gallina & Apesteguía, 2015; Apesteguía, 2007; Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2024). The occurrence and abundance of Chadititan in the Marín Farm assemblage, together with the Turonian-Campanian rinconsaur radiation here depicted, indicates that it is possible that they were part of such turnover, and of the "evolutionary explosion" that occurred after the Cenomanian together with the appearance of aeolosaurines, saltasaurines, and diverse basal eutitanosaurs (Pérez-Moreno et al., 2024). This diversification peaked during the Campanian-Maastrichtian, where many localities of this period in northern Patagonia yielded more than one, and up to 6, coeval sauropod species (García & Salgado, 2012; Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022; Pérez-Moreno et al., 2024). It must be noted, however, that in the Campanian Marín assemblage, only a single titanosaur species (probably a second one represented by fragmented remains) was recovered. The absence of osteoderms (which also represent an indirect indication of sauropod diversity; Aranciaga-Rolando et al., 2022) also supports a low sauropod diversity on the Marín Farm assemblage.

The differences noted between the Campanian–Maastrichtian faunal associations from Patagonia (with dominance of chelid turtles, very low diversity and abundance of crocodyliforms, and abundance of titanosaurs) and those from coeval units in North America likely reflect not only a different climatic and paleolatitudinal position, but also very different continental and evolutionary histories (Bonaparte, 1986a).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Isasi, G. Muñoz, A Moreno-Rodríguez, S. Miner, and members of the LACEV team for their help during the excavation and technical preparation of the specimens. Special thanks to F. Guereñu for the help during the preparation of turtles and abelisaurids remains. We deeply thank the help by the Marín family, particularly Daniel Marín and Mónica Ferrari for their invaluable help during the field trips to their farm. Reviewers I. Maniel and A. Pérez-Moreno made useful comments that greatly improved the quality of the MS. The explorations were supported by PICT 2018-01390 BID and PIP-IF-2022-0875 to FLA and NGS-92822R-22 to DP.

#### **Supplementary material**

http://revista.macn.gob.ar/ojs/index.php/ RevMus/rt/suppFiles/885/0

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, H. & A. Adams. 1858. The Genera of Recent Mollusca: 2. John van Voorst, 661 pp.
- Agnolín, F. 2010. A new species of the genus Atlantoceratodus (Dipnoiformes: Ceratodontoidei) from the uppermost Cretaceous of Patagonia and a brief overview of fossil dipnoans from the Cretaceous and Paleogene of South America. Brazilian Geographical Journal: Geosciences and Humanities Research Medium 1(2): 162–210.
- Agnolín, F.L., G. Álvarez Herrera, M. Aranciaga Rolando, M. Motta, S. Rozadilla, L. Verdiquio, J.S. D'Angelo, D. Moyano-Paz, A.N. Varela, J. Sterli, S. Bogan, S. Miner, A. Moreno Rodriguez, G. Muñoz, M.P. Isasi & F.E. Novas. 2024. Fossil vertebrates from the Cerro Fortaleza Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Santa Cruz Province, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 154: 105735.
- Alarcón-Muñoz, J., S. Soto-Acuña, L.M. Manríquez, R.A. Fernández, D. Bajor, J.P. Guevara, F. Lara, M.A. Leppe & A.O. Vargas. 2020. Freshwater turtles (Testudines: Pleurodira) in the Upper Cretaceous of Chilean Patagonia. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 102: 102652.
- Albers, J.C. & E.V. Martens. 1860. Die Heliceen nach natürlicher Verwandschaft systematisch geordnet. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, 359 pp.
- Ameghino, F. 1891. Nuevos restos de mamiferos fosiles descubiertos por Carlos Ameghino en el Eoceno inferior de la Patagonia austral. *Revista Argentina de Historia Natural* 1: 289-328.
- Apesteguía, S. 2002. Successional structure in continental tetrapod faunas from Argentina along the Cretaceous. *Boletim do II Simposio del Cretácico de América del Sur* 6: 135–141.
- Apesteguía, S. 2007. The sauropod diversity of the La Amarga Formation (Barremian), Neuquén (Argentina). Gondwana Research 12(4): 533–546.
- Apesteguia, S., F.L. Agnolín & K.M. Claeson. 2007. A Review of Cretaceous dipnoans from Argentina (Sarcopterygii Dipnoi) with Descriptions of New Species. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales 9(1): 27–40.
- Aranciaga Rolando, M.A., J.A. García Marsà, F.L. Agnolín, M.J. Motta, S. Rozadilla & F.E. Novas. 2022. The sauropod record of salitral ojo del agua: An Upper Cretaceous (Allen Formation) fossiliferous locality from northern Patagonia, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 129: 105029.
- Averianov, A.O., I.G. Danilov, P.P. Skutschas, I.T. Kuzmin, H.D. Sues & G.J. Dyke. 2016. The Late Cretaceous vertebrate assemblages of western Kazakhstan. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 71: 5–17.
- Badgley, C. 1986. Counting individuals in mammalian fossil assemblages from fluvial environments. PA-

LAIOS 1(3): 328-338.

- Baiano, M.A., R.A. Coria, J.I. Canale & F.A. Gianechini. 2021. New abelisaurid material from the Anacleto Formation (Campanian, Upper Cretaceous) of Patagonia, Argentina, shed light on the diagnosis of the Abelisauridae (Theropoda, Ceratosauria). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 110: 103402.
- Baiano, M.A. 2021. Osteología y relaciones filogenéticas de Aucasaurus garridoi Coria, Chiappe y Dingus, 2002 (Dinosauria, Theropoda, Abelisauridae).
  Implicancias sistemáticas y paleobiogeográficas en la evolución de los Abelisáuridos. Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Bariloche, Centro Regional Universitario Bariloche, 909 pp.
- Bailey, R.C. & R.H. Green. 1988. Within-basin variation in the shell morphology and growth rate of a freshwater mussel. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 66(7): 1704–1708.
- Baker, H.B. 1935. Jamaican land snails, 3. *Nautilus* 48(3): 83-88, pl. 3.
- Bakker, R.T. & G. Bir. 2004. Dinosaur crime scene investigations: Theropod behavior at Como Bluff, Wyoming, and the evolution of birdness. In: K. Carpenter (ed.), Feathered Dragons: Studies on the Transition from Dinosaurs to Birds, 301–342 pp, Indiana University press.
- Balgord, E.A. & B. Carrapa. 2016. Basin evolution of Upper Cretaceous-Lower Cenozoic strata in the Malargüe fold-and-thrust belt: northern Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Basin Research 28(2): 183–206.
- Beck, H.H. 1837. Index molluscorum praesentis aevi musei principis augustissimi Christian Frederici, Hafniae, 124 pp.
- Blainville, H.M.D. 1818. Cryptodibranches. In: F. Cuvier (ed.), *Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles*, 88–91 pp, F. G. Levrault, Strasbourg.
- Blanford, W.T. 1864. On the classification of the Cyclostomacea of Eastern Asia. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 13(78): 441-465.
- Bona, P. & M.A. de la Fuente. 2005. Phylogenetic and paleobiogeographic implications of Yaminuechelys maior (Staesche, 1929) new comb., a large longnecked chelid turtle from the early Paleocene of Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 25(3): 569–582.
- Bona, P., S. Heredia & M. de la Fuente. 2009. Tortugas continentales (Pleurodira: Chelidae) en la Formación Roca (Daniano), provincia de Río Negro, Argentina. Ameghiniana 46(2): 255–262.
- Bonaparte, J.F. 1986a. History of the terrestrial Cretaceous vertebrates of Gondwana. Münchner Geowissenchaftliche Abhandlungen 30: 73–130.
- Bonaparte, J.F. 1986b. Sobre Mesungulatum houssayi y nuevos mamíferos cretácicos de Patagonia. 4° Congreso Argentino de Paleontología y Bioestratigrafía, Mendoza, Actas 2: 48–61
- Bonaparte, J.F. 1987. The Late Cretaceous fauna of Los Alamitos, Patagonia, Argentina. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Paleontología 3(3): 103–178.
- Bonaparte, J.F. 1990. New Late Cretaceous mammals

from the Los Alamitos Formation, northern Patagonia. *National Geographic Research* 6: 63–93.

- Bonaparte, J.F. 1991. The gondwanian theropod families Abelisauridae and Noasauridae. *Historical Bi*ology 5(1): 1–25.
- Bonaparte, J. & F.E. Novas. 1985. Abelisaurus comahuensis, n.g., n.sp., Carnosauria from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. Ameghiniana 21(2–4): 259–265.
- Bonaparte, J.F. & M.F. Soria. 1985. Nota sobre el primer mamífero del Cretácico Argentino, Campaniano-Maastrichtiano (Condylarthra). Ameghiniana 21(2-4): 177–183.
- Bonaparte, J.F. & R.A. Coria. 1993. Un nuevo y gigantesco saurópodo titanosaurio de la Formación Río Limay (Albiano–Cenomaniano) de la Provincia del Neuquén, Argentina. Ameghiniana 30(3): 271–282.
- Bonaparte, J.F. & Z. Kielan-Jaworowska. 1987. Late Cretaceous dinosaur and mammal faunas of Laurasia and Gondwana. In: P.J. Currie & E.H. Koster (eds), Fourth symposium on Mesozoic terrestrial ecosystems and biota, pp. 24–29, Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta.
- Borghi, P., L. Fennell, R.G. Omil, M. Naipauer, E. Acevedo & A. Folguera. 2019. The Neuquén group: The reconstruction of a Late Cretaceous foreland basin in the southern Central Andes (35–37<sup>o</sup> S). *Tectonophysics* 767: 228177.
- Bridge, J.S. 2003. Rivers and floodplains: forms, processes, and sedimentary record. John Wiley and Sons. Blackwell Science, Oxford, 491 pp.
- Brizuela, S. & Albino, A. 2011. A Scincomorpha lizard from the Campanian of Patagonia. *Cretaceous Re*search 32(6): 781–785.
- Buffetaut., E. 1979. Revision der Crocodylia (Reptilia) aus den Gosau-Schichten (Ober-Kreide) von Osterreich. Beitrage Palaontologische Osterreich 6: 89–105.
- Buffetaut, E., G. Costa, J. Le Loeuff, M. Martin, J.C. Rage, X. Valentin & H. Tong. 1996. An early Campanian vertebrate fauna from the Villeveyrac Basin (Hérault, southern France). Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologie und Palaontologie Monatshefte 1: 1–16.
- Buffetaut, E., J. Le Loeuff, L. Cavin, S. Duffaud, E. Gheerbrant, Y. Laurent, M. Martin, J.C. Rage, H. Tong & D. Vasse. 1997. Late Cretaceous non-Maríne vertebrates from southern France: a review of recent finds. *Geobios* 30(1): 101–108.
- Burress, E.D. 2016. Ecological diversification associated with the pharyngeal jaw diversity of Neotropical cichlid fishes. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 85(1): 302–313.
- Buscalioni A.D., F. Ortega & D. Vasse. 1997. New crocodiles (Eusuchia: Alligatoroidea) from the Upper Cretaceous of southern Europe. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris 325(7): 525– 530.
- Buscalioni A.D., F. Ortega & D. Vasse. 1999. The Upper Cretaceous crocodilian assemblage from Laño (Northcentral Spain): implications in the knowledge of the finicretaceous European faunas. Estudios del Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava

14(1): 213-233.

- Buscalioni, A.D., F. Ortega, D.B. Weishampel & C.M. Jianu. 2001. A revision of the crocodyliform Allodaposuchus precedens from the Upper Cretaceous of the Hateg Basin, Romania. Its relevance in the phylogeny of Eusuchia. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 21(1): 74–86.
- Carballido, J.L., D. Pol, A. Otero, I.A. Cerda, L. Salgado, A.C. Garrido, J. Ramezani, N.R. Cúneo & J.M. Krause. 2017. A new giant titanosaur sheds light on body mass evolution among sauropod dinosaurs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284: 20171219
- Calvo, J.O. & R.J. González Riga. 2003. Rinconsaurus caudamirus gen. et sp. nov., a new titanosaurid (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. Revista Geológica de Chile 30(2): 333–353.
- Calvo, J.O., B.J. González Riga & J.D. Porfiri. 2007. A new titanosaur sauropod from the Late Cretaceous of Neuquén, Patagonia, Argentina. Arquivos do Museu Nacional 65(4): 485–504.
- Camacho, H.H. 1957. Observaciones geológicas y paleontológicas en Bahía Esperanza, Puerto Paraíso e Islas Shetland del Sur y Melchior. 2. (Geologic and paleontologic observations in Hope Bay, Paradise Port and Southern Shetland and Melchior islands). *Publicaciones del Instituto Antártico Argentino* 4: 25–30.
- Carrano, M.T. & J. Velez-Juarbe. 2006. Paleoecology of the Quarry 9 vertebrate assemblage from Como Bluff, Wyoming (Morrison Formation, Late Jurassic). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 237(2–4): 147–159.
- Casciotta, J.R. & G. Arratia. 1993. Jaws and teeth of American cichlids (Pisces: Labroidei). Journal of Morphology 217: 1–36.
- Cerda, I., V.I. Zurriaguz, J.I. Carballido, R. González & L. Salgado. 2021. Osteology, paleohistology and phylogenetic relationships of *Pellegrinisaurus* powelli (Dinosauria: Sauropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous of Argentinean Patagonia. Cretaceous Research 128: 104957.
- Chapman, F. 1914. On a new species of *Ceratodus* from the Cretaceous of New South Wales. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society of Victoria 27: 25–27.
- Chiappe, L.M. & R.A. Coria. 2004. Auca Mahuevo, un extraordinario sitio de nidificación de dinosaurios saurópodos del Cretácico Tardío, Neuquén, Argentina. Ameghiniana 41(4): 591–596.
- Chiappe, L.M., R.A. Coria, L. Dingus, F. Jackson, A. Chinsamy & M. Fox. 1998. Sauropod dinosaur embryos from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. Nature 396(6708): 258–261.
- Chiappe, L.M., L. Salgado & R.A. Coria. 2001. Embryonic skulls of titanosaur sauropod dinosaurs. *Sci*ence 293(5539): 2444–2446.
- Chiappe, L.M., J.G. Schmitt, F.D. Jackson, A. Garrido, L. Dingus & G. Grellet-Tinner. 2004. Nest structure for sauropods: sedimentary criteria for recognition of dinosaur nesting traces. *PALAIOS* 19(1): 89–95.

- Chimento, N.R., F.L. Agnolín & F.E. Novas. 2012. The Patagonian fossil mammal Necrolestes: A Neogene survivor of Dryolestoidea. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, nueva serie 14(2): 261–306.
- Chornogubsky, L. 2011. New remains of the dryolestoid mammal *Leonardus cuspidatus* from the Los Alamitos Formation (Late Cretaceous, Argentina). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 85, 343–350.
- Cifelli, R.L., R.L. Nydam, A. Weil, J.G. Eaton, J.I. Kirkland & S.K. Madsen, 1999. Medial Cretaceous vertebrates from the Cedar Mountain Formation, Emery County, Utah: the Mussentuchit local fauna. *Miscellaneous Publication*, Utah Geological Survey 99(1): 219–242.
- Cione, A.L. 1987. The Late Cretaceous fauna of los Alamitos, Patagonia, Argentina. II: The fishes. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales Bernardino Rivadavia, Paleontología 3(3): 111–120.
- Cione, A.L. & S. Gouiric-Cavalli. 2012. Metaceratodus kaopen comb. nov. and M. wichmanni comb. nov., two Late Cretaceous South American species of an austral lungfish genus (Dipnoi). Alcheringa: An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology 36(2): 203–216.
- Cione, A.L., S. Gouiric Cavalli, F.J. Goin & D.G. Poiré. 2007. Atlantoceratodus a new genus of lungfish from the upper Cretaceous of South America and Africa. Revista del Museo de La Plata, Paleontología 10(62): 1–12.
- Cobbold, P.R. & E.A. Rosello. 2003. Aptian to recent contractional deformation, foothills of the Neuquén basin. Argentina. *Marine Petroleoum Ge*ology 20(5): 42.
- Connelly, B.E., M.S. Cardozo, J.D. Montgomery & G.W. Rougier. 2024. New mammals from the Upper Cretaceous Allen Formation (Patagonia, Argentina) and reassessment of meridiolestidan diversity. *Cretaceous Research* 162: 105935.
- Cope, E.D. 1865. Third contribution to the herpetology of tropical America. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 17(4): 185–198.
- Cope, E.D. 1871. Observations on the systematic relations of the fishes. *The American Naturalist* 5(8/9): 579-593.
- Cope, E.D. 1872. Observations on the systematic relations of the fishes. Proceedings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science 20: 317–343.
- Cope, E.D. 1887. Zittel's Manual of Palaeontology. American Naturalist 21: 1014–1019.
- Coria, R.A. & L. Salgado. 1996. A basal iguanodontian (Ornithischia: Ornithopoda) from the Late Cretaceous of South America. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 16(3): 445–457.
- Coria, R.A. & L. Salgado. 2005. Mid-Cretaceous turnover of saurischian dinosaur communities: Evidence from the Neuquén Basin. *Geological Society*, *London, Special Publications* 252(1): 317–327.
- Coria, R.A., Chiappe, L.M. & L. Dingus. 2002a. A new close relative of *Carnotaurus sastrei* Bonaparte

1985 (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 22(2): 460–465.

- Coria, R.A., P.J. Currie, D. Eberth & A. Garrido. 2002b. Bird footprints from the Anacleto Formation (Late Cretaceous) in Neuquén Province, Argentina. *Ameghiniana* 39(4): 453–463.
- Coria, R.A., L.S. Filippi, L. Chiappe, R.A. García & A.B. Arcucci. 2013. Overosaurus paradasorum gen. et sp. nov., a new sauropod dinosaur (Titanosauria: Lithostrotia) from the Late Cretaceous of Neuquén, Patagonia, Argentina. Zootaxa 3683(4): 357–376.
- Cox, L.R. 1960. Thoughts on the classification of the Gastropoda. Proceedings of the Malacological Society of London 33(6): 239–261.
- Csiki-Sava, Z., E. Buffetaut, A. Ősi, X. Pereda-Suberbiola & S.L. Brusatte. 2015. Island life in the Cretaceous -faunal composition, biogeography, evolution, and extinction of land-living vertebrates on the Late Cretaceous European archipelago. ZooKeys 469: 1–161.
- Cullen, T.M., F. Fanti, C. Capobianco, M.J. Ryan & D.C. Evans. 2016. A vertebrate microsite from a Maríne-terrestrial transition in the Foremost Formation (Campanian) of Alberta, Canada, and the use of faunal assemblage data as a paleoenvironmental indicator. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 444: 101–114.
- Cummings, K.S. & D.L. Graf. 2015. Class Bivalvia (Chapter 19). In: J. Thorp & D.C. Rogers (eds.), Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates: Ecology and General Biology, Fourth Edition, pp. 423–506, Elsevier.
- Cuvier, G. 1797. Tableau élémentaire de l'histoire naturelle des animaux. Paris, 710 pp.
- Cuvier, G. 1812. Recherches sur les Ossemens Fossiles des Quadrupèdes. Paris, 472 pp.
- Cuvier, G. 1825. *Recherches sur les ossemens fossiles*. Dufour-D'Ocagne, 547 pp.
- d'Orbigny, A. 1835. Voyage dans l'Amérique méridionale... exécuté pendant les années 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831, 1832 et 1833. Paris, 1550 pp.
- Da Silva Marínho, T., L.C.B. Ribeiro & I. de Souza Carvalho. 2006. Morfologia de osteodermos de crocodilomorfos do sítio Paleontológico de Peirópolis (Bacia Bauru, Cretáceo superior). Anuário do Instituto de Geociências 29(2): 44–53.
- De Andrade, M.B., R. Edmonds, M.J. Benton & R. Schouten. 2011. A new Berriasian species of Goniopholis (Mesoeucrocodylia, Neosuchia) from England, and a review of the genus. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 163(suppl 1): 66–108.
- de Broin, F. 1987. The Late Cretaceous fauna of Los Alamitos, Patagonia, Argentina. Part IV-Chelonia. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia", Paleontología, 3(3): 131–139.
- de Broin, F.L. & X. Murelaga. 1996. Une nouvelle faune de chéloniens dans le Crétacé supérieur européen. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences 323(8): 729–735.

- de Broin, F.L., B. Cahuzac & P. Dubedat. 1999. Découverte d'une Tortue *Ptychogaster* dans les molasses miocènes de Montgaillard (Landes, Sud Aquitaine). Bulletin de la Société d'histoire naturelle de Toulouse 135: 119–123.
- de la Fuente, M.S., R. Barbieri & P. Chafrat. 2010. Una tortuga Chelidae (Testudines: Pleurodira) de cuello largo en el Grupo Neuquén, Río Negro, Argentina: Significado cronológico y paleobiogeográfico. Andean Geology 37(2): 398–412.
- de la Fuente, M.S., I.J. Maniel, J.M. Jannello, L.S. Filippi & I. Cerda. 2015. Long-necked chelid turtles from the Campanian of northwestern Patagonia with comments on K/P survivorship of the genus Yaminuechelys. Comptes Rendus Palevol 14(6–7): 563–576.
- De Valais, S., I. Díaz-Martínez, P. Citton & C. Cónsole-Gonella. 2020. Vertebrate tracks of the Río Negro Province, Patagonia, Argentina: stratigraphy, palaeobiology and environmental contexts. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* 77(3): 402–426.
- Delaloye, A.P. & A.C. Garrido. 2017. Unidad de procedencia de Abelisaurus comahuensis Bonaparte y Novas (Dinosauria, Theropoda, Abelisauridae) y su paleoambiente, Lago Pellegrini, provincia de Río Negro, Argentina. Ameghiniana 54(6R): R3.
- DeMar, D.G. & B.H. Breithaupt. 2006. The nonmammalian vertebrate microfossil assemblages of the Mesaverde Formation (Upper Cretaceous, Campanian) of the Wind River and Bighorn basins, Wyoming. Bulletin of the New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science 35: 33-53.
- Di Giulio, A., A. Ronchi, A. Sanfilippo, M. Tiepolo, M. Pimentel & V.A. Ramos. 2012. Detrital zircon provenance from the Neuquén Basin (south-central Andes): Cretaceous geodynamic evolution and sedimentary response in a retroarc-foreland basin. *Geology* 40(6): 559–562.
- Díaz-Martínez, I., C., Cónsole-Gonella, S. de Valais & L. Salgado. 2018. Vertebrate tracks from the Paso Córdoba fossiliferous site (Anacleto and Allen formations, Upper Cretaceous), Northern Patagonia, Argentina: Preservational, environmental and palaeobiological implications. *Cretaceous Research* 83: 207–220.
- Díaz-Martínez, I., A.M. Heredia, S.N. González, N. Canale, S. de Valais, C.A. Cónsole-Gonella, R.M. Montes, M. Caratelli, S. Urzagasti-Torres, G. Fischer, A. Lecuona, P. Paniceres, L. Salgado & P. Citton. 2022. Pterosaur Tracks from the Upper Cretaceous Anacleto Formation (Neuquén Basin), Northern Patagonia, Argentina: Insights into Campanian Pterosaur Diversity in Gondwana. *Diversity* 14(11): 1007.
- Dillon, R.T. 2004. *The Ecology of Freshwater Molluscs*. Cambridge, University Press, 509 pp.
- Dingus, L., J. Clarke, G.R. Scott, C.C. Swisher, C. C., L.M. Chiappe & R.A. Coria. 2000. Stratigraphy and magnetostratigraphic/faunal constraints for the age of sauropod embryo-bearing rocks in the Neuquén Group (Late Cretaceous, Neuquén Province, Argentina). American Museum Novitates

3290: 1-11.

- Dodson, P. 1987. Dinosaur Systematics Symposium. Dinosaur systematics symposium, Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta, June 2-5, 1986. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 7(1): 106–108.
- Doello-Jurado, M. 1927. Noticia preliminar sobre los moluscos fósiles de agua dulce mencionados en el estudio de R. Wichmann "Sobre la facies lacustre Senoniana de los Estratos con Dinosaurios y su fauna". Boletín de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias en Córdoba 30: 407–416.
- Ezcurra, M.D. 2016. The phylogenetic relationships of basal archosauromorphs, with an emphasis on the systematics of proterosuchian archosauriforms. *PeerJ* 4: e1778.
- Ezcurra, M.D., F.L. Agnolín & F.E. Novas. 2010. An abelisauroid dinosaur with a non-atrophied manus from the Late Cretaceous Pari Aike Formation of southern Patagonia. *Zootaxa* 2450: 1–25.
- Fanti, F. & T. Miyashita. 2009. A high latitude vertebrate fossil assemblage from the Late Cretaceous of west-central Alberta, Canada: evidence for dinosaur nesting and vertebrate latitudinal gradient. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 275(1–4): 37–53.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39(4): 783–791.
- Fennell, L.M., M. Naipauer & A. Folguera Telichevsky. 2017. El movimiento Intersenoniano de Pablo Groeber en el norte de Neuquén y Sur de Mendoza: bases de la primera orogenía andina. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina* 74(1): 59–73.
- Fernández, D. & M.R. Morris. 1984. Contribución al conocimiento de la malacofauna del Parque Nacional "El Rey", provincia de Salta, República Argentina. II. Sobre la presencia de Cyrtotoma inca (d'Orbigny, 1835) (Gastropoda, Cyclophoridae). Revista del Museo de La Plata, Nueva Serie, Sección Zoología, 13: 223–230.
- Filippi, L.S. 2015. Los dinosaurios Sauropoda del Cretácico Superior del norte de la Cuenca Neuquina, Patagonia Argentina. Boletín del Instituto de Fisiografía y Geología 85: 19–28.
- Filippi, L.S. & A.C. Garrido. 2008. Pitekunsaurus macayai gen. et sp. nov., nuevo titanosaurio (Saurischia, Sauropoda) del Cretácico Superior de la Cuenca Neuquina, Argentina. Ameghiniana 45(3): 575–590.
- Filippi, L.S., R.A. García & A.C. Garrido. 2011. A new titanosaur sauropod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of North Patagonia, Argentina. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 56(3): 505–520.
- Filippi, L.S., F. Bellardini, A. Paulina-Carabajal, P. Cruzado-Caballero, J. González-Dionis, A.H. Méndez, F. Gianechini, K. Ulloa-Guaiquin, A. Garrido, I. Maniel, Y-N. Lee & K. Do-Kwon. 2023. Articulated osteoderms on a titanosaur tail from Cerro Overo– La Invernada (Bajo de la Carpa Formation), Upper Cretaceous, Northern Patagonia Argentina: Paleobiological and paleoecological implications. Publi-

cación Electrónica de la Asociación Paleontológica Argentina 24(R3): R67-R68.

- Fiorillo, A.R. 1989. An experimental study of trampling: implications for the fossil record. In: R. Bonnischen & M.H. Sorg (eds.), Bone Modification, pp. 61–71, Center for the Study of the First Americans, University of Maine, Orono.
- Fiorillo, A.R. 1991. Prey bone utilization by predatory dinosaurs. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 88(3–4): 157–166.
- Fischer, P. & H. Crosse. 1877. Études sur les mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles du Mexique et du Guatemala. Mission scientifique au Mexique et dans l'Amerique Centrale. *Recherches Zoologiques* 7(1): 1–702.
- Forasiepi, A.M., R.A. Coria, J. Hurum & P.J. Currie. 2012. First Dryolestoid (Mammalia, Dryolestoidea, Meridiolestida) from the Coniacian of Patagonia and new evidence on their early radiation in South America. Ameghiniana 49(4): 497–504.
- Gallina, P.A., J.I. Canale & J.L. Carballido. 2021. The earliest known titanosaur sauropod dinosaur. *Ameghiniana* 58(1): 35–51.
- Gallina, P.A. & S. Apesteguía. 2015. Postcranial anatomy of *Bonitasaura salgadoi* (Sauropoda, Titanosauria) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 35(3): e924957.
- Garcia, R.A. & L. Salgado. 2012. The titanosaur sauropods from the Late Campanian-Early Maastrichtian Allen Formation of salitral Moreno, Río Negro, Argentina. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 58(2): 269–284.
- Garcia, G., N. Bardet, A. Houssaye, X. Pereda-Suberbiola & X. Valentin. 2015. Mosasauroid (Squamata) discovery in the Late Cretaceous (Early Campanian) continental deposits of Villeveyrac-L'Olivet, southern France. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 14(6–7): 495–505.
- Gasparini, Z. 1981. Los Crocodylia fósiles de la Argentina. Ameghiniana 18(3–4): 177–205.
- Gasparini, Z. 1984. New Tertiary Sebecosuchia (Crocodylia: Mesosuchia) from Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 4(1): 85–95.
- Gasparini, Z.N. & M. de la Fuente. 2000. Tortugas y plesiosaurios de la Formación La Colonia (Cretácico Superior) de Patagonia, Argentina. Spanish Journal of Palaeontology 15(1): 23–35.
- Gasparini, Z., J. Sterli, A. Parras, J.P. O'Gorman, L. Salgado, J. Varela & D. Pol. 2015. Late Cretaceous reptilian biota of the La Colonia Formation, central Patagonia, Argentina: Occurrences, preservation and paleoenvironments. *Cretaceous Research* 54: 154–168.
- Gates, T.A., S.D. Sampson, L.E. Zanno, E.M. Roberts, J.G. Eaton, R.L. Nydam, J.H. Hutchison, J.A. Smith, M.A. Loewen & M.A. Getty. 2010. Biogeography of terrestrial and freshwater vertebrates from the Late Cretaceous (Campanian) Western Interior of North America. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 291(3–4): 371–387.
- Gayet, M. & F.J. Meunier. 1986. Apport de l'étude de l'ornementation microscopique de la ganoïne dans

la détermination de l'appartenance générique et/ou spécifique des écailles isolées. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences* 303(13): 1259–1262.

- Gayet, M. & P.M. Brito. 1989. Ichtyofaune nouvelle du Crétacé supérieur du groupe Bauru (états de Sao Paulo et Minas Gerais, Brésil). *Geobios* 22(6): 841–847.
- Gayet, M. & F.J. Meunier. 2001. A propos du genre Paralepidosteus (Ginglymodi, lepisosteidae) du Crétacé gondwanien. Cybium 25(2): 153–159.
- Gayet, M., L.G. Marshall, T. Sempere, F.J. Meunier, H. Cappetta & J.C. Rage. 2001. Middle Maastrichtian vertebrates (fishes, amphibians, dinosaurs and other reptiles, mammals) from Pajcha Pata (Bolivia). Biostratigraphic, palaeoecologic and palaeobiogeographic implications. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 169(1–2): 39–68.
- Gayet, M., F.J. Meunier & C. Werner. 2002. Diversification in Polypteriformes and special comparison with the Lepisosteiformes. *Palaeontology* 45(2): 361–376.
- Georges, A., J. Birrell, K.M. Staint, W. McCord & S.C. Donnellan. 1998. A phylogeny for side-necked turtles (Chelonia: Pleurodira) based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequence variation. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 67(2): 213–246.
- Gianechini, F.A., S. Apesteguía, W. Landini, F. Finotti, R.J. Valieri & F: Zandonai, F. 2015. New abelisaurid remains from the Anacleto Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Patagonia, Argentina. *Cretaceous Research* 54: 1–16.
- Gigli, A., F.M. Archuby & I. Díaz-Martínez. 2019. Significación paleoambiental de las acumulaciones de moluscos del Campaniano de Paso Córdoba, General Roca, Río Negro. Publicación Electrónica de la Asociación Paleontológica Argentina 20(1R): R38.
- Gill, T. 1872. Arrangement of the families of fishes, or classes Pisces, Marsipobranchii and Leptocardii. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 247: 1–49.
- Goin, F.J., A.A. Carlini & R. Pascual. 1986. Un probable marsupial del Cretácico Tardío del norte de Patagonia, Argentina. IV° Congreso Argentino de Paleontología y Bioestratigrafia (Mendoza) 2: 43–47
- Goloboff, P.J., J.S. Farris & K.C. Nixon. 2003. T.N.T: Tree Analysis Using New Technology. Program available at www.zmuc.dk/public/phylogeny/tnt
- Goloboff P.A. & Catalano S.A. 2016. TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic morphometrics. *Cladistics* 32: 221–238.
- Gómez, R., L. Lothari, M. Tunik & S. Casadio. 2019. Onset of foreland basin deposition in the Neuquén Basin (34°–35° S): New data from sedimentary petrology and U-Pb dating of detrital zircons from the Upper Cretaceous non-Maríne deposits. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 95: 102257.
- Gómez, R., M. Tunik, S. Casadio, N. Canale, G. Greco, M.A. Baiano, D. Pino, A. Baez & S. Pereira da Silva. 2022. Primeras edades U-Pb en circones detríticos del Grupo Neuquén en el extremo oriental de la Cuenca Neuquina (Paso Córdoba, Río Negro). Latin American Journal of Sedimentology and Basin Analysis 29(2): 67–81.

- González Riga, B.J. 1999. Hallazgo de vertebrados fósiles en la Formación Loncoche, Cretácico Superior de la provincia de Mendoza, Argentina. Ameghiniana 36(4): 401–410.
- González Riga, B.J., E. Previtera & C.A. Pirrone. 2009. Malarguesaurus florenciae gen. et sp. nov., a new titanosauriform (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous of Mendoza, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 30: 135–148
- Grande, L. 2010. An empirical synthetic pattern study of gars (Lepisosteiformes) and closely related species, based mostly on skeletal anatomy. The resurrection of Holostei. *Ichthyology and Herpetology* 10(2): 1–546.
- Gray, J.E. 1847. A list of the genera of recent Mollusca, their synonyma and types. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 15: 129–219.
- Haller, B. 1890. Die Morphologie der Prosobranchier. II. Cypraea testudinaria L. Gegenbaurs Morphologisches Jahrbuch 16: 259–299.
- Harper, T., A. Parras & G.W. Rougier. 2018. Reigitherium (Meridiolestida, Mesungulatoidea), an enigmatic Late Cretaceous mammal from Patagonia, Argentina: morphology, affinities, and dental evolution. Journal of Mammalian Evolution 26: 447–478.
- Hay, O.P. 1929. Second bibliography and catalogue of the fossil Vertebrata of North America. *Carne*gie Institution of Washington Publication 390(1): 1–916.
- Hechenleitner, E.M., L. Leuzinger, A.G. Martinelli, S. Rocher, L.E. Fiorelli, J.R. Taborda & L. Salgado. 2020. Two Late Cretaceous sauropods reveal titanosaurian dispersal across South America. *Communications Biology* 3(1): 622.
- Hermanson, G., F.A.M. Arnal, T. Szczygielski & S.W. Evers. 2024. A systematic comparative description of extant turtle humeri, with comments on humerus disparity and evolution based on fossil comparisons. *The Anatomical Record* 307(11): 3437–3505. https://doi: 10.1002/ar.25450
- Howell, J.A., E. Schwarz, L.A. Spalletti & G.D. Veiga. 2005. The Neuquén basin: an overview. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 252(1): 1–14.
- Huehner, M. 1987. Field and laboratory determination of substrate preferences of unionid mussels. *Ohio Journal of Science* 87(1): 29–32.
- Hugo, C.A. & H.A. Leanza. 2001. Hoja Geologica 3669-IV, General Roca. Provincia del Neuquén. Instituto de Geología y Recursos Minerales, Servicio Geológico Minero Argentino 308: 1–70.
- Hutchinson, J.H. & J.D. Archibald. 1986. Diversity of turtles across the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary in northeastern Montana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 55(1): 1–22.
- Joyce, W.G., J.F. Parham, J. Anquetin, J. Claude, I.G. Danilov, J. Iverson, B. Kear, T. R. Lyson, M. Rabi & J. Sterli. 2020a. Testudinata I. T. Klein, 1760. In: K. de Queiroz, P.D. Cantino & J.A. Gauthier (eds.), *Phylonyms - A Companion to the PhyloCode*, pp. 1045–1048, CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Joyce, W.G., J.F. Parham, J. Anquetin, J. Claude, I.G.

Danilov, J.B. Iverson, B. Kear, T.R. Lyson, M. Rabi & J. Sterli. 2020b. Pleurodira E. D. Cope 1865. In: K. de Queiroz, P.D. Cantino & J.A. Gauthier (eds.), *Phylonyms - A Companion to the PhyloCode*, pp. 1055–1057, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

- Joyce, W.G., J. Anquetin, E.A. Cadena, J. Claude, I.G. Danilov, S.W. Evers, G.S. Ferreira, A.D. Gentry, G.L. Georgalis, T.R. Lyson, A. Pérez-García, M. Rabi, J. Sterli, N. Vitek & J.F. Parham. 2021. A nomenclature for fossil and living turtles using phylogenetically defined clade names. Swiss Journal of Palaeontology 140(5): 1–45.
- Kaup, J.J. 1834. Versuch einer Eintheilung der Saugethiere in 6 Stämme und der Amphibien in 6 Ordnungen. Isis 3: 311–315.
- Kellner, A.W.A. 2003. Pterosaur phylogeny and comments on the evolutionary history of the group. *Geological Society of London Special Publication* 217: 105–137.
- Kellner, A.W.A., T. Rodrigues, F.R. Costa, L.C. Weinschütz, R.G. Figueiredo, G.A. Souza, A.S. Brum, L.H.S. Eleutério, C.W. Mueller & J.M. Sayao. 2019. Pterodactyloid pterosaur bones from Cretaceous deposits of the Antarctic Peninsula. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 91(2): e20191300.
- Klein, I.T. 1760. Klassification und kurze Geschichte der vierfußigen Thiere. Jonas Schmidt, Lubeck, 381 pp.
- Kuhn-Schnyder, E. & H. Rieber. 1986. Handbook of Paleozoology. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 394 pp.
- Lacovara, K.J., M.C. Lamanna, L.M. Ibiricu, J.C. Poole, E.R. Schroeter, P.V. Ullmann, K.K. Voegele, Z.M. Boles, A.M. Carter, E.K. Fowler, V.M. Egerton, A.E. Moyer, C.L. Coughenour, J.P. Schein, J.D. Harris, R.D. Martinez & F.E. Novas. 2014. A gigantic, exceptionally complete titanosaurian sauropod dinosaur from southern Patagonia, Argentina. Scientific Reports 4(1): 6196.
- Leach, W.E. 1814. Zoological miscellany: being descriptions of new, or interesting animals. Nodder, London, 144 pp.
- Leanza, H.A., S. Apesteguia, F.E. Novas & M.S. de la Fuente. 2004. Cretaceous terrestrial beds from the Neuquén Basin (Argentina) and their tetrapod assemblages. *Cretaceous Research* 25(1): 61–87.
- Lehman, T.M. 1987. Late Maastrichtian paleoenvironments and dinosaur biogeography in the western interior of North America. *Palaeogeography, Palae*oclimatology, *Palaeoecology* 60: 189–217.
- Lehman, T.M. 1997. Late Campanian dinosaur biogeography in the western interior of North America. *Dinofest International Proceedings* 1997: 223–240.
- Liem, K.F. 1973. Evolutionary strategies and morphological innovations: cichlid pharyngeal jaws. Systematic Zoology 22(4): 425–441.
- Lindholm, W.A. 1929. Revidiertes Verzeichnis der Gattungen der rezenten Schildkröten nebst Notizen zur Nomenklatur einiger Arten. Zoologischer Anzeiger 81(2): 275–295.
- Linnaeus, C. 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species,

cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata. Holmiae, L. Salvii, Stockholm, 824 pp.

- Lio, G., F.L. Agnolín, N.R. Chimento & P. Chafrat. 2019. The youngest crocodyliform record from Patagonia (Chichinales Formation, Early Miocene). *Brazilian Geographical Journal: Geosciences and Humanities research medium* 8(2): 136–143
- Lydekker, R. 1893. Contributions to a knowledge of the fossil vertebrates of Argentina. I. The Dinosaurs of Patagonia. Anales del Museo de La Plata, Paleontología Argentina 2: 1–14.
- Manceñido, M.O. & S.E. Damborenea. 1984. Megafauna de invertebrados paleozoicos y mesozoicos. Noveno Congreso Geológico Argentino, Relatorio 2(5): 413–465.
- Maniel, I.J. & M.S. de la Fuente. 2016. A review of the fossil record of turtles of the clade Pan-Chelidae. Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History 57(2): 191–227.
- Maniel, I.J. & M.S. de la Fuente. 2017. Diversidad de tortugas pleurodiras de las Formaciones Bajo de la Carpa y Anacleto en el área de "La Invernada", Provincia de Neuquén. Ameghiniana 54(6R): R8–9.
- Maniel, I.J., M.S. de la Fuente & L.F. Filippi. 2023. A new chelid turtle, *Prochelidella palomoi* sp. nov., from campanian Anacleto Formation, Neuquén Basin, North-Western Patagonia, Argentina. *The Anatomical Record* 306(6): 1365–1376.
- Marsh, O.C. 1878. Principal characters of American Jurassic dinosaurs. American Journal of Science 3(95): 411–416.
- Marsh, O.C. 1881. Principal characters of American Jurassic dinosaurs, part V. American Journal of Science 3(125): 417–423.
- Martinelli, A.G. & A. Forasiepi. 2004. Late Cretaceous vertebrates from Bajo de Santa Rosa (Allen Formation), Río Negro province, Argentina, with the description of a new sauropod dinosaur (Titanosauridae). Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales Nueva Serie 6(2): 257–305.
- Martinelli, A.G. & V.P.A. Teixeira. 2015. The Late Cretaceous vertebrate record from the Bauru Group at the Triângulo Mineiro, southeastern Brazil. *Boletín Geologico y Minero de España* 126(1): 129–158.
- Martinelli, A.G., J.J. Sertich, A.C. Garrido & A.M. Praderio. 2012. A new peirosaurid from the Upper Cretaceous of Argentina: Implications for specimens referred to *Peirosaurus torminni* Price (Crocodyliformes: Peirosauridae). *Cretaceous Research* 37: 191–200.
- Martinelli, A., S. Soto-Acuña, F.J. Goin, J. Kaluza, J.E. Bostelmann, P.H.M. Fonseca, M.A. Reguero, M. Leppe & A.O. Vargas. 2021. New cladotherian mammal from southern Chile and the evolution of mesungulatid meridiolestidans at the dusk of the Mesozoic era. *Scientific Reports* 11: 7594.
- Martínez, S. & A. Figueiras. 1991. Two new Mesozoic species of *Diplodon* (Bivalvia: Hyriidae) from Uruguay. *Walkerana* 5(14): 217–223.
- Martinez, S. & A. Rojas. 2004. Quaternary continental mollusks from Northern Uruguay: distribution

and paleoecology. *Quaternary International* 114(1): 123–128

- Martínez, S., R.A. Scasso, A. Elgorriaga, I. Calpelli, R. del Valle, P. Puerta, J.M. Lirio & C. Rodríguez Almenábar. 2020. The (truly) first fossil freshwater molluscs from Antarctica. *Palaontologische Zeitschrift* 94: 513–518.
- Méndez, A.H. 2012. The caudal vertebral series in abelisaurid dinosaurs. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 59(1): 99–107.
- Miall, A.D. 1996. The Geology of Fluvial Deposits: Sedimentary Facies, Basin Analysis, and Petroleum Geology. Springer International Publishing, 582 pp.
- Miall, A.D. 2014. Fluvial depositional systems. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 316 pp.
- Miquel, S.E. 2024. New species and records of freshwater and land gastropods from the Cretaceous of Argentina. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 310(2): 119–131.
- Mitchell, J.S., P.D. Roopnarine & K.D. Angielczyk. 2012. Late Cretaceous restructuring of terrestrial communities facilitated the end-Cretaceous mass extinction in North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109(46): 18857– 18861.
- Moyano-Paz, D., S. Rozadilla, F. Agnolín, E. Vera, M.D. Coronel, A.N. Varela, A.R. Gómez-Dacal, A.M. Aranciaga-Rolando, J.S. D'Angelo, V. Pérez-Loinaze, S. Richiano, N.R. Chimento, M.J. Motta, J. Sterli, M. Manabe, T. Tsuihiji, M.P. Isasi, D.G. Poiré & F.E. Novas. 2022. The uppermost Cretaceous continental deposits at the southern end of Patagonia, the Chorrillo Formation case study (Austral-Magallanes Basin): Sedimentology, fossil content and regional implications. Cretaceous Research 130: 105059.
- Müller, J. 1844. Über den Bau und die Grenzen der Ganoiden und über das natürliche System der Fische: Bericht über die zur Bekanntmachung geeigneten. Verhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften 1846: 117–216.
- Müller J. 1845. Ueber den Bau und die Grenzen der Ganoiden und über das natürliche System der Fische. Abhanglungen Akademischen Wissenschaftlichen zu Berlin 1845: 117–216.
- Nessov, L.A. 1984. Data on late Mesozoic turtles from the USSR. Studia Geologica Salmanticensia. *Studia Palaeocheloniologica* 1: 215–223.
- Nessov, L.A. 1995. On some Mesozoic turtles of the Fergana depression (Kyrgyzstan) and Dzhungar Alatau ridge (Kazakhstan). *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 2(2): 134–141.
- Nessov, L.A. 1997. Cretaceous Nonmaríne Vertebrates of Northern Eurasia. St. Petersburg, Russia: St. Petersburg State University, Institute of Earth's Crust. 218 pp. [in Russian].
- Novas, F.E. 2009. *The age of dinosaurs in South America*. Indiana University Press, 452 pp.
- Novas, F.E., E. Bellosi & A. Ambrosio. 2002. Los "Estratos con Dinosaurios" del Lago Viedma y Río La Leona (Cretácico, Santa Cruz): sedimentología y contenido fosilífero. Actas del XV Congreso Geoló-

gico Argentino 1: 596-602.

- Novas, F.E., F.L. Agnolín & S. Bandyopadhyay. 2004a. Cretaceous theropods from India: a review of specimens described by Huene and Matley (1933). *Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales* 6(1): 67–103.
- Novas, F.E., A.V. Cambiaso & A. Ambrosio. 2004b. A new basal iguanodontian (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) from the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Ameghiniana* 41(1): 75–82.
- Novas, F.E., L. Salgado, J. Calvo & F.L. Agnolín. 2005. Giant titanosaur (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Revista del Museo* Argentino de Ciencias Naturales 7(1): 31–36.
- Novas, F.E., M.D. Ezcurra & A. Lecuona. 2008. Orkoraptor burkei nov. gen. et sp., a large theropod from the Maastrichtian Pari Aike Formation, Southern Patagonia, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 29(3): 468–480.
- Novas, F.E., F.L. Agnolín, M.D. Ezcurra, J. Porfiri & J.I. Canale. 2013. Evolution of the carnivorous dinosaurs during the Cretaceous: the evidence from Patagonia. *Cretaceous Research* 45: 174–215.
- Novas, F.E., F.L. Agnolín, S. Rozadilla, A.M. Aranciaga-Rolando, F. Brissón-Egli, M.J. Motta, M. Cerroni, M.D. Ezcurra, A.G. Martinelli, J.S. D'Angelo, G.P. Alvarez-Herrera, A.R. Gentil, S. Bogan, N.R. Chimento, J.A. García-Marsà, G. Lo Coco, S.E. Miquel, F.F. Brito, E.I. Vera, V.S. Perez-Loinaze, M.S. Fernández & L. Salgado. 2019. Paleontological discoveries in the Chorrillo Formation (upper Campanian-lower Maastrichtian, Upper Cretaceous), Santa Cruz Province, Patagonia, Argentina. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, nueva serie, 21(2): 217–293.
- O'Connor, P.M. 2007. The postcranial axial skeleton of Majungasaurus crenatissimus (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 27(2): 127–163.
- Oriozabala, C., J. Sterli & M.S. de la Fuente. 2020. New species of the long-necked chelid Yaminuechelys from the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian-Maastrichtian) of Chubut, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 106: 104197.
- Ortega, F., Z. Gasparini, A.D. Buscalioni & J.O. Calvo. 2000. A new species of *Araripesuchus* (Crocodylomorpha, Mesoeucrocodylia) from the lower Cretaceous of Patagonia (Argentina). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 20(1): 57–76.
- Ortega, F., N. Bardet, F. Barroso-Barcenilla, P. Callapez,
  O. Cambra-Moo, V. Daviero- Gómez, V. Díez Díaz,
  L. Domingo, A. Elvira, F. Escaso, M. García-Oliva,
  B. Gómez, A. Houssaye, F. Knoll, F. Marcos-Fernández, M. Martín, P. Mocho, I. Narváez, A. Pérez-García, D. Peyrot, M. Segura, H. Serrano, A. Torices,
  D. Vidal & J.L. Sanz. 2015. The biota of the Upper Cretaceous site of "Lo Hueco" (Cuenca, Spain). Journal of Iberian Geology 41(1): 83–99.
- Owen, R. 1842. Report on British Fossil Reptiles. Part 2. Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science 11: 60-204.
- Páez Arango, N. 2008. Dental and craniomandibular

anatomy of Peligrotherium tropicalis: the evolutionary radiation of South American dryolestoid mammals. PhD thesis, University of Louisville, Louisville, 107 pp.

- Panzeri, K.M. 2023. Los dipnoos (Sarcopterygii, Osteichthyes) del Mesozoico de Argentina. Tesis Doctoral, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, 548 pp.
- Panzeri, K.M. 2024. Revisiting the Cretaceous Lungfish Atlantoceratodus iheringi (Ameghino 1898) from the Mata Amarilla Formation (Argentina) with comments on tooth plates histology. Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 130(2): 191–209.
- Panzeri, K.M. & N.A. Muñoz. 2022. Metaceratodus baibianorum from the La Colonia Formation: tooth plate anomalies and the possible presence of tertiary dentine. Alcheringa 46(2): 198–207.
- Panzeri, K.M., S. Gouiric Cavalli, A.L. Cione & L. Filippi. 2022. Description of the first Cretaceous (Santonian) articulated skeletal lungfish remains from South America, Argentina. *Comptes Rendus Pale*vol 21(37): 815–835.
- Panzeri, K.M., S. Gouiric-Cavalli, N.A. Muñoz & A.L. Cione. 2020. *Metaceratodus baibianorum*, a new dipnoan species from the Upper Cretaceous of southern South America supported by traditional and geometric morphometric analyses. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 40(2): e1769640.
- Parras, A.M. & M. Griffin. 2013. Late Cretaceous (Campanian/Maastrichtian) freshwater to restricted Maríne mollusc fauna from the Loncoche Formation, Neuquén Basin, west-central Argentina. *Cretaceous Research* 40: 190–206.
- Paulina-Carabajal, A., F.T. Barrios, A.H. Méndez, I.A. Cerda & Y.N. Lee. 2021. A Late Cretaceous dinosaur and crocodyliform faunal association-based on isolated teeth and osteoderms-at Cerro Fortaleza Formation (Campanian–Maastrichtian) type locality, Santa Cruz, Argentina. *PlosOne* 16(9): e0256233.
- Paz, M.M., A.D. Báez, D.A. Pino, Y.S. Yunes, L.M. Garat, J.J. Ponce & M.A. Tunik. 2014. Análisis sedimentológico de depósitos lacustres y eólicos del cretácico tardío en la localidad Paso Córdoba, Cuenca Neuquina. Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina 71(4): 459–471.
- Pereda-Suberbiola, X., J.C. Corral, H. Astibia, A. Badiola, N. Bardet, A. Berreteaga, E. Buffetaut, A.D. Buscalioni, H. Cappetta, L. Cavin, V. Díez Díaz, E. Gheerbrant, X. Murelaga, F. Ortega, A. Pérez-García, F. Poyato-Ariza, J.C. Rage, J. Sanz & A. Torices. 2015. Late Cretaceous continental and Maríne vertebrate assemblages from the Laño quarry (Basque-Cantabrian Region, Iberian Peninsula): An update. Journal of Iberian Geology 41(1): 101–124.
- Pérez-García, A. 2009. Historia Evolutiva de las Tortugas del Mesozoico de la Península Ibérica. In: H. Dopazo & A. Navarro (eds.), Evolución y Adaptación: 150 años después del Origen de las Especies, pp. 429–436, Sociedad Española de Biología Evolu-

tiva, Obra propia Editorial, Valencia, Spain.

- Pérez-García, A. 2012. Las tortugas mesozoicas de la Península Ibérica. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, España, 415 pp.
- Pérez-Moreno, A. 2023. Estudio anatómico y filogenético de Titanosauria (Dinosauria, Sauropoda): los clados Rincosauria, Lognkosauria y Aeolosaurini como casos de estudio. Tesis Doctoral, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, 371 pp.
- Pérez-Moreno, A., J.L. Carballido, A. Otero, L. Salgado & J.O. Calvo. 2022. The axial skeleton of *Rin*consaurus caudamirus (Sauropoda: Titanosauria) from the late Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. *Ameghiniana* 59(1): 1–46.
- Pérez-Moreno, A., A. Otero, J.L. Carballido, L. Salgado & J.O. Calvo. 2023. The appendicular skeleton of *Rinconsaurus caudamirus* (Sauropoda: Titanosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. *Cretaceous Research* 142: 105389.
- Pérez-Moreno, A., L. Salgado, J.L. Carballido, A. Otero & D. Pol. 2024. A new titanosaur from the La Colonia Formation (Campanian-Maastrichtian), Chubut Province, Argentina. *Historical Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2024.2332997
- Pilsbry, H.A. 1897. Descriptions of new South American bulimuli. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 49: 18–22.
- Pol, D. & Z. Gasparini. 2007. Crocodyliformes. In: Z. Gasparini, L. Salgado & R. Coria (eds.), *Patagonian Mesozoic Reptiles*, pp. 116–142, Indiana University Press.
- Pol, D., O.W. Rauhut, A. Lecuona, J.M. Leardi, X. Xu & J.M. Clark. 2013. A new fossil from the Jurassic of Patagonia reveals the early basicranial evolution and the origins of Crocodyliformes. *Biological Re*views 88(4): 862–872.
- Pol, D., P.M. Nascimento, A.B. Carvalho, C. Riccomini, R. Pires-Domingues & H. Zaher. 2014. A new notosuchian from the Late Cretaceous of Brazil and the phylogeny of advanced notosuchians. *PLoS One* 9(4): e93105.
- Ponce, J.J., N.B. Carmona & A.O. Montagna. 2018. Atlas de estructuras sedimentarias inorgánicas y biogénicas: descripción, análisis e interpretación a partir de afloramientos, testigos corona y registro de imágenes de pozo. Fundación YPF-UNRN, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, 166 pp.
- Powell, J.E. 1986. Revisión de los Titanosáuridos de América del Sur. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Tucumán, 340 pp.
- Previtera, E. & B.J. González Riga. 2008. Vertebrados cretácicos de la Formación Loncoche en Calmu-Co, Mendoza, Argentina. Ameghiniana 45(2): 349–359.
- Puértolas-Pascual, E., J.I. Canudo & P. Cruzado-Caballero. 2011. A new crocodylian from the Late Maastrichtian of Spain: Implications for the initial radiation of crocodyloids. *PLoS ONE* 6(6): e20011.
- Puértolas-Pascual, E., J.I. Canudo & M. Moreno-Azanza. 2014. The eusuchian crocodylomorph *Allodapo*suchus subjuniperus sp. nov., a new species from the latest Cretaceous (upper Maastrichtian) of

Spain. Historical Biology 26(1): 91–109.

- Rabi, M. 2008. New discovery of the Late Cretaceous ziphodont crocodyliform, *Doratodon* from the Santonian Csehbánya Formation of Hungary. *Abstract* volume of the 6th Annual Meeting of the European Association of Vertebrate Paleontologists: 82.
- Rabi, M., M. Vremir & H. Tong. 2013. Preliminary overview of Late Cretaceous turtle diversity in Eastern Central Europe (Austria, Hungary, and Romania). In: D.B. Brinkman, P.A. Holroyd & J.D. Gardner (eds), *Morphology and Evolution of Turtles*, pp. 307–336, Springer Science, Dordrecht.
- Ramírez, R., C. Paredes & J. Arenas. 2003. Moluscos del Perú. Revista de Biología Tropical 51(3): 225–284.
- Rogers, R.R., J.R. Horner, J. Ramezani, E.M. Roberts & D.J. Varricchio. 2024. Updating the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian) Two Medicine Formation of Montana: Lithostratigraphic revisions, new CA-ID-TIMS U-Pb ages, and a calibrated framework for dinosaur occurrences. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 137(1-2): 315-340.
- Romer, A.S. 1955. Herpetichthyes, Amphibioidei, Choanichthyes or Sarcopterygii? Nature 176: 126.
- Rosen, D.E. & C. Patterson. 1969. The structure and relationships of the paracanthopterygian fishes. In: D.W. Greenfield (ed.), *Systematic Ichthyology: A Collection of Readings*, pp. 32–52, MSS Educational Publishing Company, INC, New York.
- Rougier, G.W., A.M. Forasiepi, R.V. Hill & M.J. Novacek. 2009. New mammalian remains from the Late Cretaceous La Colonia Formation, Patagonia, Argentina. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 54(2): 195–212.
- Rougier, G.W., S. Apesteguía & L.C. Gaetano. 2011. Highly specialized mammalian skulls from the Late Cretaceous of South America. *Nature* 479: 98–102.
- Rougier, G.W., J.R. Wible, R.M.D. Beck & S. Apesteguía. 2012. The Miocene mammal Necrolestes demonstrates the survival of a Mesozoic nontherian lineage into the late Cenozoic of South America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109(49): 20053–20058.
- Rougier, G.W., A.G. Martinelli & A.M. Forasiepi. 2021a. Mesozoic mammals from South America and their forerunners. Springer Nature, 388 pp. https://doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-63862-7
- Rougier, G.W., G.F. Turazzinni, M.S. Cardozo, T. Harper, A.I. Lires & L.A. Canessa. 2021b. New Specimens of *Reigitherium bunodontum* from the Late Cretaceous La Colonia Formation, Patagonia, Argentina and Meridiolestidan Diversity in South America. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 28: 1051–1081.
- Rowe, T., R.L. Cifelli, T.M. Lehman & A. Weil. 1992. The Campanian Terlingua Local fauna, with a summary of other vertebrates from the Aguja Formation, Trans-Pecos, Texas. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 12(4): 472–493.
- Rozhdestvensky, A.K. & L.I. Khozatsky. 1967. Late Mesozoic terrestrial vertebrates of the Asiatic part of the USSR. In: G.G. Martinson (ed.), Stratigraphy and Paleontology of Mesozoic and Paleogene-Neo-

gene Continental Deposits of Asiatic Part of the USSR, 82–92 pp., Leningrad, Nauka.

- Salgado, L. 1996. Pellegrinisaurus powelli nov. Gen. et sp.(Sauropoda, Titanosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of lago Pellegrini, northwestem Patagonia, Argentina. Ameghiniana 33(4): 355–365.
- Salgado, L. & R.A. Coria. 2009. Barrosasaurus casamiquelai gen. et sp. nov., a new titanosaur (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the Anacleto Formation (Late Cretaceous: early Campanian) of Sierra Barrosa (Neuquén, Argentina). Zootaxa 2222: 1–16.
- Salgado, L., A. Garrido, S.E. Cocca & J.R. Cocca. 2004. Lower Cretaceous rebbachisaurid sauropods from Cerro Aguada del León (Lohan Cura Formation), Neuquén Province, northwestern Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 24(4): 903–912.
- Salgado, L., S. Apesteguía & S.E. Heredia. 2005. A new specimen of *Neuquensaurus australis*, a Late Cretaceous saltasaurine titanosaur from north Patagonia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25(3): 623–634.
- Salvador, R.B., F. Cabrera, S. Martínez, S.E. Miquel, L.R. Simone & C.M. Cunha. 2018. Annotated catalogue of the fossil Hygrophila and Eupulmonata (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from South America (Cretaceous-Neogene). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 289(3): 249–280.
- Sankey, J.T. 2006. Turtles of the Upper Aguja Formation (late Campanian), Big Bend National Park, Texas. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 35: 235–243.
- Sankey, J.T. 2008. Vertebrate paleoecology from microsites, Talley Mountain, upper Aguja Formation (Late Cretaceous), Big Bend National Park, Texas, USA. In: J.T. Sankey & S. Baszio (eds.), The Unique Role of Vertebrate Microfossil Assemblages in Paleoecology and Paleobiogeography, pp. 61–77, Indiana University Press, Bloomington.
- Sankey, J.T. & S. Baszio. 2008. Vertebrate microfossil assemblages: their role in paleoecology and paleobiogeorgraphy. In: J.T. Sankey & S. Baszio (eds.), The Unique Role of Vertebrate Microfossil Assemblages in Paleoecology and Paleobiogeography, pp. 78–97, Indiana University Press, Bloomington.
- Santamarina, P.E., V.D. Barreda, A. Iglesias, A.N. Varela & C. Mays. 2022. Comparison of mid-Cretaceous (Cenomanian–Turonian) high-latitude palynofloras from Patagonia and New Zealand: richness, ecology, and provincialization. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 604: 111216.
- Scanferla, C.A. & J.I. Canale. 2007. The youngest record of the Cretaceous snake genus *Dinilysia* (Squamata, Serpentes). South American Journal of Herpetology 2(1): 76–81.
- Scarabino, F. 2003. Lista sistemática de los Gastropoda terrestres vivientes de Uruguay. Comunicaciones de la Sociedad Malacológica del Uruguay 8(79): 203–214.
- Schmidt, A. 1855. Der geschlechtsapparat der Stylommatophoren in taxonomischer hinsicht. Abhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins fur

Sachsen und Thuringen in Halle 1: 1-52.

- Sereno, P.C., R.N. Martinez, J.A. Wilson, D.J., Varricchio, O.A. Alcober & H.C. Larsson. 2008. Evidence for avian intrathoracic air sacs in a new predatory dinosaur from Argentina. *PLoS One* 3(9): e3303.
- Simone, L.R.L. 2006. Land and Freshwater Molluscs of Brazil. EGB Fapesp, São Paulo, 390 pp.
- Spix, J.B. 1827. Testacea Fluviatilia Brasiliam. Leipzig, 36 pp.
- Stanley, S.M. 1970. Relation of shell form to life habits in the Bivalvia. Geological Society of America Memoir 125: 1–296.
- Stoliczka, F. 1871. Notes on terrestrial Mollusca from the neighbourhood of Moulmein (Tenasserim Provinces), with descriptions of new species. *Jour*nal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 40(2): 217–223.
- Sullivan, R.M. & S.G. Lucas. 2003. The Kirtlandian, a new land-vertebrate "age" for the Late Cretaceous of Western North America. Pp. 369–377, in
- Lucas, S.G., S.C. Semken, W. Berglof & D. Ulmer-Scholle. *Geology of the Zuni Plateau*. New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 425 pP.
- Sullivan, R.M. & S.G. Lucas. 2006. The Kirtlandian land-vertebrate "age": faunal composition, temporal position and biostratigraphic correlation in the nonMaríne Upper Cretaceous of Western North America. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 35: 7–29.
- Swainson, W. & S.S. Berry. 1840. Treatise on Malacology; or the natural classification of shells and shellfish. Printed for Longman, Orme, Brown, Green & Longmans, London, 419 pp.
- Szabó, M., P. Gulyás & A. Ősi. 2016. Late Cretaceous (Santonian) Atractosteus (Actinopterygii, Lepisosteidae) remains from Hungary (Iharkút, Bakony Mountains). Cretaceous Research 60: 239– 252.
- Tomaselli, M.B., L.D.O. David, B.J.G. Riga, J.P. Coria, C.R. Mercado, M. Guerra & G.S. Tiviroli. 2022. New titanosaurian sauropod tracks with exceptionally well-preserved claw impressions from the Upper Cretaceous of Argentina. Cretaceous Re-

search 129: 104990.

- Troschel, F.H. 1847. Zwei neue Peruanische Schnecken. Zeitschrift für Malakozoologie 4: 49–52.
- Tryon, G.W. 1867. Monograph of the Terrestrial Mollusca of the United States (Continued). American Journal of Conchology 3(2): 155–181.
- Tunik, M., A. Folguera, M. Naipauer, M. Pimentel & V.A. Ramos. 2010. Early uplift and orogenic deformation in the Neuquén Basin: constraints on the Andean uplift from U-Pb and Hf isotopic data of detrital zircons. *Tectonophysics* 489(1–4): 258–273.
- Turner, A.H. & J.O. Calvo. 2005. A new sebecosuchian crocodyliform from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25(1): 87–98.
- Vidal, L.D.S., PV.L. Pereira, S. Tavares, S.L. Brusatte, L.P. Bergqvist ,& C.R.D.A. Candeiro. 2021. Investigating the enigmatic Aeolosaurini clade: the caudal biomechanics of *Aeolosaurus maximus* (Aeolosaurini/Sauropoda) using the neutral pose method and the first case of protonic tail condition in Sauropoda. *Historical Biology* 33(9): 1836–1856.
- von Huene, F.R.F. 1929. Los saurisquios y ornitisquios del cretáceo argentino. Anales del Museo de La Plata 3(3): 1–196.
- Wellnhofer, P. 1985. Neue Pterosaurier aus der Santana Formation (Apt) der Chapada do Araripe, Brasilien. Palaeontographica. Abteilung A, Paläozoologie, Stratigraphie 187(4-6), 105-182.
- Wible, J.R. & G.W. Rougier. 2017. Craniomandibular anatomy of the subterranean meridiolestidan *Necrolestes patagonensis* Ameghino, 1891 (Mammalia, Cladotheria) from the early Miocene of Patagonia. *Annals of Carnegie Museum* 84(3): 183–252.
- Wilson, J.A. 1999. A nomenclature for vertebral laminae in sauropods and other saurischian dinosaurs. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 19(4): 639–653.
- You, H.L., D.Q. Li, L.Q. Zhou & Q. Ji. 2008. Daxiatitan binglingi: a giant sauropod dinosaur from the Early Cretaceous of China. *Gansu Geology*, 17(4): 1–10.

Doi: 10.22179/REVMACN.26.885

Recibido: 15-XI-2024 Aceptado: 16-XII-2024